

Chapter: 1, Part: 2,
Section: 1



The Homo scel estus'
Deceits, Megal omania, Lust
for Powers and Satanism

"Do not resist the evil" (Mt 5:39) - The credo of all
organized crimes, Mafiosi, Satan and the "Sermon
on the Mountain"!

Jesus' three "Temptations" by Satan in the Desert

- Version of 2006/2007 replacing the version of the years 2000/2001 -

How Jesus monkey-god indirectly and inadvertently admits to be Satan and consequently Christianity is Satanism of the idea of his own

"If you fast, you will give rise to sin for yourselves." (Jesus "Christ" , The Gospel of Thomas, Logion 14)

This file is also available as [PDF](#), [ZIP](#) and [WORD.EXE](#)

Introduction: Jesus "Christ" inadvertently and indirectly debunking his "Tenets" as Satanism and sheer Lies canting his Ignominy

1. The Cracking of Ben-Pandera's Mask

1.1 James debunking his "brother" Jesus as luring Satan

1. 2 "Do not resist the evil" (Mt 5:39), Jesus' and his Christians' Commitment to Depravity and/or Satanism masked by Perfidy

2. The first "Temptation" debunking all the purported "Miracles" of Jesus as Knavery of a Shifter, Grifter n' Trickster

3. The second "Temptation" – debunking Christian Authorization of Domination as Grift, Shift and Knavery

4. The third "Temptation" debunking the Lie of Crucifixion. i.e. the Gist of Christian Sect

5. Evaluation of the three "Temptation"

6. The Statues of Satan's Christ in Rio de Janeiro and Lisbon (next page: [e101a.htm](#))

1. Introduction: Jesus "Christ" inadvertently and indirectly debunking his "Tenets" as Satanism to be sheer Lies canting his Ignominy

Whatever happened in the desert, Ben-Pandera and his Christians have to contest that he dealt with Satan. They only can claim that he resisted Satan.

Would the Christians ever admit that Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") made a deal with Satan?

What would be the result of this deal? The answer: Christian sect!

Would the Christians ever admit that their sect is the result (product) of Ben-Pandera's (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ's") deal with Satan?

Therefore, one cannot judge the story only from that what the chronicles (Christians' cant: "gospels") purport and Christians are even ready to claim by stopping at nothing. This means, what really occurred or what Ben-Pandera in fact did, we only can judge from the facts, presuppositions, implications, consequences and the behavior of Ben-Pandera. As well consenting to deal with Satan as rejecting the offer, both options have very specific consequences in their wake.

Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: "Jesus Christ") wants everybody to comply with the commandment of his:

Mt 28:18 NRSV
20 and teaching them (people) to obey everything that I (Jesus) have commanded you.

One of his commandments proclaimed in the very, very Christian hype of "sermon on the mountain" is:

Mt 5:39 AV
39 But I say unto you, That ye resist not evil...

Christians try vowing to the utmost that Ben-Pandera "heroically" did not abide by his very hype of "sermon on the mountain" and that he transgressed the commandments of his own ("do

not resist the evil") although the whole world shall be obliged to stick to the commandments he contrived. The one giving the commandment: „Do not resist the evil“ purportedly resisted the evil... That is what we shall believe...!

However, this is not the only commitment of Ben-Pandera (Jesus "Christ") to Satan and Satanism:

Mt 5: 44 NRSV
44 But I say to you, Love your enemies...

If Satan is a friend of Ben-Pandera and his Christians we do not need to argue furthermore... However, if Ben-Pandera (Jesus "Christ") and his Christians pass Satan off as an enemy of theirs, due to their hypocrisy and perfidy this does not change the evidence.

Even if the Christians should claim that they and their "Christ" (Christ of Satan or god?) purportedly regard Satan as an enemy and even if this would be something more than juggling with names, do not they claim that they (Ben-Pandera and his Christians) allegedly "love" their "enemy"? How can the Christians purport that Ben-Pandera does not love his enemy, i.e. Satan...? Apparently, to those hypocrites and perfidious ones, it is a matter of fact to bluff their fellow humans as "upholders of moral standards"...! Why should Ben-Pandera (thieves' cant: Jesus "Christ") resist Satan if he does not want to resist any evildoer (see: Mt 5:39) and "loves" his "enemies" (see: Mt 5:44)...? This is not the only case debunking Ben-Pandera and the Christians as those despicable who love Satan...! By the way, both quotations (Mt 5:39 and Mt 5:44) are taken from the very hype of "sermon on the mountain" about which the Christians fancy a lot...! How can the Christians lie that Ben-Pandera (thieves' cant: Jesus "Christ") resisted Satan if he does not only refrain from resisting the evil but also "loves" his "enemy"?

Here, we are producing evidence that Ben-Pandera indeed abided by his commandment proclaimed in the very Christian hype of "sermon on the mountain": Do not resist the evil...! So, do not always blame Ben-Pandera transgressing that what he others commands to do...! Not only but already conclusively because Satan, his Anointed One (Greek: Christos) and their Christians love their "enemy" (e.g. Satan), Christianity is evident Satanism! This is confirmed by the fact that Christians worship someone as "god" which God indeed curses (see: De 21:23).

This story about Jesus and Satan in the desert is a very interesting one since as pars pro toto (as part for the whole) one can debunk Christianity

- -religiously spoken as Satanism or
- philosophically spoken as utmost foul mouth, utmost foul play.

In order to demonstrate that we do not emulate the great pretender (of Satan's gender) and promise something we do not keep, we now want to present a small demonstration how Ben-Pandera debunks himself in the desert and Christianity as abominable spoof to which the bastards, dastards and goofs stoop.

Hand on heart, do not the Christians tell that already when god started to create the universe, he made up his mind once to send a "son" of his to our blue planet that "vicariously has to suffer", "vicariously has to atone", "vicariously..." and "vicariously ..." (for) the sins of the world? In this way, everybody refusing to join Christian sect is terrorized. Christians are used to terrorizing others with the "love" of impunity that if they do not stoop to Christians' spoofs, they have to go to hell (see: Mr 16:16). They even are able to utter this heinousness without blushing...

Now, let us have a look how Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") and Satan negotiate their deal resulting in founding a (Christian) sect, which finally addresses and worships both as "god":

Mt 4:5-7 NRSV

5 Then the devil took him to the holy city and placed him on the pinnacle of the temple,

6 saying to him, "If you are the Son of God, throw yourself down; for it is written (in Ps 91:11-12) 'He will command his angels concerning you,' and 'On their hands they will bear you up, so that you will not dash your foot against a stone.'"

7 Jesus said to him, "Again it is written, 'Do not put the Lord your God to the test.'"

Satan here correctly quotes from the Bible (Christians' cant: "Old Testament"), indeed this is written in Psalm 91:11-12. Even Ben-Pandera does not blame Satan to quote wrongly the Bible. However, this is not that what Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") should "correct". He does not say that Ps 91:11-12 is not to apply about the "son of god". According to his and Christian contrivances the „son of god" has to suffer a lot, perhaps as much as Satan has to suffer. "The son of god" has to be scourged, tormented, tortured and finally to hang on the gallows or cross. Therefore, Ben-Pandera should have answered to Satan that it is complete nonsense to claim that "the son of god" will not suffer even from a dash against a stone.

So, Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") does not contradict Satan but agrees with him. The only thing Ben-Pandera here has to object is that one should not put god to the test. Hereby, he indirectly admits that Satan is right. Why does not Ben-Pandera answer to Satan that the "son of god" has to suffer even execution of death penalty?

Ben-Pandera knew the Bible (Christians cant: "Old Testament") and that a god cannot suffer, at least by hanging on the gallows or cross:

De 21:23 NKJV

22 "If a man has committed a sin deserving of death, and he is put to death, and you hang him on a tree,

*23 "his body shall not remain overnight on the tree, but you shall surely bury him that day, so that you do not defile the land which the LORD your God is giving you as an inheritance; for **he who is hanged is accursed of God.**"*

Why should Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") lie to Satan that a "son of god" has to hang on the gallows or cross if a hanged one is accursed of god like Satan is accursed of god?

So, now we can answer the question why Ben-Pandera does not contradict Satan that the "son of god" does not have to suffer in the least...

The answer: The Messiah (Greek: "Christos") is also "King of Israel", i.e. who fails to turn out

as “King of Israel” (Joh 12:13) also fails to turn out as Messiah (Greek: Christos). On Palm Sunday Ben-Pandera (Christians’ cant: Jesus “Christ”) wanted to turn out as “King of Israel” and wretchedly failed to do so. Hereby he also failed to turn out as Messiah (Greek: “Christos”). The result was that Ben-Pandera and his henchmen (Christians) did not want to admit this failure and contrived an additional deception that the Messiah allegedly vicariously has to suffer for the sins of the Christians.

At that time when Satan and his Anointed One (Hebrew: Messiah, Greek: Christos) met in the desert, Ben-Pandera’s failure of turning out as Messiah (Greek: Christos) of god by complying with the necessary feature (condicio sine qua non) of being “King of Israel” (Joh 12:13) was not attempted, yet. Consequently, Ben-Pandera and his fellow desperadoes or terrorists, i.e. Christians, did not need to spin-doctor and cant the wretched defeat or the ignominy of a felon and disgrace of a death penalty convict as “vicarious suffering”, “vicarious atonement” and “vicarious salvation” for the world... Consequently, here Ben-Pandera (Christians’ cant: Jesus “Christ”) keeps to the truths that a “son of god” cannot suffer and does not suffer.

