

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian



**Accordance of first ever Christian Church Order "Didache" with the Koran**

**"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian**

**"...the world-deceiver {shall appear} as a son of God;" (Didache 16:8)**

**Third section of three**

This file is also available in [Arabic \(click here\)](#) and as PDE, ZIP and WORD.EXE

To begin with, we want to make clear that the "Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles"

- is earlier than the chronicles (Christians' cant: "gospels") of Christian "New Testament" and
- the notion of "apostles" in this context refers to the left eleven "apostles" and the flock that is mentioned in Lu 10:1. This first ever Christian Church Order
- never was declared a non-authentic scripture by Christian sect.

The popes could not do so since one of the most important trick is lying without blushing that Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") and his early very henchmen were "lovable" people. They are – against better knowledge – lied as individuals only wanting to "love" everybody, permanently looking for the end of the world (Christians' cant: "kingdom of god"), being unable even to hurt a fly and always prosecuted by the evil world... This trick that Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") and his early henchmen are excellent but everything in Christian sect was vitiated by Christian (Catholic) church was not applied by German desperado Martin L., first. It exists since there is this foul play (Christian sect).

We want to debunk this trick as Christian masking, right from the beginning of this sect. James – the so-called brother of the Lord who died before the year 50 C.E. -- complains that the sect of his brother is a negative selection of criminals (his brother's cant: "the sick needing a physician" (see: Mt 9:12. Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31):

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

**Jas 4:1-2 NKJV**

**1 ¶ Where do wars and fights come from among you (Christians)? Do they not come from your desires for pleasure that war in your members?**

**2 You lust and do not have. You (Christians) murder and covet and cannot obtain. You (Christians) fight and war.**

Here, i.e. by Christian fake of "god's word" it is proven that Christians are felons, even murderers, right from the beginning. German desperado Martin L. -- instigator of Christian Protestantism -- seeing that this part of Christian "god's word" is evidence against his lie of the "lovable" early Christian community vitiated by Catholic church wanted to do that what Christian rogues ever did: blotting out all evidence that belies Christian impostors. Martin L. wanted to remove this epistle from Christian "New Testament" although he always vowed that one only needs to refer to the scripture ("sola scriptura") -- purportedly the most "certain" and "truthful matter" in the world... One Christian bigwig is as perfidious as another one!

Declaring the first ever Church Order to be "non-authentic", i.e. a lie, forgery etc. or as false, belies the just mentioned trick of Christian deceit. It would "prove" the contrary of the lie in question. It would prove that the beginning of Christian sect (Ben-Pandera and his closet henchmen) was foul and always was to "improve" by later halfwits pretending to know everything better than those to which they refer for sham-evidence... Christian sect saw that it could not paint the "nice picture" of very, very early Christianity, on the one hand and declare the first Christian Church Order as blasphemy... However, compared to very early Christianity, either Christianity of today or early Christianity is blasphemy... However, since Christianity is based on occurrences, e.g.

- "virginal birth",
- "immaculate conception" as shammed "evidence" for Ben-Pandera "deity" or
- his "crucifixion",
- "resurrection from the dead" and
- "bodily ascension of heaven" to claim "vicarious atonement" for all the Christians -

those, who are closer to the occurrences, are better witnesses of them than those living centuries later and only hearing about the real or fancied events from others. Therefore, the very early Christian sect is original Christianity. This stays true even if later Christian followers find faults with Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") and his closets henchmen (Christians' cant: "disciple") that they did not study "wise" Catholic "theology"...

The comparison of the Didache with Christianity of today, i.e. the comparison of original Christianity with later (by popes and councils) fabricated Christian sect, provides evidence that Christian sect concocted their "doctrines" and "dogmas" as it needed them to bluff, hoodwink, bamboozle and trap their victims. I.e. Christian sect fabricated that what it calls "inerrant" and "absolute true" doctrines in order to rule, dominate and control the masses corresponding to the Christian bigwigs' or slaveholders' (Christians' cant: "good shepherds") obsession with lust for power. That what they deemed to be expedient for that, they contrived as "inerrant" and "absolutely true" belief. Being desperadoes, they even terrorized those who contradict them in that way that they have to go to hell, unless they already burnt them while being alive. That what a sect threatens others purportedly to happen (in hell), they already inflict on them here if they have the political power to do so with impunity... Tell me the hell of somebody and I will tell you what harm he wants to inflict on you, if you withstand his obsession with lust for power!

The claim that their once had been marvelous Christianity is the same lie as that one about Loch ness or that there are green men on the Mars.

The known structure of "gospels" that today is presented as a matter of course, e.g., the

- story of the birth of Jesus (the death penalty convict) (by the way, cribbed from Christian rival Mithras religions<sup>[1]</sup>),
- juggling of sorcery,
- dissemination "tenets" and doctrines,

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

- purported permanent prosecution by the Jewish clergies,
- "vicarious suffering on the cross" for the redemption of the sins of his believers,
- the sorcerers' "resurrection from the dead" and
- announcement of doomsday

is no matter of course but a later profile to which the so-called "authentic gospels" were concocted. Even each of today known "authentic gospel" does not comply with that profile, for instance, Mark and John refuse to adopt the story about Jesus' (the death penalty convict's) birth, as already said cribbed from the rival Mithras religion.

After having fabricated the "authentic gospels" and finally canonized them (that means: having made the writings part of Christian "New Testament") in the year 367, Christian tried eradicating all other early "gospels" and other corresponding "writings" to blur, darken and hide from what other already existing scriptures the alleged "authentic gospels" are cribbed and concocted. Hereby the followers of perfidy and lust for power (Christians), in particular, did not only want to keep secret what they

- adopted but also
- "complemented" and what they
- omitted, i.e., what they
- concealed.

However to produce more conclusive evidence, we first want to demonstrate what tenets the Christians disseminated, before the so-called "authentic" "gospels" came into being. Christians later and still today perpetrated deception that immediately after Jesus', i. e. the felon's, disappearance from the view (thieves' cant: "crucifixion" and "resurrection from the dead") four "honest" Christian "authorities" set down the "gospels" from the remembrance of their own. That is a brazen lie! For this insidious deception, Christians tried blotting out almost all early scriptures of theirs. At best, only those four concocted chronicles should exist, they fake as "authentic gospels", until today.

So, before pointing out the concoctions of the so-called "authentic gospels", we want to expound that what was taught before, to make known what the "authentic gospels" changed.

Due to the already mentioned crime, Christian church by and by tried destroying everything that could convict it lying and deceiving. This was a hard job and not only one but a lot of unscrupulous deceivers could accomplish that. Of course, for reasons we already pointed out, Christian sect wanted to blot out the knowledge of the Didache and succeeded to do so, for centuries. The scripture was rediscovered in a monastery in Istanbul (Turkey) and in modern times first was republished in 1883. Since this writing is very important evidence for the aim of our exposition, we first want to expound credibility and, in particular, significance of it for very early Christian sect.