There are no perfect liars and deceivers - and no Christian ones, at all... However, now we already amidst Ben-Pandera’s real baptism by Satan in the desert. We only want to make clear that we are no Christians, i.e., we are no great pretenders of Satan’s gender (“Christ” or Christian) and keep what we promise. Now, we want to continue by proceeding from the beginning of the story and to go on systematically.

1. The Cracking of Ben-Pandera's Mask

1.1 James debunking his "brother Jesus" as luring Satan

As we are going to prove now, by trying to give this impression of Ben-Pandera’s “incorruptibility”, the Christian “gospels” inadvertently and indirectly provide evidence of the reverse, i.e. of that what they want to deny and hide... This means that even the “gospels” indirectly and inadvertently prove that Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus “Christ”) dealt with Satan.

For those who do not believe in the existence of a personified evil, i.e. Satan, we want to clue that Satan is a psychological projection of Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (Christians’ cant: Jesus “Christ”) and the Christians. Obviously in a night or daydream, his bad conscience upbraids Ben-Pandera (Jesus) being Satan or like Satan. If he really fasted for about forty days in the desert as claimed (see: Mt 4:2, Lu 4:2), he hardly could differ between that what happened in his brain and that what occurred in the outer reality. Mixing up dreams and reality also is possible due to the very intensiveness of the conflict (with his conscience). Indirectly and inadvertently, one can prove the mentioned occurrences as psychological projection even by Christian “Holy Writ”. For laypersons dreams are but shadows but not for psychologists. Even from a shadow, one can infer much of the thing or being cast the shadow. For instance, it is quite possible to infer from the shadow if it is about a tree, human being or a dog... From those dreams, we are able to infer if Ben-Pandera is god’s Christ, Satan’s Christ, vainglorious impostor or sheer desperado. Therefore, it is completely irrelevant if somebody believe that Ben-Pandera really encountered Satan in the desert of if it is about a psychological projection. The results are the same. In the “Epistle of James”, that is also part of Christian “New Testament, one can read the following debunking information

Referring to their chronicles ("New Testament") they fob off as "god's word" on their prey, Christian shysters and predators claim that Satan tempted their "god" Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus "Christ"):

Lu 4:2 NRSV
*2 where for forty days he (Ben-Pandera) **was tempted by the devil***

Mr 1:13 NRSV
*13 He was in the wilderness forty days, **tempted by Satan;***

However, the same "inerrant" and "absolutely true" "god's word" debunks the following:

Jas 1:13-14 NRSV
*13 ¶ No one, when tempted, should say, "I am being tempted by God"; for **God cannot be tempted by evil and he himself tempts no one.***
*14 But **one is tempted by one's own desire, being lured and enticed by it;***

The comparison demonstrates that we cannot only debunk Ben-Pandera by reason but also by the "Holy Writ" of his (Christian) sect.

- Firstly, this passage sufficiently refutes the fake that Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus „Christ“) was "god" and
- secondly that he purportedly resisted and did not deal with him.

To this first point: Christians sham Ben-Pandera (Jesus "Christ") not only to be like god but as god. Don't they do that? However, does not the chronicle (thieves' cant: "New Testament") say that a god cannot be tempted? Does not James say (see: Jas 1:13) so? However, if, on the one hand, Christians' "inerrant" and absolutely "true" "god's word" - says that a god cannot be tempted (Jas 1:13) and Christian shysters and predators wanting to "catch men" (Lu 5:10), i. e. prey, on the other hand, say that Ben-Pandera (Jesus) was tempted by Satan, then the evidence is provided that humankind's most impostor is no god. Consequently, if Ben-Pandera (Jesus "Christ") is supranatural he only can be Satan or Satan's Anointed One (Hebrew: Messiah, Greek: Christos). The evidence is provided that Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus "Christ") is no god. This evidence we do not demonstrate (only) by philosophy and reason but by the Christian shysters' and predators' fake of "god's word"! You never know what Christian dastards n' bastards have to suffer... Good gracious, they even have to suffer becoming confuted by their own weapons...!

To the second point: Ben-Pandera purportedly said:

Mt 4.10 NRSV
10 Jesus said to him, "Away with you, Satan!"

Why did he say this? The answer: Because, if one is not tempted by another person but by oneself (by one's own desires), then "away with you" means "away with me". Even by the judgment of its own, any figure or person keeping such pernicious desires, is not trustworthy but just abominable! Already from this fact, however not only from this fact -- as we are going to produce evidence now -- the "gospels" lie when claiming that Ben-Pandera resisted Satan and did not deal with him.

Christians' often uttered prevarication that one first has to believe in the "New Testament" and then everything of the Bible would appear coherent or in harmony. Already by the just cited quotation of James, this prevarication is refuted. Here, James debunks Jesus as Satan of his own idea. More over, all the other innumerable psychological projections do that.^[1]

German desperado Martin Luther realized the "dynamite" of the "Epistle of James" and intended to remove it from the Christian "New Testament". Martin L. also turned out as perfidious as any other Christian since one cannot claim "sola scriptura", i.e. only the scripture, as fundament of Christian belief, on the one hand and trying to change and contradict this scripture, on the other. Wanting to change something at least means deeming it to be insufficient. More over, his slogan that Ben-Pandera was an "upholder of moral standard" and only Catholic sect vitiated Christianity is based on the contrary of the "praised" scripture, namely, that the scripture, Latin: scriptura, i.e. New Testament, is not good but deceit. The German desperado directly contradicts Ben-Pandera. Ben-Pandera says:

Lu 6:43 NRSV

43 "No good tree bears bad fruit, nor again does a bad tree bear good fruit;

(See also: Mt 7:17-18). In contrast with the scripture that purportedly shall the only thing a Christian should believe, the German objectification of perfidy (Martin Luther) -- that is perfidy in human form -- teaches the contrary: A good tree (Ben-Pandera or Jesus) bears bad fruits (Christian sects). In addition, taking into account that Martin Luther wanted to remove "The Gospel of John" and "The Epistle of James" from the canon of the "New Testament", no honest one can deny that he is as mendacious, infamous and perfidious, i.e. depraved, as all the other "the sick needing a physician" (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31).

It is a matter of fact that it would be a miracle if a bad tree would bear good fruits, i.e., if the henchmen of a liar, deceiver, felon and death penalty convict (Christians) would not continue lying, deceiving and committing crimes, felonies, outrages, atrocities, barbarities and other sorts of abomination! I repeat: The only really wonder in Christian sect would be that if the henchmen of a hateful, vindictive and megalomaniac miscarriage of nature, mind and ethics would not be of the same ilk. One also could it that way: If the henchmen and henchwomen of this dreg of humankind would resist the evil, whereas he instigates them to refrain from resisting the evil (see: Mt 5:39)!

However, let us return to James.

Here, James - the so-called "Brother of the Lord" - correctly sees that those "temptations" indeed are psychological projections, even if he does not know this notion of modern psychology. The own desires are projected on someone else (Satan), so that they shall not appear as the desires of one's own but those ones of someone else, preferably as ones of one's enemy (Satan). Therefore, one cannot reject the object of a psychological projection since the (fancied) "other one" is no other one but oneself. Thus, the depraved fancy to repress or even retaliate against the pestering and tormenting conscience of their own, for instance, in

the following way: It is not me you always are upbraiding, blaming and tormenting. It is another one. It is my enemy! You (my conscience) should blame him (the other one, i.e. my rival, enemy etc.) for perpetrating those pernicious depravities... The concerned one, i.e. "sick one needing a physician" (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31f), cannot bear the truths about himself. Ben-Pandera knew that his henchmen, i.e. "sick one needing a physician" (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31f) pretending even to die for the truths ("martyrs") indeed are unable to bear the truth:

Joh 16:12 NRSV

12 "I (Jesus) still have many things to say to you (my henchmen), but you cannot bear them now.

This says a "martyr" that fakes having died for the "truths" on the cross to "ravenous wolves in a sheep clothing" (see: Mt 7:15) also shamming to "die for the truths" and suffer "martyrdom" for them, to the utmost... Do not make me laugh!

Therefore, the "sick one needing a physician" (Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31f) projects his own depraved desires and perpetrations on someone else, viz, dishes the dirt of himself preferably on his rivals and enemies as Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus) did so on the Pharisees, his Jewish compatriots and Satan. This - dishing the dirt of one's own on someone else -- is called to be a psychological projection. As we are going to produce evidence now, we debunk that this "Satan" Ben-Pandera met in the desert for "tempting" him is he (Ben-Pandera) himself by wanting to dupe as that he (Ben-Pandera) is not that encountered Satan but someone else.

In this context, we should give a closer idea about the "dynamite" of "The Epistle of James" to Christian sects, German and Christian desperado Martin Luther obviously realized.

In the above quoted passage James (1:13-14) informs us about two things:

First, god does not tempt anybody.

Secondly, mistakenly Christians believe to be tempted by god or someone else (Satan).

However, indeed they are "tempted" by the depravity (evil desires) of their own.

Those "temptations" are the evidence of Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus "Christ's) and his chosen ones' (Christians') perniciousness, i.e. foul mouth and foul play. When purportedly "tempted" in the desert, Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus) does not call god the "tempter" but Satan and according to James, Ben-Pandera projects the evil desires of his -- e. g., wanting to be Messiah, Greek: Christos, "King of Israel" (Joh 12:13), "man's son", "god's son" or even "god" - on Satan, i.e. on someone else, viz, on his real or fancied enemy.