Let us recall, it is about a scripture that never was condemned by Christian sect (Christians' cant: "church") to be non-authentic for reasons we already pointed out. Not only the author of this exposition but also many Christians "theologians" assumed that this scripture stems about from the year 50. This also would mean a great influence of Ben-Pandera's stepbrother James, who was the immediate successor of Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") as head of the Christian community in Jerusalem. Roswell D. Hitchcock, the editor publishing the English translation of the "Didache" on [www.reluctant-messenger.com](http://www.reluctant-messenger.com) writes:

***"The Didache claims to have been authored by the twelve apostles. While this is unlikely, the work could be a direct result of the first Apostolic Council, c.50 C.E. <Acts 15:28>. Similarities to the Apostolic Decree are apparent, and the given structure of the church is quite primitive... Most scholars agree that the work, in its earliest form, may have circulated as early as the 60's C.E., though additions and modifications may have taken place well into the third century. The work was never officially rejected by the Church, but was excluded from the canon for its lack of literary value."***<sup>[2]</sup>

The claim "...for its lack of literary value" is a transparent presumption that shall divert attention from the fact

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

that the "Didache" diametrically contradicts that what today is fobbed off as "inerrant" and "absolute true" Christian "truths". Concerning Christian "theologians", one always has to keep in mind that they try telling as well Christian lies as deceits and therefore assume everything favorable for Christian deception, unless they make themselves a laughing stock. Jonathan Draper explains this scripture's significance in the following way:

*"It (the Didache) has been depicted by scholars as anything between the original of the Apostolic Decree (c. 50 AD) and a late archaising fiction of the early third century. It bears no date itself, nor does it make reference to any datable external event, yet the picture of the Church which it presents could only be described as primitive, reaching back to the very earliest stages of the Church's order and practice in a way which largely agrees with the picture presented by the NT, while at the same time posing questions for many traditional interpretations of this first period of the Church's life. Fragments of the Didache were found at Oxyrhyncus (P. Oxy 1782) from the fourth century and in coptic translation (P. Lond. Or. 9271) from 3/4th century. Traces of the use of this text, and the high regard it enjoyed, are widespread in the literature of the second and third centuries especially in Syria and Egypt. It was used by the compiler of the Didascalia (C 2/3rd) and the Liber Graduum (C 3/4th), as well as being absorbed in toto by the Apostolic Constitutions (C c. 3/4th, abbreviated as Ca) and partially by various Egyptian and Ethiopian Church Orders, after which it ceased to circulate independently. Athanasius describes it as 'appointed by the Fathers to be read by those who newly join us, and who wish for instruction in the word of goodness' [Festal Letter 39:7]."*<sup>[3]</sup>

The view that the Didache "largely agrees with the picture presented by the" New Testament obviously is founded by the slogan "faith shifts mountains" (see: Mt 17:20) and the truths anyway. As illustrated here, "The Didache or Teaching of the Twelve Apostles" is a very important element of early Christianity, in fact to early churches of the Orient that are less or not at all under the Vatican's thumb. Therefore, the doctrines presented by the Didache typify very early Christianity.

That means before any "authentic" chronicle (Christians' cant: "gospel") was concocted, compiled and edited, this scripture

- firstly did not only exist but
- secondly as well presents theory as
- thirdly practice of very early Christian sect. It more over
- fourthly was effective indeed for centuries.

Some Christian "theologians" for honest or dishonest reasons contest the early date of the "Didache". A German "theologian" Udo Schnelle writes:

*"The Didache means by 'the gospel' (8.2; 11.3; 15.3, 4) the Gospel of Matthew; thus the Didache, which originated about 110 CE, documents the emerging authority of the one great Gospel."*<sup>[4]</sup>

Statements like this one are the right stuff to crack jokes about Christian "theologians", who claim to be scientists. Not in the least, there is evidence for that saying. Such statement is due to Christian "theologians' belief " that "faith" (hereby they mean perfidy) means not knowing (in particular, not knowing what is true)! It is correct that "The Didache" speaks about "the gospel" in its mentioned passages. However, the inference that hereby the chronicle imputed to Matthew (Christians' cant: „The Gospel of Matthew“) is clued is nothing but ridiculous.

- Firstly, the chronicle (Christians' cant: "gospel") of Matthew that circulate about the change from the first to the second century is another one from that what later became canonized.<sup>[5]</sup> It is similar to the chronicle (Christians' cant: "gospel") of Barnabas. Here, Christian "theologians" claim that the today known "Gospel of Barnabas" is not that one that was banned by the "Decretum Gelasianum" at the end of the 5<sup>th</sup> century.

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

- Secondly, the premise of this allegation one only can call a wish.
- Thirdly, this German "theologian" obviously believes that the notion of "gospel" (Evangelium) was coined by authors, who wrote chronicles (Christians' cant: "gospels") about purportedly crucified and allegedly ascended to heaven Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ"), i.e., he fancies that the notion of "gospel" (Evangelium) did not exist before those later canonized chronicles were written. That is ridiculous and unveils insufficient knowledge of Christian "New Testament". Ben-Pandera coined the concept of "gospels".<sup>[6]</sup> Everything else is ridiculous. It would mean that first, there was the chronicle ("gospel") of Matthew and afterwards the preaching of Ben-Pandera ("Jesus Christ"), i.e. Ben-Pandera indirectly is accused having cribbed from Matthew... More over, does the author of the chronicle of Mark refer to "Matthew" when speaking about the "gospel"? Does the author of the "gospel of Luke" refer to "Matthew" when using the concept of "gospel"? As we already pointed out, by this concept (of "gospel") Ben-Pandera obviously wanted reject suspicion illicitly adding something to the Bible (Christians' cant: "Old Testament")<sup>[7]</sup> according to (De 4:2, De 12:32 and Pr 30:6).<sup>[8]</sup>
- Fourthly, even when the boss and death penalty convict after his stunt of "crucifixion" sneaked off, his henchmen regarded his words as "gospel" and therefore continued preaching the "gospel", although nothing was set down to paper, yet (see: Ac 5:42, Ac 8:25, Ac 8:35, Ac 8:40, Ac 11:20, Ac 14:7 etc.).

More over, "The Didache" is much more similar to "The Gospel of Thomas", since it is no chronicle (biography) of Ben-Pandera but a collection of his sayings. In fact, it neither clues to the chronicle ("gospel") that is imputed to Matthew nor to that one that is insinuated to Thomas since this Church Order evidently is earlier to both. However, that what is astonishing is the view of a Christian "theologian" that talking about a "gospel" means the existence of *written* scriptures that are called "gospels", at best already canonized by Christian sect. I.e., the mentioned fallacy comes into being since Christian "theologians" of today deem that a "gospel" must be committed to paper. One can also put it that way: they think that what is not written is no gospel. Then there is no "gospel" at all in Christian sect since for Ben-Pandera, for the Acts of the Apostles and early Christians, there is only one "gospel" and that is only an oral one: the spoken words of Jesus (the death penalty convict). The spoken words of Jesus (the death penalty convict) -- but not written chronicles -- are that what Jesus and the people in his flock call "the gospel". Also, the even canonized chronicles (Christians' cant: "gospels") prove that. However, the mistake of Schnelle does not grow on trees. Here, he is making the Freudian slip. Later and contemporary Christian "theology" regards as "gospels" primarily those written chronicles that are passed off as "authentic" ones. They firstly are fabricated corresponding Christians' bigwigs (Christians' cant: "good shepherds") lust for power and that what the latter deemed to be necessary for that and secondly the (written) recorded sayings of Jesus (the death penalty convict). However, on the contrary it is to question if those fabrications according to a scheme we already pointed out in [e901](#) can be called "gospels" since they are (faked) biographies and therefore we speak about chronicles. Ben-Pandera never taught chronicles like those ones the Christians impute to Matthew, Mark, Luke and John.

It is to assume that the authors of the Didache had the notion of the "gospel" Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") had since chronicles as "gospels" did not exist, then.

Let us look at some passages of those we already named as annotation:

*Mt 4:23 (NKJV)*

*23 ¶ And Jesus went about all Galilee, teaching in their synagogues, preaching the gospel of the kingdom, and healing all kinds of sickness and all kinds of disease among the people.* <sup>[9]</sup>

According to the logic of the last mentioned "theologian" the "Gospel of Matthew" already existed at the start of Ben-Pandera's (the death penalty convict's) career as itinerant preacher, and he preached or lectured "The Gospel of Matthew"... This would mean that first was the "gospel of Matthew" and afterwards its citation by Jesus (the death penalty convict)...