In a passage of the so-called "Lord's (Satan) Prayer", Ben-Pandera even indirectly presumes to upbraid god to tempt his Christians:

Mt 6:13 NKJV

*3 And (god) **do not lead us** (Ben-Pandera and his henchmen) **into temptation...***

Not only reason but also the so-called "Brother of the Lord" debunks this part of the prayer as an insult on god. Here, "god" is blamed for the own pernicious desires (depravity) of the Christians! Impertinent! German desperado Martin L. obviously saw that James debunks the "The Lord" in "The Lord's Prayer" as Satan, since god does not tempt somebody,

but everybody tempts himself by the depravity of his own! That means that “The Lord” to which the Christians are used to praying is Satan, of course addressed and worshiped as god. This means further that Christianity perpetrates the power of the evil (religiously spoken: of Satan) in the world.

However, there is much more to it than that.

Here, we again and again demonstrate the Bible does not debunk Ben-Pandera and his instigation (Christian sect) less as foul mouth, foul play and abomination as reason and unbiased science do.

Keen-minded German philosopher Arthur Schopenhauer (1788 – 1860) realized through the clouded waters of Christian perfidiology (Christians’ cant: “theology”) the following:

“The prayer: ‘ Don't lead us into temptation’ says: Don't let me know who I am.”^[ii]

The German philosopher does not tell something else than James says in the Christian “New Testament”! Both see a psychological projection. When Christians become debunked by their own “Holy Writ”, they are used to upbraiding their opponents or enemies to divorce Bible passages from their context. However, there hardly is a dogma or doctrine in Christian sect that does not exist by selecting special passages from the Bible and correspondingly neglecting and omitting reverse passages of the same scripture.^[iii] Upbraiding others to do that what one does is (another) psychosocial projection. Only by perpetrating the psychological projection of divorcing Bible passages from its context, e.g. from its context of James 1:13-14 (everybody is only tempted by his own desires), Christians can deny that Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus) made a pact with Satan by only quoting Mt 4:10 (“Away with you, Satan). This already is one example that one cannot establish even one Christian doctrine without divorcing Bible passages from its context. As said, the Bible debunks Christian sect as pernicious deception, self-deception and abomination not less than reason. Everything is written in it! One only has to read the Bible!

Not only Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera but also his closest henchmen Shaul Paul blames and slanders “god” to lead others “into very evil temptation”:

2Th 2:11-12 NRSV

*11 For this reason God sends them a powerful delusion leading them to believe what is false,
12 so that all who have not believed the truth but took pleasure in unrighteousness will be condemned.*

Consequently, if we apply another Christian “god’s word” to this passage, i.e. seeing it in the coherent context of Bible passages with which it belongs, Christian sect is debunked as a “gift” of Satan to the world. If god does not tempt anybody as not only philosophy and psychology but also Christians’ “Holy Writ” (Jas 1:13-14) assures, the “god” that sends “powerful delusion leading ... to believe what is false” is not “god” but Ben-Pandera’s and his Christian henchmen’s pernicious desires. Due to their perfidy, in particular, to their psychological projections, Ben-Pandera, Shaul Paul and all the other Christians just pass

the depravity and criminality of their own off as one of "god"!

1.2 "Do not resist the evil" (Mt 5:39), Jesus' utmost commitment to Depravity and/or Satanism masked by Perfidy

In Lu 22:28, Ben-Pandera remarkably realizes that he is not tempted by someone else but by himself. I.e., here Ben-Pandera confirms the wisdom of James (1:13-14) that one only is tempted by oneself (James: "one's own desires") and not by somebody else.

Lu 22:28 YLT (1898 Young's Literal Translation)

28 '... ye („disciples") are those who have remained with me (Jesus) in my temptations,

Latin Vulgate Bible translates: "... permansistis mecum in temptationibus meis..." Young's literal translation turns out to be correct (you stayed with me in my temptations). Ben-Pandera's (Christians' cant: "Jesus") evil desires, especially, his depravity and delusion of grandeur ("All authorities in heaven and on earth are given to me", Mt 28:18) and in particular his dedication to the evil: "do not resist the evil" (Mt 5:39) have hardly bearable temptations to him, in their wake. For example, Ben-Pandera even "did not resist the evil" (Mt 5:39) to feign his shame, disgrace and ignominy ending up as death penalty convict instead of as "King of Israel" (Joh 12:13) as "vicarious suffering for sins of the world". He could not resist the evil (see: Mt 5:39) and consequently could not admit this as due punishment of a fair trial, in which he could utter everything in favor of his...!

Is not German philosopher Arthur Schopenhauer right when saying: The prayer: "do not lead us into temptation" means: Do not let me know who I am. Ben-Pandera does not want to know what he is. Therefore, he blames Satan to be that what he (Ben-Pandera) is. So, the followers of his perfidy (Christians) are used to doing.

That means, the devil that appeared to him in the desert was he (Ben-Pandera) or that the figure Christians juggle as Jesus "Christ" is not to differ from Satan. Both (Satan and Christ) mean the same. Let us recall:

Mt 5:39 AV

*39 But I say unto you, **That ye resist not evil...***

You never know what temptations come into existence and consequently one has to suffer if one is committed to the very, very commitment of Satan and/or Ben-Pandera: "do not resist the evil" (Mt 5:39). This perniciousness is camouflage behind the screen of pretty words like, for instance, "love", "truths", "god" and "charity" to trap the prey and victims. This "dogma" in fact is pivotal, i.e. those are the real "ethics" of Christian sects in place of perfidiously pretended "love" or "charity".

The New Revised Version (NRSV) translates: "Do not resist an evildoer." Is Satan no

evildoer? Already from this fact it is to say: If Ben-Pandera would hat resisted the evildoer (Satan), he would have violated of the standards of his own, he proffers to his Christians. This is what we meant when saying that trying to give an impression of Ben-Pandera's "incorruptibility"; the "gospels" prove the reverse. Is Satan benefactor to the Christians? The friend or god of the Christians?

The Latin Vulgate Bible translates: "non resistere malo". That means: do not resist the evil and/or the evildoer. It is not to assume that somebody rejecting to resist the evil or evildoer never rejects Satan, obviously the ominous "father" to which he refers. If Satan wants something, it is to infer that those who do not resist the evildoer will overfulfil Satan's demand. That is what obviously Ben-Pandera did in the desert! Christian censors failed to see how the (Christian) liars and deceiver here lose their mask: Here, the gospel wants to tell us that somebody has resisted the topmost evildoer (Satan), although he (Ben-Pandera) generally orders others to refrain from resisting the evil or evildoer (Mt 5:39)... Here, the blatant liar and vainglorious impostor (Ben-Pandera) lost his mask without noticing it. If Ben-Pandera really believed in the standard of his that one should not resist the evil or and evildoer, he did not resist Satan as it is claimed in Mt 4:10. Why should Satan or his (Jesus) Christ resist the evil or evildoer, i.e. themselves and their mind? Only good people try resisting the evil.

However, even this is not the end of the story, yet.

Do not Ben-Pandera and his henchmen (Christian clergies) warn of "ravenous wolves in a sheep's clothing" (see: Mt 7:15)? Who fit more this picture but those who indirectly call the traits of their own to be those ones of Satan?

Do not Ben-Pandera and his henchmen (Christian clergies) warn: "Why do you see the speck in your neighbor's eye, but do not notice the log in your own eye?" Do not Ben-Pandera and his Christian henchmen see the speck in their neighbour's eye and always fail to notice Satan's log in their own eyes? Nobody but Ben-Pandera and his henchmen and henchwomen impersonating the objectifications

of perfidy (i.e. perfidy that has become human forms) are used to seeing that keenly the specks in the eyes others. Especially, in the eyes of those to whom they cannot hold a candle, they are used to seeing the speck and always to see Satan's logs in the eyes for their own.

Let us recall: Dishing the dirt of one's own on others, preferably on one's rivals and enemies, we call psychological projection. The latter is a necessary feature of perfidy. Christians' "Holy Writ" and Christians' machination and spite (Christians' cant: "charity") are teeming with psychological projections. Knowing psychological projections means being immune against Christian traps, gaps n' rats! There is hardly one page in Christian "New Testament" that does not contain ten psychological projections.[iv]

Why should Ben-Pandera resist Satan if his fundamental "ethics" teach not to resist the evil and evildoers? If he would withstand Satan on this condition, it is evident that he does not deem Satan to be an evildoer but benefactor, since he does not resist evildoers. Consequently, if Satan wants to be worshiped, Ben-Pandera offers the evildoer (Satan) to be addressed and worshiped as "god" by him (Ben-Pandera) and his millions of Christians... That is what he and (his) Christian sect do! One can put it the one way or the other, the results are the same. Therefore, it is to assume that he did not abide by the principle of his own. However, if he did not resist Satan, Ben-Pandera made a deal with Satan.

Consequently, Christian sect is the result of the pact with Satan accomplishing Satan's program (perfidy, infamy, depravity, foul mouth and foul play) on Earth. Who wonders about Christians' crimes, outrages, barbarities, atrocities and other sorts of abomination?

That Christians contest this pact due to their and Ben-Pandera's mendacity, hypocrisy and perfidy. Who says that deceivers admit that they deceive and in what way they dupe others?

The Christians? Rejecting to resist an evildoer like, for instance, Satan means that the concerned

one (Ben-Pandera) agrees with the evildoer (Satan) and therefore perpetrates Satanism on Earth, actually accomplished by Christian sect. History of Christian sect is the evidence for that. Christian sect is the evidence of the power of the evil in the world. Christian sect is Satan's sect and the evidence of the power of Satan in the world!

I.e. Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera and his Christian deny that he made a convention with Satan and knelt down to him to produce an asymmetry of arming of the (Christian) aggressor and their pray in favor of the Christian attacker. Christians' prey and victims shall be lulled into a false sense of security that Yehoshua (Jesus) and his Christians will not do what they are precisely striving for. The credulous ones shall believe that Christian foul mouths and foul players (evildoer) reject that what they do not resist (perfidy, infamy, brutality, foul play etc.). One only can repeat that the motto: do not resist the evil is the ("ethic") is the program of Satan and certainly is that what Satan first advised Ben-Pandera to do, after the latter prostrated before Satan. More over, do not resist the evil means to unleash the evil what Christian sect exactly perpetrated and will perpetrate wherever it has the power to perpetrate atrocities with impunity. Especially, in the Christian Middle Ages Satan's sect unleashed hell on Earth. The reasons still exist and will exist as long as this organized crime faking a religion will exist.

*Christian criminality,
A matter of opportunity!*

When expounding the three "temptations" in detail we will further provide more conclusive evidence that Ben-Pandera abode by his standards and therefore did not resist Satan, i. e. made a deal with him. The product of that deal (with Satan) is the church of Satan that is called Christianity. The latter tries perpetrating Satan and his Christ's power and "authority" on planet Earth.

2. The first "Temptation" debunk all the purported "Miracles" of Jesus as Knavery of a Shifter, Grifter n' Trickster

Coming straight to the result of theses "temptations", the author of this exposition is sure that the Christian censors of the "gospels" would have removed this story if they would have seen through the clouded language of dream, i.e. if they would have had a rough idea how their vainglorious impostor (Ben-Pandera) loses his mask, here.

Now, let us take a closer look how these "temptations" occurred according to Luke.

Lu 4:2-6 NRSV

2 where for forty days he was tempted by the devil. He ate nothing at all during those days, and when they were over, he was famished.

3 The devil said to him, "If you are the Son of God, command this stone to become a loaf of bread."

4 Jesus answered him, "It is written, 'One does not live by bread alone.'"

When fasting for about forty days one can get hallucinations. That means the concerned one is

not able to differ what happens in his brain and in the (outer) reality. However, as already said, if he really encountered a figure like Satan in the desert, or if he only dreamt it in the desert or elsewhere, the meaning and the results are the same. Ben-Pandera knows how much fasting can hurt one's mind:

Jesus said to them, "If you fast, you will give rise to sin for yourselves; and if you pray (e.g. my "Lord's Prayer"), you will be condemned; and if you give alms (as I told you, see Lu 12:33), you will do harm to your spirits..." [v]

Provided that "divine" alcoholic was not boozed-up when driveling that (what is not to exclude!), he knew that it is very hard to fast. Fasting for a month and more, one can encounter Satan, become "tempted" and make a deal with him one, of course must contest and in the end one may be gullied into instigating planet Earth most organized crime, an abomination one has to cant as "love" or "charity". Ask Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus "Christ") he knows all the dangers and "temptations" luring for you when abstaining from eating. Therefore, if Christian henchmen want to inveigle you into fasting, beware of that what your "god" (Ben-Pandera) warned you.

Let us recall: Dreams are but shadows only for layperson but not for psychologists. For the latter, they are the key to the soul (psyche) of the individual. Indeed, those "temptations" are pivotal for understanding, respectively, debunking Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera. They are the keys for his unmasking. They indirectly and inadvertently give a picture the heinous mind that created planet Earth's most criminality.

The answer Ben-Pandera gives to Satan (One does not live by bread alone) is nothing but evasive since the devil does not contest does not say that one live by bread. For example, Satan does not say: Provided that one lives by bread alone, I (Satan) command you (Ben-Pandera, my elected Christ) to turn this stone into a loaf of bead... Satan also does not say if you make this stone a loaf of bread, then people will live by bread alone. Quite the contrary, Satan presumably would consent that people do not live by bread alone, since the devil does not want to be superfluous. As Ben-Pandera and his Christians, Satan wants to be important and absolutely necessary. He obviously thinks the same what Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus "Christ") suppose, namely, that people besides bread need him (the devil) and all his lies, deceits and self-deception. Summing up, one can say that Satan completely defeated his and the Christians' (Jesus) Christ. The latter was unable to resist Satan by competent answer and quibbles, something nobody – even Satan – does contests. If Christians fancy that the answer Ben-Pandera gives was convincing, compelling or even superb, they do so according to the topmost "credo" of all desperadoes: Perfidy (Christians: cant: "faith") shifts mountains (see: Mt 17:20) and the truths, anyway. However, this "credo" Christians perpetrate very, very often. Even, when they seem to commit to others slogans, they in truth only execute this one.

Indirectly and obviously inadvertently Ben-Pandera

- *first*, admits that Satan is right and
- *secondly*, that he is unable to work those wonders, at least before having concluded the

offered pact with Satan.

Otherwise, he would have answered Satan that perhaps he is not able to make stones to bread -- because one does not live by bread alone -- but that he (Ben-Pandera) is able to juggle water into wine (see: Joh 2:1-10), although it is the same case that nobody only lives by water alone... Do the Christians live only from water? At least, even their vainglorious impostor needs some alcoholics. Even in that what his henchmen and henchwoman call "mass" they need them. Did Ben-Pandera and do the Christians only live by water? The fact that the Christians claim such sorcery of the "god" (Ben-Pandera) even more debunks not only Ben-Pandera's quibbling but also that Satan mastered his fellow (Ben-Pandera) in the act.

Ben-Pandera's later sorcery of turning water into wine proves that Ben-Pandera obeyed his master (Satan). He only did, respectively, faked that what Satan told to him what a "son of god" has to juggle! This proves Satan to be the master of Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus "Christ"). However, the fact that he could not make sorcery in the presence of Satan but later as Christian gospel purport (see: Joh 2:1-10) proves that after the encounter with Satan, he kept those "magic" or juggling "powers". This is not the only evidence that he prostrated before Satan and worshiped him.

- Firstly, Ben-Pandera shares the views of Satan that a "son of god" must be able to fabricate "wonders" ..
- Secondly, Satan caught him in the act that he is unable to work miracles. Since his prevarication that one does not live from this or that neither is questioned by Satan nor does it turn out as any hindrance for him to juggle water into wine. What is the difference of turning stones into bread or water into wine but that the latter sorcery (deceit) is easier? When he was at the wedding in Cana, Ben-Pandera did not say: I would like to turn your water into "wine". However, as devotee to god, I (Ben-Pandera) must tell you that nobody lives by water alone and therefore, I cannot do so. I.e., Ben-Pandera tries complying with the measure Satan gives to him in the desert.

That is sufficient but – as already said -- not the only evidence we are going to provide that Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera either was unable to work wonders or got the power of sorcery, after the pact with Satan was concluded. We are going to produce evidence the vainglorious impostor could not work any wonder, at all and that those allegations are sheer fabrications of Ben-Pandera's falsehood, mendacity and perfidy. Therefore, the allegation that Ben-Pandera allegedly resisted Satan and did not deal with him is nothing but a lie or a "sheep's clothing" of ravenous wolves (see: Mt 7:15). Already resisting the evildoers contradicts the "ideology" of Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus) as we are going to expound, below. On what grounds one ever could assume that Ben-Pandera resisted Satan if he never to resist evildoers? Because it is a matter of fact that the liar and deceiver never cares about what he is expressing by his mask or disguise?

Admitting that Satan is right means that Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus) suppose that somebody wanting to be the Messiah (Greek: Christos) has to (pretend to) work wonders. That means that Ben-Pandera believes: Without "miracles" there is no Messiah (Greek: Christos). That is why the "gospels" are teeming with sorcery, i.e. there are no "wonders" reported Ben-Pandera or his henchmen (Christians' cant: "disciples") worked. That sorcery is claimed because those lies and deceptions are necessary to palm him off as "Messiah

(Greek: Christos) on the prey and victims... I.e., comprehending the purported “wonders” of Christians’ “New Testament” one has to understand Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera’s and his Christian henchmen’s notion of Satan! The “facts” are concocted corresponding to the deceit, respectively, what the Christian henchmen deem to be indispensable for that. Let us recall: In the course of this exposition we are going to produce evidence that except hypnosis Ben-Pandera could not work any wonder^[vi] ‘

Therefore, even the account of turning stones into bread or water into wine is nothing but deception to pretend “divine” power of a vainglorious mountebank. It’s all sheer deceit! This also means, for example, that his purported “resurrection from the dead” and “vicarious atonement” etc. is the most knavery a rogue and his accomplices ever perpetrated to the world to cant shame, disgrace and ignominy of a wretched and very bad loser and felon from the death row! Already the third “temptation” we are going to expound below produces sufficient evidence for that, what the Christian censors of the “gospels” missed to see. The vainglorious impostor (Ben-Pandera) just wants to pose as “god” corresponding to his depravity, which is comparably to the nature of Satan in the views of this own! Since there is no Messiah (Greek: Christos) without wonders his henchmen (Christians) profiting from those deceptions deliberately report those deceptions since they also think that there is no Christ without (faking) miracles.^[vii]

Summary:

Rejecting to turn stones into bread but juggling water into wine, means cracking jokes. Ben-Pandera takes the view that one should not resist the evil and/or evildoer. Therefore, it is not to assume that he resisted Satan. Finally, juggling water into wine means that he complied with the standards Satan told him. Conspicuously, Ben-Pandera had “all authority in heaven and on Earth” (Mt 28:18) after he encountered Satan in the desert and not before. Christianity is the power of Satan in the world. It addresses and worships Satan and his Anointed One (Hebrew: Messiah, Greek: Christos) as “god”. It is not true that Christianity prays to the same god as Judaism or Islam. Those, who address and worship Satan or his (Jesus) Christ as “god” do not worship god. They even are no theists. If Satanism (“do not resist the evil” – the real credo of Satanism) is called “theism” it does not turn into theism as the deception does not make water to wine.