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

**Mt 24:14 NJKV**

**14 "And this gospel of the kingdom will be preached in all the world as a witness to all the nations, and then the end will come.**

According to the "logic" of the mentioned theologian this would mean that Ben-Pandera (the death penalty convict) here says that the "gospel" of Matthew -- that did not exist, yet -- would be preached in the world. Here, it is evident that the spoken words of Jesus (the death penalty convict) -- the spoken ones -- are the "gospel". This means that scriptures only can claim to be "gospels" inasmuch as they recorded.

Comprehension of the "gospel" changed by the interpolation of parts from Mithras religion about the birth of Mithras the Christians without further ado superimposed on Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") and the fairy tale about Ben-Pandera's "vicarious suffering" as "innocent lamb of god". Since Ben-Pandera does not mention something about an astrologically announced "immaculate birth", those claims do not refer to him as source. On the other hand, the canonized "chronicles" conceal that Jesus was confident to win his sedition to become "King of Israel", on Palm-Sunday (see: Joh 12:13). However, his wretched defeat on Palm Sunday and his ignominy as death penalty convict was to spin-doctor and to cant. Christians obviously did it corresponding to the slogan of desperadoes: the more exaggerated, e.g. faked as "god", "son of god" etc., the less people will dare to express the shame on their "prophet"... The Didache does not know the just mentioned fakes ("notions") since they obviously were contrived, respectively, cribbed from Mithras religion as reaction of the Jews' contempt for the death penalty convict that so gladly desired to be their king and "god" boasting to reconstruct a destroyed Jerusalem Temple within in few days but being unable get down alive from the gallows or cross...

Consequently, a few decades later, Christians called something a "gospel" that firstly Ben-Pandera rightly did not call a "gospel". One can put it also that way: Today, Christians' notion of "gospel" is not to reconcile with that one of their "god" (Ben-Pandera) to which they refer for shamming correctness.

To cut a long story short: As long as Ben-Pandera "officially" lived, this notion (of "gospel") implies collections of Ben-Pandera's sayings, i.e. the words or blather and baloney of his. In so far, the "gospel" imputed to Thomas and called to be apocryphal corresponds much more to Ben-Pandera's concept of "gospel" than the canonized do. Later, the Christians vitiated Jesus' concept of "gospel" as a chronicle (biography) of Ben-Pandera's life or that what the Christian pass off as his biography. That is why we do not call those fabrications "gospels" but chronicles.

However, if the Christians wanted each "gospel" to comply with this structure of a chronicle, they only could use the chronicles imputed to Matthew and Luke. Omitting plagiarism from Mithras religion about the "birth" of Ben-Pandera means that the authors of the chronicle of Mark and John did not want to drive lies and deceits that far or perhaps confined themselves to lies they deem to be necessary and therefore rejected those they deemed as superfluous ones. Otherwise, concealing such earthshaking intervention of "god" in world history would be lying by omitting. Therefore, "Mark" and "John" belie "Matthew" and "Luke".

Here we often emphasize that even mistakes do not grow on trees but have their premises, possibilities and consequences. As demonstrated, the mistake that a (Christian) "gospel" only can be an already existing scripture reveals much more than those who utter that fallacy presume.

"The Didache" refers to oral tradition of Ben-Pandera.

***"Do not in any way forsake the commandments of the Lord; but keep what you have received, neither adding thereto nor taking away therefrom." (Did 4:17-18)***

Here, the Didache refers to De 4:2, De 12:32 and Pr 30:6 prohibiting any annexes to the Bible (Christians' cant: "Old Testament"). One can understand this as a commandment to refrain from adding something to the Bible (Christians' cant: "Old Testament") as Christians finally perpetrated by addition four chronicles (Christians' cant: "gospels") and 23 other letters or books. Perhaps already at that time there was a discussion among more moderate Christians and extremists, if one should do so or not. Finally, the "gospels" became committed to paper with the intention to add it to the Bible (Christians' cant: Old Testament). Among criminals, mostly the extremists win. Anyway, since the authors of the Didache

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

emphasize that the Christians should nothing add or take away from god's word, it is to infer that this scripture was written at a time, in which no (written) chronicles -- i.e. no illicit Christian annexes to the Bible -- circulated, yet.

As long as the very early Christians speak about "His Gospel" as done in the Didache -- further abbreviated as Did -- (8:2) or of the "Gospel of our Lord" (Did 15:7) it is evident that at that time there only was one "gospel": the oral one of Jesus (the death penalty convict). As demonstrated, the Didache refers to Bible ("Old Testament") passages forbidding annexes to it. Already for this reason, it is to infer that the Didache refers only to the oral tradition of Ben-Pandera. Nothing else early Christians could do when gathering in the Jerusalem Temple to preach the "gospel", immediately after Ben-Pandera's disappearance from the view (see: Ac 5:42, Ac 8:25, Ac 8:35, Ac 8:40, Ac 11:20, Ac 14:7 etc.). It is not to assume that they first looked for Matthew ordering him: Hey, Matthew, please give us a "gospel", otherwise we do not know what "gospel" to preach...

That is why we also do not agree with the speculation that the "Didache bears no date itself, nor does it make reference to any datable external event" (Draper). The Didache provides evidence that at the time of its original composition, there were no written "gospels" at all and therefore only one: the oral one of Jesus (the death penalty convict). Today, Christians do so as if there is no prohibition of adding something to the Bible and therefore do not understand that the early Christians had scruples to do something an opponent easily could convict them of blasphemy. More over, transgressing the laws of the prophets could be punished by death penalty.

**Heb 10:28 NRSV**

**28 Anyone who has violated the law of Moses dies without mercy "on the testimony of two or three witnesses."**

Here, Heb 10:28 correctly refers to De 17:6. Thus, for early Christians it was not harmless to counteract commandments of the Bible (Christians' cant: "Old Testament").

Therefore, at that time there was no question about what "gospel" one is talking if talking about the "Gospel of our Lord" (Did *ibid.*). The "authority of the one great Gospel" (Schnelle) to the very early Christians is the oral one that was spoken by Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ").

Presuming the chronicle imputed to Matthew or any other "canonized" one as the mentioned "gospel" in the Didache is even more ridiculous since it contradicts that what Christians later pass off as "inerrant" and "absolutely true" "god's word".

Each child in Sunday schools of today learns that Jesus (the death penalty convict) was "son of god". This presents one pillar, if not as the most important one of Christian credo. Each of the canonized chronicles (Christians' cant: "gospels") styles Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") in that way, otherwise later Christians Never would have canonized them but called them "apocryphal" or the "non-authentic". .

"The Didache or Teaching of the Twelve Apostles" demonstrates that the fake of Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") as "god's son" is a later fabrication introduced in Christian sect when Christian extremists and terrorists prevailed. However, this was not the case, in the beginning of this sect. Insofar, one can state that the very early Christians were not those foul mouths as those after the victory of the terrorists. The "gospel" to which the Didache refers says that the "Son of god" is the world deceiver:

***"For in the last days false prophets and corrupters shall be multiplied, and the sheep shall be turned into wolves, and love shall be turned into hate; for when lawlessness increases, they shall hate and persecute and betray one another, and then shall appear the world-deceiver as Son of God, and shall do signs and wonders, and the earth shall be delivered into his hands, and he shall do iniquitous things which have never yet come to pass since the beginning."* (Did 16:6-10)**

Hereby, the early Christian community is the evidence that Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") obviously is a Muslim but no Christian. According to the Koran, on doomsday Ben-Pandera (Christians'

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

cant: Jesus "Christ") will witness against the Christians that he never made himself "god" and will care for all the Christians going to hell.

**Koran, Su 4:159**

**159 And there is none of the People of the Book but must believe in him (Jesus) before his death; and on the Day of Judgement he (Jesus) will be a witness against them (the Christians that he made himself "god");**

This is confirmed by the very, very early Christian tradition before it became forged, adulterated and tampered with Christian villains' lust for power by stopping at nothing, even not by faking a "god" of their own.

By the way, this very, very early scripture conspicuously calls Jesus (the death penalty convict) neither Messiah (Greek: Christos), nor "son of god" nor "god" but just the "servant" Παῖς of God (see: Did 9:3, 9:6, 10:2, 10:5).

A.D. Howell-Smith writes regarding the Didache (*Jesus Not a Myth, p. 120*):

"The simple Christology of Acts confronts us again in the so-called *Teaching of the Apostles*, a composite work, of which the first six chapters seem to be a Christian redaction of a Jewish document entitled *The Two Ways*, while the rest is the work of several Christian writers, the earliest belonging to the first century and the latest perhaps to the fourth. The Jesus mentioned in this book's account of the celebration of the Eucharist is just the "Servant" (Παῖς) of God, who has made known the "holy vine" of God's "Servant" David; nothing is said of the bread and wine being the body and blood of Jesus. The formula of baptism in the name of the Trinity, which is given in Chap. VII, must come from a later hand, though possibly earlier than Justin Martyr, who is familiar with it."<sup>[10]</sup>

Many Christian translators translate the Greek notion of Παῖς, which means "servant" as "son" of god in order to pretend that the early Christians regarded Jesus as "son of God" what the Didache confutes. Remarkably for those Christian translators Christianity makes no sense without Jesus as "god" or "god's son"... In order to pretend Jesus as "god" Christians insidiously and falsely want to give the impression that Jesus was regarded as "God" or "son of God" by his contemporary adherents, in particular, by his "disciples"... Those translators repress the truths that Jesus as "son of God" is a later idea disseminated by Paul, who obviously is in opposition with those who are eyewitnesses of Jesus' preaching. Therefore, they deceitfully translate "Servant" (Παῖς) as god. This translation already turns out to be misleading since Jesus in the Didache is put on the same level as David: as servants of God.

This is another evidence that Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") and his very early "apostles" are Muslims and no Christians. Servant of god or prophet of god that is how Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") is called in the Koran and this corresponds to the very early sources of Christianity. There is nothing to find in the Didache that contradicts the Koran but all Christian doctrines of today about Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") are confuted here as the Koran refutes them.

The one that appears as "Son of God" perpetrating all signs of sorcery (Christians' cant: "wonders") is the world-deceiver. What honest and truthful one ever could object to the early Christians...? What else says the Koran? The world-deceiver does "iniquitous things which never yet come to pass since the beginning" of the world (Did 16:10), e.g.

- having suffered death penalty not for the vices and felonies of his but for the ones of those addressing and worshipping him as "Son of God" or
- that even fakes "having risen from the dead" or even
- "having ascended to heaven", although only hell holds a place for the world-deceiver!

Who doubts? The best objections against the world-deceiver, i.e. Christian fake of Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") one can find in the Christians' own scriptures and the Koran. One just has to read them... Who wonders that Christian sect forbade the Bible to its victims (Christians' cant: "sheep")? Provided there is honesty and sincerity, the only miracle in Christian organized crime is the

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

fact how reason, the Koran and this early Christian scriptures consent. That means, here is recorded that what Christians, i.e. religious Mafiosi with will to power (Christians' cant: potestas ligandi and et solvendi), believed. This mean further that "deification" of Jesus (the death penalty convict), viz. declaring the latter to be "god" or "god's son" was a later development for reasons we are going to point out, below. Hence, the difference between reason and faith -- the followers of the sect of perfidy (Christians) are used to claiming -- does not exist. Demonstrably faith, if Jewish, Islamic or even the very early Christian one, do not condemn less the "Son of God" as world-deceiver as reason does.

Now, we can measure how much the Christians fear losing the mask of liars and deceivers when even making themselves a laughing-stock, e. g. when purporting that a scripture (Didache) refers to a canonized chronicle (imputed to Matthew) that concocts packs of lies for styling Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") as "son of god", which the Didache directly and verbatim calls the world deceiver...<sup>[11]</sup>

Here, in the very early scripture Jesus (the death penalty convict) like David is called as "servant of god" (Did 9:3). According to logic: omnis determinatio est negatio (each definition also is a negation) this also means that here it is confirmed that what Christians later regarded as blasphemy.

That fact that Jesus (the death penalty convict) is just named the "servant" Παις of God in this scripture does not mean that the Christian victims (Christians' cant: "sheep") are informed that way. As it is habit since German desperado Martin L. when Protestant sect made propaganda for its community allowing its victims (Christians' cant: "sheep") to read the Bible, the Christian deceivers helped themselves by translating wrongly embarrassing or debunking passages. For instance, the mentioned German desperado blurred and darkened the term eunuch (see: Mt 19:12) that as well exists in Latin (eunuchus), German (Eunuch) as in English (eunuch) by translating it with "verschnitten". That means: "cut".

Hereby, the Protestants play down if not hide that they palm a sect off as utmost excellence favoring "eunuch" as model for human beings. Eunuch as model for human beings ... do not make me laugh! Only creeps n' crooks are able to contrive that! Generally, Christian "New Testament's" translators of today translate the notion of son (Latin: filius) by child (see, for instance, Mt 5:9). Hereby, they do not want to make clear to their victims (Christians' cant: "sheep") that women do not count anything to "eunuch" Jesus (the death penalty convict). Especially if it is about execution of the death penalty convict by crucifixion, misguiding translations are a matter of course. Pertaining to the Didache, most national translators, whether English or of other nationalities ones mostly mislead their readers by wrongly translating the Greek notion of Παις (servant) of god with "son" of god (e.g. J. B. Lightfoot) or even by "child" (e.g. Kirsopp Lake). Christian lie that calling Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") servant or "son" of god would be the same. Firstly, this precisely is the difference between Christianity and Islam and secondly calling Ben-Pandera "servant of god" excludes any interference that Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") is equal or a peer to god. That is what the Christians lie by "confusing" the concepts of servant and son. Therefore, we predominately refer to the translation of Roberts-Donaldson cleaving to the truths, viz. to a correct translation<sup>[12]</sup>. However, even to Christian shrewd deceivers' hairsplitting is put a stop in the Didache since Jesus (the death penalty convict) is ranked to the same level with human being David:

***"We (early Christians) thank thee (god), our Father, for the holy vine of David Thy servant, which You madest known to us through Jesus Thy Servant..." (Did 9:3)***

Already putting David and Jesus (the death penalty convict) at the same level as "servants" of god is indirect but conclusive evidence that Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") is rejected as "god" by the early "apostles" and believers of Christian sect! However, this is not the only embarrassing things the Didache belies and unveils Christian megalomania about Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ"), today. Jesus -- the death penalty convict -- does not reveal god but the prophets, for instance, David. In the Didache Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") is not only lower ranked than god but also than King David likewise somebody explaining god is lower to god.

More over, the Christian censors failed to see that they hereby give the lie to their "authentic chronicles" regarding another aspect: The Jews never would have allow somebody to use their synagogues<sup>[13]</sup> whom

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

they allegedly wanted to kill, twenty-four hours the day as Christian "gospels" lie. That is what Christian chronicles (Christians' cant: "gospels") impute to the Pharisees by utmost obsession of "love" to hatred hardly to outdo! The Jews granted tolerance to this small organized crime (Jesus' sect), this organized crime (Christianity) never granted to its adversaries or enemies, in the least!