3. The second “Temptation” – debunking Christian authorization of Domination as Grift, Shift and Knavery

The most common feature Satan and his (Jesus) Christ have in common it that they do not bother about that what god says in the Bible (Christians’ cant: “Old Testament”). Therefore, if they refer to the Bible (Christians’ cant: “Old Testament”), they do so to hoodwink their prey and victims.

Joh 10:8 NRSV

8 All (prophets) who came before me are thieves and bandits;

Here again we have to question if this saying is not one of a boozed alcoholic. However, we have to keep to the facts. Is not Satan's or his (Jesus) Christ's drivel a "powerful delusion leading (the henchmen of) them to believe what is false" (2Th 2:11), if one takes into account that Satan and his Christ -- both the lawless ones (see: Ro 10:4) -- powerfully hoodwink others that they want to fulfill the laws of the thieves and bandits (see: Mt 5:17)? Already wanting to fulfill laws of figures -- one openly or secretly deems to be thieves and bandits -- debunks the liar and deceiver.

Therefore, Satan and his Christ do not care about the "laws of the prophets" and the "laws of god".

Isa 42:8 NRSV

8 I am the LORD, that is my name; my glory I give to no other, nor my praise to idols.

As said, neither Satan nor his (Jesus) Christ cares about god's word. They want putting themselves in place of god whatever lying term, they use for that perpetration, for instance, "man's son", "son of god", "god" etc. Satan and his (Jesus) Christ, both claim to be "god" in chief. More over, both claim having gotten the job of god by in a way, Christians later called "Constantine Donation". However, Ben-Pandera as Satan's Christ does so after his encounter with his father (Satan) in the desert, and not before. This is very, very important for finding out the ominous "father" to which Ben-Pandera refers. Therefore, now we are going to demonstrate the first "Constantine Donation" in Christian sects.

Lu 4:5-8 NRSV

*5 Then the **devil** led him (Jesus) up and showed him in an instant all the kingdoms of the world."*

*.6 And the devil said to him (Jesus), "To you (my Anointed One, Greek: Christos) I will give their glory **and all this authority; for it has been given over to me** (by a kind of "Constantine Donation"), **and I give it to anyone I please.***

7 If you, then, will worship me, it will all be yours."

8 Jesus answered him, "It is written, 'Worship the Lord your God, and serve only him.'"

To this powerful pact of Satan with his (Jesus) Christ we have to put three questions which debunk the deal both concluded:

- . *First question: Why does not Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (Christian jargon: Jesus "Christ") answer Satan in the following way?*

Mt 28:18 NRSV: "... All authority in heaven and on earth has been given to me (Jesus "Christ").

Joh 10:18 NRSV "No one takes it from me (Jesus), but I (Jesus) lay it down of my own accord. I (Jesus) have power to lay it down, and I have power to take it up again. I have received

this command from my Father."

Mt 24: 35 NRSV: „Heaven and earth will pass away, but my words will not pass away.

Joh 6: 48 NRSV: „I (Ben-Pandera) am the bread of life...“

Joh 11:25 NRSV: „...I (Ben-Pandera) am the resurrection and the life. “

Jesus said, "It is I (Ben-Pandera) who am the light which is above them all. It is I who am the all. From me did the all come forth, and unto me did the all extend. Split a piece of wood, and I am there. Lift up the stone, and you will find me there." [\[viii\]](#)

The answer: **Whippersnapper Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera (thieves' cant: Jesus Christ) could not answer Satan by that way of outpouring of Satanism and megalomania since the deal with Satan was not concluded, yet.** Afterwards, when the pact with Satan was concluded he could show off in the above mentioned manner. I.e., living off this megalomania and abomination to the full are contents of the pact with Satan and was perpetrated when the deal with Satan was firmed up.

- *Second question: Why does not Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (Christian jargon: Jesus "Christ") answers Satan offering something to him, he already possesses? If indeed all authorities in heaven and on earth had given to Ben-Pandera (see: Mt 28:18) of course, Satan only would lure him with something Jesus "Christ" is already keeping. Would somebody work for an employer who offers as salary the car the employee already possesses? What an excellent "bribe" to offer the goods of the "bribed one" as reward...? Do not make me laugh! If the Christian impostor's counterfeit money would be genuine one, Ben-Pandera also would have answered to Satan: do not make me laugh!*

The answer: **Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera could not answer Satan that he is bribing him with the property of his own since the deal with Satan was not concluded, yet.** Feigning to keep "all authority in heaven and on Earth" (Mt 28:18) is content of the pact with Satan.

- *Third question: Why does not Ben-Pandera say to Satan: Hey Satan, I am "god"? I do not have to worship you but you me. There are still people contesting that "miracles" happen in Christian sects. Is not it a "miracle" that Satan tempts "god" ...? You never know by which obscure things "the sick needing a physician" (Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31) feel tempted and prosecuted: Firstly, Satan tempts his (Jesus) Christ, "god" tempts the Christians ("do not lead us into temptation" Mt 6:13, Lu 11:4) and on top of everything Satan tempts "god" ... You never know what "martyrdom" "the sick needing a physician have to suffer", twenty-four hours a day and 365 days a year... On top of everything the "god" of the "the sick needing a physician" becomes defeated by Satan since the takes the utmost "moral" standard: "Do not resist the evildoer" (Mt 5:39). Good gracious, "do not resist the evil" - the motto of the planet's most organized crime, i.e. Christian Mafia! That is the "martyrdom" of "the sick needing a physician" ...! Oh yeah, why did not Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus) say to Satan: Hey, my friend Satan, I recommend you to study "wise" Christian perfidiology*

("theology"). This subject is fabricated by guys that provide absolute evidence that I (Ben-Pandera) am "god" and "human being". Perhaps after Atrott they will contrive that a trinity of "god", "human being" and Satan! Finally, I never resist the evil. This means that my perfidy and delusion of grandeur are infinite. Then, I (Ben-Pandera) am really the one in everything...

The answer to this question: **Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera could not answer Satan in that he was "god" since the deal with Satan was not concluded, yet.** That means faking to be "god's son" or "god" is content of the pact with Satan.

At present, let us record: Ben-Pandera does not object to Satan that he (Ben-Pandera) was "god". Therefore, his answer: "Worship the Lord your God, and serve only him" (Lu 5:8) commands that neither Satan nor he (Ben-Pandera) is to address and worship as god, at least as long as the pact was not concluded with Satan. The Christians address and worship both as "god". . This is additional evidence that the fake of being (like) "god" is contents of Ben-Pandera's deal with Satan in the desert! However, Christian perfidiology (Christians' cant: "theology") completely is excused since it came into existence after the deal of Satan with his (Jesus) Christ and not before! Therefore, do not "insult" Christian perfidiologists (Christians' cant: "theologians")! By the notion of "god" Christians unleash (their) Satanism or (their) perniciousness on planet Earth. Referring to "god" only shall make Christians' foul mouth and foul play unassailable, i.e. shall achieve the perfect crime.

The fact that Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera could be tempted with "all realms of the world" is the evidence that he did not keep "all authority in heaven and on earth" (Mt 28:18) before he encountered Satan in the desert.

Christian censors of the "gospels" failed to see that the sense of these sayings in fact is that Ben-Pandera did not keep those "authorities" or "properties" before he encountered Satan in the desert or in a desert's dream. Otherwise, these "temptations" would not make any sense. Things (rewards) only can tempt people, if they do not possess them, yet. Trying to bribe somebody with a "reward," he is already keeping is neither a bribe nor any temptation. Such a demonstration of incorruptibility -- as it is obviously tried, here -- only means cracking jokes. By this story on the meeting of Satan and his Christ in the desert, we shall become convinced that Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus "Christ") is not to bribe, even not by huge bribes of Satan. Therefore, we shall believe that Ben-Pandera is no liar, deceiver and vitiator since he obviously rejected those huge "bribes". Since, on the other hand, Ben-Pandera and his henchmen claim already keeping the possession Satan passes off as one of his own, no incorruptibility of Ben-Pandera is proven but "powerful delusion" (2Th 2:11) by Ben-Pandera and the Christian "gospels". Nobody can be bribed with the money he already and rightly is keeping in his wallet. This means, at the moment when Satan and his (Jesus) Christ encountered in the desert Ben-Pandera was not keeping that what he purportedly pretended to keep, afterwards.

After the deal firmed up, he could blather or puke big all those features of delusion of grandeur and unscrupulousness:

Joh 10: 18 NRSV

18 No one (even not god) takes it from me, but I (Jesus) lay it down of my own accord (while god has to obey me). I (Jesus) have power (to order god that I decided) to lay it down, and I have power to take it up again (if god agrees with me or not).