The most interesting thing about very early Christian Church's Order is that "enlightenment (of) ethics made much more sense than the (idolatry to) worship of Jesus as the crucified Christ and risen son of God."<sup>[14]</sup> The latter quotation demonstrates either how much Christians are robotized to believe in lies or how they insidiously lie. The lies of Christians firstly about a crucified Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") and secondly of a "risen son of god" are that robotized so that the Christians even do not notice when authentic and very, very early Christian scriptures, oh yeah, even Church Orders -- i.e. not only the one or other Christian "theologian" -- contradict, respectively, confute them... How can one say that the Didache indirectly accepts a "risen son of god" if the Didache warns us that the world-deceiver appears as Son of God (Did 16:8)? Here, a "son of god" is not only forgotten, ignored or neglected. "A risen son of god" is condemned and accursed as son of Satan or Christ (English: Anointed One) of Satan! However, Christians cannot but lying and deceiving. Even if there is written

*"...the world-deceiver {shall appear} as a son of God;" (Did 16:8)*

they nevertheless "avoid knowing what is true"<sup>[15]</sup> that Jesus is rejected, condemned and accursed as "son of god". The just quoted interpretation of a Christian would mean that the early Christian deliberately believe in somebody, they knew to be the world-deceiver! This might be a matter of course for that faking "martyrs of the truths", i.e. Christians of today, but not for the "'apostles'" of the flock of Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ"). Christian bishops of today claim to be the successors of these "apostles" that made the Didache. This succession is sheer lie and deceit since those „successors“, i.e. the later and contemporary bishops, believe in somebody the real disciples call world-deceiver. The successors of the Christian "apostles" are the Muslims. They keep that credo about Jesus the original "apostles" had.

Putting it mildly in favor of Christian sect, one can say that Christianity originally started as a sect of "moral" teachings, respectively, what it deemed as such and ended up as a criminal idolatry making a "god" and "morals" of its own to become unassailable foul mouth and foul play, i.e. an almost perfect crime while faking a "religion".

Not very unlike to Manichaeism, the early Christian preached a "way of life", on the one hand and another one of "death", on the other (see: Did 1:1. There is "a great difference between the two ways" (ibid.). People have to decide which one they want to follow. Very early Christianity tried to succeed as religion without the abominable lies and deceits about

- "vicarious atonement",
- "innocent lamb of god suffering for the sins of others",
- Eucharist as cannibalism and even without the
- fake ob Ben-Pandera's "resurrection from the dead".

As already clued, the fact that those "credos" being the hard core or gist of Christianity of today, are not mentioned in this very first Christian Church Order is the evidence that firstly those occurrences did not take place, respectively, such a meaning was attributed to -- the real or faked -- events, later. It is completely nonsense that this "First Church Order" which is a kind of by-laws, constitution and credos of those who in person followed the actually itinerant preacher Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") do not mention the most important things of that what they believe. However, by calling the "son of god" the "world-deceiver" (Did 16:8), they do not omit or ignore that what Christian extremists and terrorists later started to pass off as the very "gospel" of Jesus (the death penalty convict) but indirectly and strongly contradict that what Christians later and today preach as "inerrant" and "absolutely true" "god's word"...! Seen from Christianity of today, one can say that Christianity stems from "utmost abominable blasphemy". Seen Christian sect from those people, whose successors the Christian bishops of today fancy to be, Christianity of today is utmost abominable blasphemy -- not only according to reason and according to the Koran but also according to original Christian Church!

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

Demonstrating that original Christianity was no idolatry faking a miscarriage of nature to be "god"<sup>[16]</sup> but a kind of "moral tenets", we want to quote a major part from the first chapter of the Didache:

***"The way of life, then, is this: First, you shall love God who made you; second, love your neighbor as yourself, and do not do to another what you would not want done to you. And of these sayings the teaching is this: Bless those who curse you, and pray for your enemies, and fast for those who persecute you. For what reward is there for loving those who love you? Do not the Gentiles do the same? But love those who hate you, and you shall not have an enemy. Abstain from fleshly and worldly lusts. If someone strikes your right cheek, turn to him the other also, and you shall be perfect." (Did 1:2ff)***

This corresponds to passages later recorded by Mt 5:43-48 and Lu 6:32-35. Apparent rules of "morals", in particular, "meekness" but also already megalomania is disseminated. The way to death is blazed by violating the following commandants:

***"And the way of death is this: First of all it is evil and accursed: murders, adultery, lust, fornication, thefts, idolatries, magic arts, witchcrafts, rape, false witness, hypocrisy, double-heartedness, deceit, haughtiness, depravity, self-will, greediness, filthy talking, jealousy, over-confidence, loftiness, boastfulness; persecutors of the good, hating truth, loving a lie, not knowing a reward for righteousness, not cleaving to good nor to righteous judgment, watching not for that which is good, but for that which is evil; from whom meekness and endurance are far, loving vanities, pursuing revenge, not pitying a poor man, not laboring for the afflicted, not knowing Him Who made them, murderers of children, destroyers of the handiwork of God, turning away from him who is in want, afflicting him who is distressed, advocates of the rich, lawless judges of the poor, utter sinners. Be delivered, children, from all these." (Did 5)***

According to these standards, later Christianity and that of today is an objectification of the evil, i.e. an accomplishment of the evil in the world. Who wonders in view of the fact that it worships the world-deceiver, the "son of god"? Oh yeah, these commandments are that pretty so that each human being in the world really could advise the Christians to do so... However, here the "apostles" miss to say how "the sick needing a physician" (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31) will be able to abide by those pretty commandments... Here, the Christians already perpetrate psychological projections and try obliging others to moral standards they do not care, in the least. No wonder that this "moral institution" failed among "the sick needing a physician" (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31). Original Christianity failed since it advised others to standards, the Christians do not care. One point seems to be very interesting: Here, the early Christians name "over-confidence" belonging with the "way of death", too. No other organized crime ever benefited more from the fools' n' goofs' "over-confidence" but Christian blasphemy or organized crime...

While advising others to moral standards, Christian bastards n' dastards do not care about, the Didache produces evidence that in any case it temporarily is before the chronicle of Matthew:

***"Be neither an enchanter, nor an astrologer..." (Did 3:6)***

Hereby, the already existed and perhaps already cribbed story about the birth of Mithras "redeemer of the world" announced by a certain constellation of stars ("Star of Bethlehem") Christian superimposed on Jesus (the death penalty convict) is rejected.<sup>[17]</sup> This proves Christians' allegation and cribbing (from rival Mithraic religion) about "virgin birth" and "immaculate conception" as sheer contrivances created by Christian desperadoes' obsession with lust for power. The first Christian church order, i.e. created by those who really were in the flock of Jesus (the death penalty convict) here belie the (later) Christian "gospels", in particular Mt 1:18-25, Mt 2:1-12 and Lu 2:1-40. It is not to imagine that "The Apostles" would advise something directly contradicting an existing "Gospel of Matthew"...!