Here at least, Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (Christian jargon: Jesus "Christ") does not only pretend to be a peer to god but a "god" himself. According to the just quoted abomination of megalomania, Satan and his Christ give the commands what and when "god" may do this and that, provided "god" behaves well to both... Obviously, because of being that stupid having "sold" all the "authority in heaven and on Earth" to him, "god" now depends on Ben-Pandera and not he (Yehoshua) on "god". Consequently, Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus "Christ") matches the feature prophet Isaiah gives about Lucifer (Satan):

Isa 14:13-14 NKJV

13 For you have said in your heart: 'I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God; I will also sit on the mount of the congregation On the farthest sides of the north;

14 I (Lucifer) will ascend above the heights of the clouds, I will be like the Most High.

Comparing this prediction of prophet Isaiah with Ben-Pandera's puking of delusion of grandeur one cannot deny that prophet Isaiah foresaw Ben-Pandera or Jesus Satan's Christ and Catamite:

Mt 24:30 NRSV

30 Then the sign of the Son of Man will appear in heaven, and then all the tribes of the earth will mourn, and they will see 'the Son of Man coming on the clouds of heaven' with power and great glory.

Mr 13:26 NJKV

26 "Then they will see the Son of Man coming in the clouds with great power and glory.

Like Lucifer, like Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera! Jesus "Christ" precisely matches the features given by prophet Isaiah about Satan (Lucifer). Sometimes he and his fellow henchmen even leak that he is the "morning star", viz, Satan.

Re 22:16 NKJV

16 "I, Jesus, have sent my angel to testify to you these things in the churches. I am the Root and the Offspring of David, the Bright and Morning Star."

He admits being the "Morning Star" each Jew -- but hardly a Roman or Greek pagan -- knows to

be Lucifer or Satan. In a very early Christian scripture that was already recited in early Christian churches, in particular, on holidays, this "Morning Star" or Lucifer confesses:

"And if thou wouldst know concerning me (Jesus), what I was, know that with a word did I (Jesus) deceive all things and I was no whit deceived." [\[ix\]](#)

Those skills of lying and deceiving Satan obviously also instructed to Ben-Pandera when nailing the deal in the desert. Would Satan ever blather something else? Like Satan, like his (Jesus) Christ! Lucifer and/or Jesus monkey-Christ are very crafty! Aren't they right? Who is able to hold a candle to deceiver Jesus "Christ" regarding lies and deceits? Who is more skillfully in lying and deceiving than he is ...? The success of Christian perfidy teaches that ruthless crime and terror pays! Correspondingly, he passes the "Morning Star" as "love", "charity" and "spiritual welfare" etc. to his henchmen:

Re 2: 26 – 28 NRSV

26 To everyone who conquers and continues to do my works to the end, I will give authority over the nations;

27 to rule them with an iron rod, as when clay pots are shattered –

28 even as I also received authority from my Father. To the one who conquers I will also give the morning star.

Here, it is admitted that Christian sect is a gathering to wage clandestine war on humankind to conquer the world, not to serve but to rule the world and human beings "with an iron rod as when clays are shattered" in order to get the favor of the Morning Star (Lucifer, Satan), in the end. Christian sect is about submitting one's fellow human beings as pets to that megalomaniac -- as selfish as criminals -- exalting themselves as "shepherds". Finally, here it is about a difference of two separate species. Sheep are animals and "shepherds" are human beings. That is Christian comprehension of "human rights" ...! I.e., the Western hemisphere is oppressed by Christian foul mouth and foul play.

Like Satan, like his (Jesus) Christ – Like Christ, like the Christians!

The "Constantine Donations" from Satan to his Christ and from Christ to his Christian sect

Satan to Jesus

Like Satan, like Jesus "Christ" Like Jesus "Christ", like the popes

As contrast: Bible ("Old "Old Testament")

Lu 4:5-6 NRSV

5 Then the devil led him (Jesus) up and showed him in an instant all the kingdoms of the world."

6 "And the devil said to him, "To you (Jesus) I will give their glory and **all this authority**; for it has been given over to me, **and I (Satan) give it to anyone I please.**

Mt 4:8-9 NRSV

8 Again, the devil took him (Jesus) to a very high mountain and **showed him all the kingdoms of the world and their splendor;**"
9 and he said to him, "**All these I will give you, if you will fall down and worship me.**

Here, Satan expressively says that all authority has been passed to him and that he can transfer it as he pleases to do so, provided he is worshiped and addressed (as "god"), in exchange. Jesus "Christ" could not answer that the authority Satan claims keeping is already up to him (according to Mt 28:18) since the contract with Satan was not concluded, yet....

Lu 10: 22 NRSV

22 **All things have been handed over to me (Jesus) by my Father; and no one knows who the Son is except the Father, or who the Father is except the Son and anyone to whom the Son chooses to reveal him.**"

Lu 22:29 NRSV

29 and I (Jesus) confer on you (disciples), just as my Father has conferred on me, a kingdom...

Mt 16:18-19 RSV

18 And I (Jesus) tell you, you are Peter, and on this rock I will build my church (sect),
19 I (Jesus) will give you the keys of the kingdom of heaven, and whatever you bind on earth shall be bound in heaven, and whatever you loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven."

Since Jesus here emulates the behavior of Satan, it is evident that the "father" to which he refers is Satan. In Satan's manner he feigns having gotten all "authorities" and that he can pass them to whom he pleases (for being worshiped and addressed as "god", in exchange). Likewise, later the popes referred to Emperor Constantine to be the leader of all Christians and to steal half a continent!

About the year 800 the Christian pope lies that emperor Constantine has handed over the following authorities of the emperor to Christian organized crime:

All Christian denominations have to submit to the pope. The pope purportedly has got all realms of Western Europe including Italy. Even the kings have to submit to him. He has the right to appoint and crown them.

By comparison: In return for addressing and worshiping Jesus Christ as "god", the Christians "selflessly", "modestly" and "humbly" get the whole world from the impostor:
Re 2:26f NRSV To everyone who conquers and continues to do my (Jesus') works to the end, **I (Jesus) will give authority over the nations; to rule them with an iron rod, as when clay pots are shattered"**

Like Jesus "Christ" refers to a "father" for being address and worshiped as "god", the pope likewise refers to the Emperor for being "king" himself, leader of all Christians and getting many properties, much money and slaves.

Isa 42:8 (NRSV)

8 I am the LORD, that is My name; And My glory I will **not give to another...**

Isa 14:14 I will ascend to the tops of the clouds, **I (Lucifer or Satan) will make myself like the Most High."**

De 21:23 NKJV

... **for he who is hanged is accursed of God.**

The Bible (Christian jargon: "Old Testament") does not allow associating any other person to god. That is not the only commandment of god from which death penalty convict Yehoshua-ben-Pandera "freed" his accomplices while feigning to comply with them...

One only needs to replace the word "son" by the word of "Satan" to describe the (first) "Constantine Donation" that occurred in Christian sect. Conspicuously, as Satan offered to pass on authorities to Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ"), the latter transfers to his henchmen, of course again for being worshiped, in exchange. That is

the “authority” of counterfeit money by which Christian sect submitted and enslaved whole nations and continents.

This means that he (Ben-Pandera) and his Christian sect are caring for Satan’s and his “son’s” addressing and worshiping as “god”, respectively, as “god’s son” and accomplishes, respectively, perpetrates Satan’s or a Satanic abomination on Earth.

4. The third “Temptation” debunking the Lie of Crucifixion. i.e. the Gist of Christian Sect

Here, we first have to mention that a feature of the Messiah (Greek: Christos) is to become King of Israel^[x]. Failing to become King of Israel debunks the one that claims to be Messiah (Greek: Christos) just as vainglorious impostor. Since Ben-Pandera failed to become “King of Israel” (Joh 12:13) on Palm Sunday and Christian sect – very typical of desperado! -- does not want to admit self-deception of his and its own – it conceals the feature of the Messiah (Greek: Christos) according to the Bible (Christians’ cant: “Old Testament”). This temptation refutes Christian sect’s most hype and abomination and consequently the gist of Christianity, i.e. the purported vicarious suffering of Ben-Pandera for the sins of the world. The latter is just the perfidious cant of the wretched failure of the desperado and very bad loser Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus “Christ”) wanting to accede to the throne of Israel as “King of Israel” (Joh 12:13) at the eve of Palm Sunday and had to accede to the gallows or cross. Instead of keeping to the facts, this objectification of perfidy (that is perfidy having become human form) impudently replaced the one deceit -- wanting to become “King of Israel” and hereby hoping to turn out as Messiah (Greek: Christos) – by another one: pretending the shame, disgrace and ignominy of a loser, criminal, felon and death penalty convict as “vicarious suffering for the sins of the world” or “vicarious atonement”. Again, he and his fellow henchmen (Christians) once more and again did not resist the evil as fabricate this knavery superseding a former one. Christian censors obviously deemed to dupe us with the fake that the impostor (Ben-Pandera) heroically resisted Satan. However, they did not notice that they also had to clean their “gospels” from this so-called third “temptation” by Satan. This “temptation” debunks Christians canting of “vicarious atonement” and “vicarious suffering” as blatant lies and mega deceit...

Lu 4:9 -12 NRSV

9 Then the devil took him to Jerusalem, and placed him on the pinnacle of the temple, saying to him, "If you are the Son of God, throw yourself down from here,

10 for it is written, 'He will command his angels concerning you, to protect you,'

11 and 'On their hands they will bear you up, so that you will not dash your foot against a stone.'"

12 Jesus answered him, "It is said, 'Do not put the Lord your God to the test.'"

Here, Satan correctly refers to the Bible (Christians’ cant: “Old Testament”), namely, to Psalm 91 (12-13):

Ps 91:11-12 NRSV

11 For he will command his angels concerning you to guard you in all your ways.

12 On their hands they will bear you up, so that you will not dash your foot against a stone.

While wanting to present Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus “Christ”) the instigator of Christian abomination or sect as an “upholder of morals standards”, who purportedly heroically withstood Satan’s huge bribes, although Ben-Pandera’s standards forbid to resist the evil and evildoers (see: Mt 5:39) and although the “gospels” claim that the bribes in question he already – and not Satan – was keeping (see: Mt 28:18), Christian censored failed to see that here the gist and/or hard core of this sect totally is unmasked as pernicious deceit, i.e. that Christianity is but foul mouth and foul play. Remarkably Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus) does not contradict Satan that he completely is “mistaken”. Ben-Pandera does not answer that “god” does not spare the faking “son of god” from suffering. On the contrary, according to “wise” Christian perfidiology (Christians’ cant: “theology”) already before “god” created the universe, he already made up his mind to send once a part or “son” of his in the world “to suffer as innocent lamb of god” utmost tortures on the cross. Otherwise but by this vent, the Christians’ fake of “god” completely is unable to cool down his anger, hatred and vindictiveness on the sins his creations, i.e. human beings. This dummy of Christian desperadoes’ “god” cannot but wanting blood, torture, execution, death penalty and hanging etc. Otherwise, the desperado, pardon, “god” is unable to forgive... Who doubts that Ben-Pandera complied with the demands of Satan in the desert and consequently Christian sect addresses and worships Satan as “god”?

Here, Satan quotes the Bible (Christians’ cant: “Old Testament”). The “son of god” is that protected by god so that the angels even protect him that even a foot of his will not dash against a stone. Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (Christians’ cant: Jesus “Christ”) does not contradict Satan that god does not spare the “son of god” from suffering. The only objection Ben-Pandera has on Satan is that one should not put god to test. However, as well Satan as his (Jesus) Christ is totally excused since they did not study fine Christian perfidiology, pardon, “theology” ...

Here, we have to things to state:

Firstly, any Christian allegation that Ben-Pandera was designed by “god” to suffer for any “vicarious atonement” – the gist of Christian sect -- as earliest again is claimed after his encounter with Satan in the desert and more over after his wretched failure to become “King of Israel” on Palm-Sunday. In addition it is claimed while perpetrating perfidy of the psychological projection of divorcing Bible passages from their context, namely, Ps 91:12 12, Mt 4:6, Lu 4:10-12 and in particular De 21:23:

De 21:22-23 NRSV

*22 „If a man has committed a sin deserving of death, and he is put to death, and you hang him on a tree,
23 „his body shall not remain overnight on the tree, but you shall surely bury him that day, so that you do not defile the land which the LORD your God is giving you as an inheritance; for he who is hanged is accursed of God.*

Here, the Bible (Christians’ cant: “Old Testament”) does not only say that god commands his angel to protect his “son” that his feet even do not dash a stone, here the Bible (Christians’

cant: "Old Testament") calls somebody hanging on something made of wood (gallows or cross) to be accursed of god. Who is the most accursed one of god? The answer: It is Satan. Consequently, even from this passage we realize that Christian sect addresses and worships Satan as "god", "god's son" and "Christ". This is impressively stressed by Christian sect's history we want to sum up in the following rhyme:

*Victims cry at the stake,
Christ is Satan's fake!*

This is further conclusive evidence that Christians cannot claim any important dogma without divorcing corresponding Bible passages from it context.^[xi] In addition, "vicarious suffering" and "vicarious atonement" only be claimed by divorcing of Bible passages from it context that expressively reject "vicarious suffering" and "vicarious atonement" like, for instance, De 24:16, Eze 18:20, 2Ki 14:6, 2Ch 25:4, Ho 6:6.

Even by an evasive answer, Ben-Pandera is completely right to reject such a test. It is not to expect that god or his angel would save a Satanic impostor who does not want to resist the evil (see: Mt 5:39) and more over turns out as an accursed on of god (see: De 21:23) or Satan's Messiah (Greek: Christos). God saves his Anointed One (Hebrew: Messiah, Greek: Christos) from suffering that even his foot will not dash against a stone. However, concerning Satan's Christ - as accursed of god as Satan - he even does not care if he hangs. Why should he?

This means, even according to today's Christians' perfidiology (Christians' cant: "theology") Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus) would have committed suicide if he would have thrown down himself from the pinnacle of the temple. God would not have cared about Satan's and the Christians' Christ as he - even according to Christian "doctrines" -- did not do so when the latter was punished and hanged adequately for the felonies he perpetrated. Christians know that god saves the Messiah (Greek: Christos) from suffering according to Ps 91:11-12 but not Satan's or the Christians' Christ. Here, it is to state that the accursed of god are destined to hang...!

Ben-Pandera went in the desert to ponder if he shall dare and start perpetrating this organized crime, terror and abomination (Christianity) or refrain from instigating this sect of foul mouth and foul play that turned out as planet Earth most organized crime (religious Mafia) and abomination. In the very start, he did not know that his attempt will end up by acceding to the gallows or cross instead of acceding to the throne of Israel. Being "King of Israel" is an indispensable and later concealed feature of the Messiah (Greek: Christos)^[xii]. Ben-Pandera failed to match and therefore does not match. Due to this lack, he sufficiently is debunked as a vainglorious mountebank, if not as Satan's Christ according to the (concealed) pact with Satan, he and his henchmen (Christians) call "temptations". Ben-Pandera run for the office of King of Israel by crimes of insurgence, i.e. terrorism (see: Joh 12:13), in vain, in order to turn out as Messiah (Greek: Christos) but wretchedly failed to do on Palm Sunday. It is very typical of desperadoes that they do not give in, never lower their signs or eat humble pie if they lose, viz, do not get what they fancy to get. Then, desperadoes are used to making up their mind to bag that by foul mouth and foul play what they cannot obtain by fair play. This sort of individual is what Ben-Pandera means when talking about "the sick needing a physician" (Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31f). That is also the summary of Christianity: Bagging that

by foul mouth and foul play what Christian bastards n' dastards cannot get by fair play. "God", "vicarious atonement", "vicarious suffering", "truths", "love" and "charity" are Christian deceivers' counterfeit money.

That why his henchmen (Christians) conceal the indispensable feature as Messiah (Greek: Christos). Since Ben-Pandera does not match the feature of god's Christ, Christians are used to concealing the feature of King of Israel for that what they call "Christ", since they do not want to become debunked addressing and worshipping a vainglorious felon from the death row as "Christ"!

*No King, no Christ!
Just Satan's Catamite!
And "Christ" for Gothamites...!*

Therefore, at the start of his perfidy (Christians' cant: "mission") there was no need to cant the shame, disgrace and ignominy of a felon from the death row since he was optimistic to succeed in becoming a cheered "King of Israel" (Joh 12:13) and to turn out as Messiah (Greek: Christos). Therefore, at the time there was no need to cant shame and ignominy of a miserable loser and impostor as "vicarious suffering". Therefore, Ben-Pandera saw no reason to contradict Satan that the "son of god" is spared even by the slightest suffering. Similarly, there was no need to drivel something about a "resurrection of the son of god" after purportedly being dead for three days since Ben-Pandera could not foresee at that time that he once only can get oneself off the hook by gulling a poor perisher of his to be executed death penalty in place of his (Ben-Pandera). Therefore, at that time there was no need to "reason" to his accomplice why the purported "executed" and therefore "dead" one, still eked out an existence in the Christian catacombs. At that the time there was no need to cant a murder of Jesus Satan's Christ! (Gulling someone else into execution in place of oneself is murder!). Therefore, Ben-Pandera did not object to Satan's quotations from the Bible (Christians' cant: "Old Testament")!

5. Evaluation the three "Temptation"

Evaluating the three "temptations", it is to state: Even here, we can demonstrate that Christians' "Holy Writ" does not debunk Christian sect less than science and reason. German philosopher Nietzsche (1844-1900) called Christian "'Faith' means the will to avoid knowing what is true" [xiii], being endeavored "that the truth shall never be allowed its rights on any point" [xiv] and "lying at any price" [xv] and "lying at any price" [xv]. Why do Christians do so? The answer: They do so because "they refused to love the truth" (2Th 2:9) and use all "power, signs, lying wonders (e.g. resurrection of their death penalty convict from the dead) and every kind of wicked deception" (2Th 2: 9f) in order to trap credulous, imbecile, weak-minded and their fellow desperadoes, bastards n' dastards! Again and once more, we have to question: where is the difference of their condemnation by reason, science, on the one hand, and the "Holy Writ" of their own, on the other. If James - the so-called brother of the Lord - says that god tempts nobody and everybody who feels tempted by god is tempted by the depravity of its own, then all the evilness and criminality Ben-Pandera and the Christians impute as well to god as to Satan are those ones of their own, i.e. Ben-Pandera and

the Christians produce “powerful delusion leading them (their prey and their victims) to believe what is false” (2Th 2:11), “wicked deception” (2Th 2:10), “lying wonders” (2Th 2:9) etc.. This depravity that is called Christianity, and it is to “serve to poison, caluminate”^[xvi] and destroy man’s social existence.

What does it mean that Ben-Pandera met himself in another form (shape) when encountering Satan in the desert? The reply: It means that traps set by the devil, his (Jesus) Christ or Christian sect are the same!