The author of this treatise wrote his investigation about "The (cribbed) Star of Bethlehem" in the years from 1998 until 2001 before starting <http://www.bare-jesus.net>. Most parts were written in the years from 1998-2000. In April 2006, the author of this treatise found an exposition by Brent Herbert: "A short history

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian of forgery and heresy in the Bible" in the Internet. Here, the author reports that contemporary archaeology confirms that the story about the purported "virgin birth" of the death penalty convict (Jesus) is a later interpolation of Christian forgers. Brent Herbert points out:

***This literary analysis is confirmed by the findings of archeology. We know from the discoveries of ancient manuscripts that copies of the Gospel of Matthew continued to circulate in the second century which do not include the Virgin Birth forgery, which was added to manuscript many decades after the original was produced by a forger. [18]***

Then Brent Herbert presents a photocopy of a manuscript of Matthew from the second century and points out:

***"The image above is of a manuscript of Matthew from the second century, and which is a 'critical edition' in that it incorporates all the variant versions of the manuscript relating to the text in question. Here there is no virgin birth story..."[19]***

Why do Christians even forge their "holy scriptures"? Since everything in Christian sect is lie, deceit, crime, foul mouth, foul play and abomination – why should not Christians continue to apply those tricks of which already their chronicles (Christians' cant: "gospels") and the whole "New Testament" is concocted? Later Christians only emulated the earlier ones, i.e. their idols. That is not the end of abominable Christian desperadoes and terrorists. We also produce evidence that Matthew did not write the canonized chronicle (Christians' cant: "gospel") the Christians impute to him.<sup>[20]</sup>

However, this is not our topic, now. Original Christianity did without spin-doctoring disgrace of the execution of Jesus (the death penalty convict) as "vicarious atonement for the sins of the Christians". These "the sick needing a physician" (Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31) presupposes an outraging god, who is that much indignant about the foul mouth and foul play of the Christians that he purportedly has to quench his hatred and vindictiveness at least on one individual the later Christians try fobbing off as "god" or "god's son"...

Conspicuously, very early Christian Order (Didache) does not claim any vicarious atonement and vicarious suffering of Jesus (the death penalty convict) for his believers. In contrast with the impression, Christians wanted to give later and even today, according to the "authentic" chronicles Jesus (the death penalty convict) never claimed to suffer for the sins of the world but only for those believing in him:

***Mr 16:16 NRSV***

***16 The one who believes and is baptized will be saved; but the one who does not believe will be condemned.***

If everybody who does not believe in the "ideology" – as far this term is not pompous pertaining to Christian sect – he is condemned only because he does not believe, i.e., regardless his moral quality, then it is deception to argue that Jesus (the death penalty convict) purportedly died and suffered for the sins of the Christians... Even other passages to which the sect of perfidy (Christianity) refers to bluff its victims (Christians' cant: "sheep") do not say something else, if scrutinized:

***Joh 3:16 NRSV***

***16 "For God so loved the world that he gave his only Son, so that everyone who believes in him may not perish but may have eternal life.***

This passage only is an outrage and abomination of an organized crime. More over, very, very typical of Christian, the Christians try palming this avowal to psycho-terror, terror and terrorism off as "love". This is the Christian desperadoes' love to hatred, vindictiveness, foul mouth and foul play! This slogan made the crusades rolling and the stakes burning. This passage often used as purported hype of Christian sects, in fact does not say anything else than Mr 16:16 says. In times when Christians cannot force individuals

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

to become victims to Christian bigwigs (Christians' cant: "good shepherds") any longer, there changes a lot. For instance, this hyped gimmick (of Joh 3:16) turns out as abominable tricks of tricksters, shifters and grifters wanting to make money, slaves and prey by terror and psycho-terror! An organized crime trying to condemn others only because they do not join it, is nothing but antisocial, hostile to humankind and humanity (Christians' cant: "love") and destroys the foundations of human's social existence. More over, Christians lie when try giving the impression that each religion is the same organized crime as Christianity. As example of the contrary, we want to quote the Koran:

**Koran, Su 2:256**

**226 Let there be no compulsion in religion: Truth stands out clear from Error: Whoever rejects Evil and believes in Allah hath grasped the most trustworthy handhold, that never breaks. And Allah heareth and knoweth all things.**

Indeed, truths do not need psychological terror and terror, at least perpetrated by Christian desperadoes. However, how else one could impose lies and deception for reasons of one's lust for power on one's conspecifics?

Already the existence of such an organization (Christian sect) is a human rights' abuse. Against such an organized crime, there are the right of self-defense and even the right of prevention of crimes. Christian sect is as criminal as it feigns to suffer "martyrdom" and to be "martyrs"... It suffers the martyrdom of its depravity and its lust for power (Christians' cant: potestas ligandi and et solvendi). Christians are "martyrs" as each member of an organized crime is a "martyr" when being killed while trying to murder the rival... There are no human rights of committing crimes, crusades and other sorts of abomination.

Therefore, the author of the treatise rejects Christian insidious lies and deception that Jesus (the death penalty convict) according to Christian perfidy died "for the sins of the Christians". Christian sect and their chronicles (Christians' cant: "gospels") conceal that their faking "god" first belonged with those "the sick needing a physician" (see: Mt 9:12. Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31). Birds of a feather flock together or where there is carcass (depravity) there are vultures (the Christians), (see: Mt 24:28, Lu 17:37)...

However, let us return to "The Didache or Teaching of the Twelve Apostles".

Demonstrably, early Christians did not perpetrate the perfidy to spin-doctor ignominy, disgrace, humiliation and shame of Jesus' purportedly hanging on the gallows or cross as "vicarious atonement" or "vicarious suffering for the sins of his believers"... Even the latter was a later fabrication since otherwise the Christian desperadoes could not fob Ben-Pandera's shame off on the victims of their deception.

In many ways, the Didache indirectly proves that. For instance, the purported execution of the death penalty convict is not mentioned and secondly Ben-Pandera's "resurrection from the dead", not at all. However, most conclusive evidence provides the way, in which "Eucharist" is explained, here. Again, we want to recall that here, first it is not about a scripture that never was declared to be "non-authentic" by Christian organized foul play. Secondly, we want to remember that the "Didache" is no a scripture of a "theologian" taking this or that view but the very early, presumably first ever order of Christian sect (Christians' cant: "church").

The original concept of "Eucharist" demonstrated in the "Didache" is independent from any "vicarious atonement" or "vicarious suffering" according to the original "tenets" of Christian sect. Here again, it confutes the later fabricated chronicles (Christians' cant: "gospels") to which some Christian perfidiologists (Christians' cant: "theologian") desire to refer them. According to the Didache the way of life is fancied a moral way, the way of death one of imagined immorality. Those going the way of life will be saved and those going the evil way will be condemned. The evil way is that one of the "son of god" the world-deceiver. This is the way Christian sect started going only a few decades later, until today. This truth is to verify by criminal history of Christian sect so that one can call Christianity an organized crime. Due to its significance and to give an impression of that what very early was, we want to quote the completely last chapter of the Didache. In the following, we will quote the translation of J. B. Lightfoot<sup>[21]</sup>, since it precisely numbers the verses:

**16:1 {Be watchful} for your life;**

**16:2 {let your lamps not be quenched and your loins not ungirded, but be ye ready;**

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

**16:3 for ye know not the hour in which our Lord cometh.}**  
**16:4 And ye shall gather yourselves together frequently, seeking what is fitting for your souls;**  
**16:5 for the whole time of your faith shall not profit you, if ye be not perfected at the last season.**  
**16:6 For in the last days {the false prophets} and corrupters shall be multiplied, and the sheep shall be turned into wolves, and love shall be turned into hate.**  
**16:7 For as lawlessness increaseth, {they shall hate one another and shall persecute and betray.**  
**16:8 And then} the world-deceiver {shall appear} as a son of God;**  
**16:9 {and shall work signs and wonders,} and the earth shall be delivered into his hands;**  
**16:10 and he shall do unholy things, which have never been since the world began.**  
**16:11 Then all created mankind shall come to the fire of testing, and many shall be offended and perish;**  
**16:12 {but they that endure} in their faith {shall be saved} by the Curse Himself.**  
**16:13 {And then shall the signs} of the truth {appear;}**  
**16:14 first a sign of a rift in the heaven, then a sign of a voice of a trumpet, and thirdly a resurrection of the dead;**  
**16:15 yet not of all, but as it was said:**  
**16:16 {The Lord shall come and all His saints with Him.**  
**16:17 Then shall} the world {see the Lord coming upon the clouds of heaven.}**<sup>[22]</sup>

Most significant is that the Didache debunks Christian cannibalism (e.g. "this is my -- Jesus' -- flesh and blood...") Christian euphemistically call "Eucharist" practiced until today:

**“ Now concerning the Eucharist, give thanks this way. First, concerning the cup:**  
**We thank thee, our Father, for the holy vine of David Thy servant, which You madest known to us through Jesus Thy Servant; to Thee be the glory for ever.**  
**And concerning the broken bread:**  
**We thank Thee, our Father, for the life and knowledge which You madest known to us through Jesus Thy Servant; to Thee be the glory for ever. Even as this broken bread was scattered over the hills, and was gathered together and became one, so let Thy Church be gathered together from the ends of the earth into Thy kingdom; for Thine is the glory and the power through Jesus Christ for ever..” (Did 9)**<sup>[23]</sup>

The wine of the Eucharist does not refer to later Christian cannibalism . In the Didache it has no meaning of the blood of Jesus (the death penalty convict), purportedly scarified for the sins of his believers. It symbolizes the “holy wine of David”. The bred symbolizes the Christian individual. The Christians hereby unite one to another one by mediation of Christian church. In order to put is precisely: Instead of being the flesh of Jesus (the death penalty convict), in the early Church Order, the bread symbolizes the church itself gathering all the grains, viz, all individual Christians. More over, Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus “Christ”) is put on the same level as servant of god as King David is regarded. This confutes any "deification" of Jesus (the death penalty convict) and demonstrates that the Koran and very early (unadulterated) Christianity tally.

Christian cannibalism fancied as utmost “brilliance” of mind nobody can outdo is – very interestingly – is a later contrivance of the sect of perfidy, an abomination nobody can outdo and that never was undone. Obviously, Christians by and by could not hide and spin-doctored Ben-Pandera’s shame of being a death penalty convict. Hence, prevailing Christian extremists obviously preferred the flight into attack, i.e. resorted to utmost lies and deceit that shall make the victims switching off their brain and thinking. This is very, very typical of desperadoes: The more they are wrong, the more they are used to fabricating “wonders”... If the early Christian Church Order does not know anything about Jesus (the death penalty convict’s) “scarified” blood and flesh for the sins" of all Christian victims (Christians' cant: “sheep”), then the evidence is provided that Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") never intended to do so and never did so. This further means that Islam, among others, is a restoration of (unadulterated) early Christianity. Consequently, everything is spin doctoring of disgrace, ignominy, shame and humiliation later Christian desperadoes, extremists and terrorists wanted to dodge, at any price. However, Christians, do not worry, this is not the only evidence we have, even if already an ample one.

Sorcery and sorcerers of remission of sins, viz, any purifier, expressively is rejected (see: De 3:4). However,

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

this becomes later and is today the hard core and gist of Christian sects. According to this deception, each one has to become Christian since Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") purportedly "suffered" "vicarious atonement" for the Christians so that those rejecting to become Christians allegedly will go to hell. The early Christian Church Order made by those who followed Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") personally and knew him in person, debunks this as sheer deception and terror on humankind and abomination of barbarians. Even in this point Muhammad, the Koran and early Christianity tally. Both are in contrast with later and today Christianity. Death penalty stays what it is: ignominy, disgrace, humiliation and shame.

In this very early Christian Church Order death penalty of Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") indirectly -- but even more interestingly -- is clued:

***"Then (when the end of the world is going to come) shall the creation of men come into the fire of trial, and many shall be made to stumble and shall perish; but those who endure in their faith shall be saved from under the curse itself" (Did 16:11-12)***

What is interesting to us is that those who endure Christian faith (the Christians) "shall be saved from under the curse itself" (Did 16:12). Here, we have to recall that the Jews very well knew the Bible (Christians' cant: Old Testament). Here the Didache refers to De 21:23.

***De 21:22-23 NKJV***

***22 "If a man has committed a sin deserving of death, and he is put to death, and you hang him on a tree,***

***23 "his body shall not remain overnight on the tree, but you shall surely bury him that day, so that you do not defile the land which the LORD your God is giving you as an inheritance; for he who is hanged is accursed of God.***

Oh yeah, "for he who hanged is accused of god" ...! Later, the Christian try fobbing lies without blushing off on their victims (Christians' cant: "sheep") that the instigator of their sect (Jesus) did nothing more desire as to hang on a tree and to turn out as an accursed one of god, hereby... Do not make me laugh! The very early Christians knew that this is the Achilles heel of Christian deception. Very typical of desperadoes, Christians later faked their most ignominy and abomination as "excellence", for example, palmed an accursed one of god off as an associate or peer of "god"... The latter is the meaning of Christians' "term" of "son of god". This again very, very corresponds to the traits of desperadoes. The more the disgrace is, the more "excellence" is claimed - the more something is deception, the more is claimed to be "martyrdom of the truths" ...!

It is completely to exclude that an early Church's Order does not know about the very gist of Christian sect or even transgresses it, i.e. the "innocent lamb of god suffering for the sins" of those addressing and worshiping him as god... As already mentioned, this early Church Order is also the credo of early Christian sect. That what is not included in the credo, one does not believe, in particular, when that what is confessed is based on other presuppositions. For instance, its already described presentation of the Eucharist completely contradicts later and today Christians' cannibalism and their abstruse, mad and lunatic fabrications of "vicarious atonement" and "suffering"!

Consequently, all those abominations by which later and today Christians are used to catching their prey are later concocted fabrications to veil spin-doctoring of Ben-Pandera's (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ's") shame and disgrace. De 21:23 is one of the decisive reasons why Christian sect prohibited reading the Bible to its victims (Christians' cant: "sheep"), in fact until the second half of the 19<sup>th</sup> century. An evident accursed one of god is fobbed off as "Messiah" (Greek: Christos), "god's son" and/or "god" on the victims (Christians' cant: "sheep")...! In contrast with that, Islam makes all its devotees to learn the Koran even by heart. Christian sect knew that allowing everybody to read the Bible means that somebody like the author of this treatise reads and unveils it...

We expound this very early Christian Church's Order in detail, since we want to outline what the (early) Christians believed immediately after the boss' (Jesus') disappearance from the view.

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

Common with the later and still today existing Christian sect is:

**Firstly:** There will be a resurrection from the dead for all individuals.

**Secondly:** There will be once a doomsday (end of the world) judging all the people if they are allowed to go to heaven or if they have to go to hell.

**Thirdly:** Doomsday is announced by quasi Earth shaking occurrences which necessarily does not contradict the Bible (Christians' cant: Old Testament, see: Zech 14:5).

**Fourthly:** Shortly before doomsday, "evil" figures ("son of god", wrong prophets, false christs) appear making the Christian forsake their deceit (Christians' cant: "belief"). (This very good psychological terror is for making the victims cleave to Christian terrorism. If they abandon Christian sect in a large scale, Christian tricksters, shifters n' grifters can terrorize them: In the next few days downfall of the world is going to come or doomsday already is immanent. Mafiosi know how to keep their prey fettered! That means Christians are rogues with frocks or without frocks, from the very outset and in principle!).

**Fifthly:** Jesus (the death penalty convict) has a certain role of salvation (even if it is a different one Christian deceit fabricated later). As pointed out, verse 16:12 refers to Jesus.

**Sixthly:** Jesus (the death penalty convict) is claimed to reside in heaven, now!

**Seventhly:** Salvation is due to enduring in the right faith (see. Did 16:12, Mr 16:16, Joh 3:16).

**Eighthly:** Eucharist is passed off as something intrinsic of Christian sect only Christians are allowed to share.

**Ninthly:** Both kinds of scriptures mention that one should "love" one's enemies (see: Did 1:3).

**Tenthly:** Both kinds of scriptures care for the livelihood (prosperity) of the bigwigs (Christians' cant: "apostles", "prophets", "good shepherds") by fleecing the slaves (Christians' cant: "sheep"), (see: Did 13:1ff).