Let us take a closer look at the “powerful delusion” the trinity of Satan, his (Jesus) Christ and all their henchmen and henchwomen (Christians) want to perpetrate against humanity and humankind:

2Th 2:7 -8 NRSV

7 For the mystery of lawlessness is already at work, but only until the one who now restrains it is removed.

8 And then the lawless one will be revealed...

Shaul Paul is too “modest” and “humble” as to admit the psychological projection that he (Shaul Paul) already revealed who is, respectively, are the lawless one(s):

Ro 10:4 NRSV

4 For Christ is the end of the law ...

That means the lawless ones are Ben-Pandera and this Christians. Perfidy means that one only feigns to comply with laws and standards but fights that what one fakes to stand for and stands for that what one pretends to fight. As concerning Ben-Pandera one cannot be sure what he uttered while being drunken and what when he was sober, regarding Shaul Paul one cannot be sure if he really thinks that what he writes, or if he wants to ridicule Christian sect or even mocks at credulousness of the average individual. However, whatever might be the case, hardly somebody has described the being of Christian sect more precisely:

2Th 2:9 -10 NRSV

9 The coming of the lawless one is apparent in the working of Satan, who uses all power, signs, lying wonders,

10 and every kind of wicked deception for those who are perishing, because they refused to love the truth and so be saved.

Here, Shaul Paul – obviously by a psychological projection – precisely describes the nature of Christian sect as Satanic according to the standards of his own and to those ones of

Christian sect. As we demonstrated above, the here mentioned “lying wonders” Ben-Pandera did not claim being able to “work” before he encountered Satan in the desert and even while he was encountering him but afterwards. That is the most evidence what really occurred between Satan and his (Jesus) Christ in the desert. For instance, “authorities to bind and to lose on earth was at the same time is bound and lose in heaven” (see: Mt 16:19) or keeping “all authorities in heaven and on Earth” (Mt28:18) etc., i.e. the counterfeit money on which “authorities” of Christian sects even are based today and by which it perniciously tries outwitting reason, human rights, humanity and democracy are claimed by Ben-Pandera after his meeting with Satan and not before. In addition, it is reported that Satan offered those “authorities” to Ben-Pandera as content of a pact. Behind all Christians’ pretensions, this demonstrates clearly that Satan and Ben-Pandera made a pact in desert which product is Christian sect and all its “tenets” or psychologically spoken: That Satan is a psychological projection of Ben-Pandera and the Christians, in fact, who they themselves understand the evil and Satan. “God” is what Ben-Pandera and the Christians fake to be and Satan or Satanic is that what they are.

As said, Shaul Paul precisely describes (and debunks) Christian sect here by projecting its depravity on Satan as Ben-Pandera already modeled to do it. Successful pied pipers often laugh up their sleeve how credulous most individuals are. The author of this exposition once got acquainted with a former high official of Catholic sect. He told the author that the bishop-desperadoes and higher ranked ones in private sneer at imbecility of their prey and victims (Christians’ cant: „sheep”) what ridiculous things one can tell them, and they seriously believe... Indeed, one can get the impression that the Christian victims switch off their brain and instantly turn into robots when entering a Christian “church”...

As Saul Paul witnesses Ben-Pandera “is the end of the law” (see: Ro 10:4), i.e. he and his Christian henchmen and henchwoman are the lawless ones. Shaul Paul even says that “the lawless one is apparent in the working of Satan, who uses all power, signs, lying wonders.” (2Th 2:9). Hereby, indirectly and inadvertently or perhaps even deliberately – that is not that easy to decide as Christian perfidiologists (Christians cant: “theologians” do- Shaul Paul says that Ben-Pandera (nickname: Jesus) “is apparent in the working of Satan” (ibid.). Here, once more and again we face the fact that the Bible does not less debunk Christianity as abominable (religiously spoken: Satanic) depravity (foul mouth) and criminality (foul play) on humankind.

Hereby, it is evident that Ben-Pandera matches the features of Satan, on top of everything corresponding to the Christians’ own “doctrines”. One cannot recall it enough when elucidating Christian sect, all organized crimes and the criminals are at loggerheads with the laws and therefore break them. Each criminal wishes the “end of the law” (Ro 10:4) since only the laws make him prisoner unless it is not about official Christian criminals. Each Mafioso must be ready to kill his rivals or get killed (Christians’ cant: “martyred”) by them. Nothing else are Christian “martyrs” and their pretended “martyrdoms”. That is common for all organized crimes! If Christians rule, i.e. if the lawless ones rule, the lawful ones can be jailed and killed (e.g., at the stake while being alive). Therefore, Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera knows that he only get the criminals (Christians’ cant: “the sick needing a physician”, see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31f) to address and worship him as god if he corresponds to their desire of lawlessness and easy “remission” of their criminality (Christians’ cant: “sins”). .

- Does not Ben-Pandera use every kind of wicked deception like, for instance, deceits by perfidy (semantic simulations, psychological projections, provocation crimes etc.? That is what we are going to expound in the second chapter of this treatise!

- Does not Ben-Pandera chose poor perishers, i.e. the sick needing a physician (Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31f) and no physician can help?

Do not the Christians “avoid knowing what is true” [xvii] and lie “at any price” [xviii] and are ready to stop at nothing in order to repress and falsify the truths and even to die for their endeavor that their lies are regarded as “truths” (but not for the truths)?

*When Satan in the desert kissed his Catamite,
Then came into being the sect of Jesus “Christ”!*

Read the next section of Jesus and Satan’s encountering in the desert ([click here](#)): ***Sixthly:
The illustrations of the desert's temptations in Rio De Janeiro and Lisbon***

For this context I also recommend to see [chapter 5.3](#) (The Temptations and its context to the "Sermon on the Mountain")

[PREVIOUS](#) | [HOME](#) | [TABLE OF CONTENTS](#) | [NEWS](#) | [THE CROSS DECEPTION](#) | [WHAT DID JESUS LOOK LIKE?](#) | [THE \(CRIBBED\) STAR OF BETHLEHEM](#) | [APHORISM](#) | [MY STUFF](#) | [NEXT](#) |

© 2006 [HANS HENNING ATROTT](#) - All rights reserved. - Reproduction only for private use and/or purposes of studying and archiving by research and/or library institutes - otherwise without permission strictly prohibited. This site is still under construction.

Annotations:

[i] Pertaining to Christians’ psychological projection of divorcing Bible passages from its context see: H. Atrott, Christians’ psychological Projection of Divorcing Bible Passages from its Context, 2006, on: <http://www.bare-jesus.net/e2062.htm>

[ii] Schopenhauer, Arthur; die Welt als Wille und Vorstellung (The World as Will and Idea), ed. Wolfgang Freiherr von Löhneysen, Frankfurt/M. 1960, § 65, IV. book, Vo. I, p. 500, Translation from the German by my own. German text: „Die Bitte: 'Führe mich nicht in Versuchung' sagt: Laß' es mich nicht sehn, wer bin ich."'

[iii] Pertaining to psychological projections of Ben-Pandera and Christian sect see: H. Atrott, Christians' psychological Projections: "Divorcing Bible Passages from its Context", 2006, on: <http://www.bare-jesus.net/e2062.htm>

[iv] See: H. Atrott, Christians' psychological Projections..., ibidem

[v] The Gospel According to Thomas , Translated by Thomas O. Lambdin, Logion 14,

Selection made from James M. Robinson, ed., The Nag Hammadi Library, revised edition. HarperCollins, San Francisco, 1990, on: <http://www.gnosis.org/naghamm/gthlamb.html>

[vi] Pertaining to the fact that Ben-Pandera was unable to work wonders as Christians purport, see: Hans Henning Atrott, Evidence that neither Jesus nor Peter could work any Wonder but Hypnosis and Sorcery , on: <http://www.bare-jesus.net/e402.htm>

[vii] Even the fist council of Vaticanum I (1869 -1870) called the vainglorious impostor's (Ben-Pandera's) "miracles" the most evidence of his to be "god" ... Do not make me laugh!

[viii] The Gospel of Thomas, Loc.cit, Logion 77

[ix] ACTS OF JOHN, From "The Apocryphal New Testament", M.R. James-Translation and Notes, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1924, Scanned and Edited by Joshua Williams, Northwest Nazarene College, 1995, <http://www.gnosis.org/library/actjohn.htm>, last call on: 09/10/2007, § 96

[x] The office of the "King of Israel" as indispensable feature of the Messiah (Greek: Christos) he wanted to match by trying to become "King of Israel" on Palm Sunday but wretchedly failed to do so, see:, Jer 23:5-6 , Mi 5:2, 1Chr 17:11, 2Sa 7:13, Dan 7:14, Ps 2:6, Mt 2:2, Mt 27:11, Mt 27:29, Mt 27:39, Mt 27.42, Mr 15:2, Mr 15:2, Mr 15:18, Mr 15:26, Lu 19:38, Lu 23:2, Lu 23:37, Joh 1:49 Joh 12:13, Joh 12:15, Joh 18:39, Joh 19:21.

[xi] See: H. Atrott, Christians' psychological Projections..., ibidem

[xii] See: Annotation x

[xiii] Nietzsche loc. cit § 52

[xiv] Ibidem

[xv] Nietzsche Loc.cit § 47

[xvi] Ibidem

[xvii] Nietzsche loc. cit § 52

[xviii] Nietzsche loc. cit § 47