Different with later and still today Christian deceit is:

**Firstly:** Jesus (the death penalty convict) is not named as Messiah (Greek: Christos), nor as "son of god", nor as "god"!

**Secondly:** "Son of god" expressively is called the world-deceiver. Whoever could object?

**Thirdly:** Jesus (the death penalty convict) is that what a prophet or the Messiah (Greek: Christos) is in Judaism: human being (as King David).

**Fourthly:** This very early Christian Church's Order cleaves to De 4:12, De 12:32 and Pr 30:6 forbidding to add or take away something from the Bible (Christians' cant: Old Testament).

**Fifthly:** This means that Jesus (the death penalty convict's) contribution to salvation is comparable to a Parakleet (advocate), saint or patron.

**Sixthly:** God performs Doomsday – and not the instigator of Christian deception (Jesus) does it.

**Seventhly:** Death penalty of Jesus is not canted as lightning conductor or vent for god's (obviously uncontrolled) fury on the wickedness of human beings (his creations)!

**Eighthly:** It is admitted that a crucified one is accursed of god (see: Didache 16:12 and De 21:23: "... for he who hanged is accursed of god").

**Ninthly:** Eucharist may commemorate the last supper but because his disgrace of being an accursed one of

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

god it is not canted, yet it does not mean eating the flesh or drinking the blood (cannibalism) of Christian sect's instigator (Jesus). Eucharist is a kind of thanksgiving that god donated Christian sect to the world.

**Tenthly:** Sorcerer of remission of sins, viz, a "purifier", expressively is rejected, condemned and ridiculed (see: Did 3:4) and hereby canting of Jesus' (the death penalty convict's) execution on the gallows or cross as "vicarious atonement" for the sins of the Christians.

**Eleventh:** Execution and therefore death penalty of Jesus (the death penalty convict) as the most important part of salvation of Christian believers is completely unknown to this very early Christian Church's Order.

**Twelfth:** Last but not least, this early Christian Church Order already debunks the reason for later pope's "Constantine" subreption: "My child be not a liar, since a lie leads to theft" (Did 3:8)... Hereby, the Didache correctly foresees that Christian desperadoes' lies finally will lead to abominable thieveries beyond belief and past description as, for instance, perpetrated by Christian "Constantine" subreption (Christians' cant: "Constantine Donation").

By the way, the Didache even says nothing that the death penalty convict allegedly has "resurrected from the dead" – a "wonder" that shall fake "deity" of this shrewd and cunning creep n' crook... The early Christians knew that the rogue without frock could not work any wonder...

It is to assume with certainty that everything deviating from this early Church Order, Christian desperadoes later contrived for their lust for power and because of being despaired of the truths. (The latter is the most reason for lying!).

Why did this shift happen? Imagine Christian impostors teach those "ethics" (of the Didache) to Jews. The first answer they had gotten would be that henchmen of a death penalty convict possibly are able to teach how to perpetrate crimes at best, even perhaps the (almost) perfect crime but no "ethics" and "love" etc. Having a death penalty convict as the instigator was and is not only disgrace, humiliation and shame to the Christians but an Achilles' heel for this sect. It is hard for unbiased readers keeping a straight face when reading the admonishments of the Didache. Therefore, it did not take long when this organized crime had to decide to disseminate those "iniquitous things which have never yet come to pass since the beginning" (Did 16:10). I.e. Christians had to decide either to forsake Christian lies and deceits or to spin-doctor those "iniquitous things" (Didache) of "vicarious suffering", "vicarious atonement for the sins of the Christians" etc.

It is not done by rightly arguing that Christian sect in the beginning was different from that to what it was fabricated, later. It is also to question why this occurred. Here it is to realize that lies and deceit only can exist as total lie, total deceit and total crime, i.e. as totalitarianism and terrorism of desperadoes! Christian councils changed Christian "credo" according to requirements how to dupe, catch and fetter the prey, at the easiest and at the best!

Very, very typical of unscrupulous desperadoes (criminals and terrorists of despair) – the Christians later started faking the Achilles' heel of their own to be "god's" obsession of "love". As very, very typical desperadoes and terrorists, Christians, of course, did not give up their lies, deceits and crimes but tried perfecting them by contriving all those "iniquitous things" (Didache) of "vicarious" this and "vicarious" that...!

Reproaching a desperado with the truths, he only reacts in the following way: Precisely because everything is nonsense it is "divine truth", precisely because, precisely because etc. and now even more, now even more, even more we believe in that, now even more, even more, even more... Those are the foul mouths and criminals of despair, i.e. desperadoes! Christian desperadoes, i.e. those faking "martyrs of the truths", are resistant to the truths as no other human beings!

These are the reactions of utmost desperadoes, terrorists, Mafiosi and enemies of humankind wanting to bag that by foul mouth and foul play (even utmost crimes) what they do not obtain by fair play. As we are going to provide evidence, Christian sect is the utmost (organized) crime that even does not shrink from

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian fabricating a self-made "god" to be an instrument of its crusade, crimes and all other foul play.

Therefore, the Christians try sealing off their weakest point, viz, the shame and disgrace of having a death penalty convict as its instigator and model of its ethics by fobbing the world-deceiver off as "son of god" (see: Did 16:8)... This is the very reaction of completely unscrupulous ones obsessed with lust for power (Christians' cant: potestas ligandi and et solvendi) at any price and at all cost: everything belying and debunking inferiority, depravity, ignominy and shame is passed off as its reverse. Such unscrupulous criminals are called desperadoes. Desperadoes know that they have no chance when admitting the truths, but they are also not able and willing to lower their signs if reality does not match their irresistible will to power (Christians' cant: potestas ligandi and et solvendi). As each Mafiosi has given up any compliance with morals before starting his foul play, those desperadoes equally have given up compliance with ethics and truths. German philosopher Friedrich Nietzsche (1844-1900) correctly says Christian notion of truths is "lying at any price"<sup>[24]</sup> and "the will to avoid knowing what is true"<sup>[25]</sup> or to look for "that the truth shall never be allowed its rights on any point".<sup>[26]</sup> Christian bigwigs (Christians' cant: "shepherds") are obsessed with their will to power (Christians' cant: potestas ligandi and et solvendi). Those religious Mafiosi do not shrink from blasphemy even to contrive a self-made "god" as tool and expression of their obsession with lust for power. Not only thieveries necessarily are in the wake of those lies as the Didache predicts but also utmost foul mouth and foul play. Christians are used to putting upside "down" and downside "up" but never will admit the truths. Indeed, escaping from admitting the truths, they are ready for everything, for suicide and murder or mass-murder! Their ethics are perfidy and their "love" is their obsession with lust for power!

Lying and deceiving without blushing is the least foul play of the "world-deceiver appearing as Son of God" (see: Did 16:8) and his henchmen and henchwomen, i.e. Christians. What this sect is, it only has become by utmost insidiousness, perfidy, infamy, crimes, brain washing, robotizing, outrages, crusades, stakes, atrocities and barbarities. Christianity is the objectification (accomplishment, Christians' cant: incarnation) of perfidy and abomination. Due to its criminality, this organized crime does not only succeed in putting upside "down" and downside "up". I.e., it goads the last into posing as the "first ones". It also fobs necrophilia (the hanging of a felon's corpse on the gallows or cross) off as a nice party gag of Christian festivities ... The Christian robots even are proud to wear gallows or crosses on their breast... In German, there is a proverb: The most stupid cows chose their slaughterers!

Since Christian rogues with frocks and without frocks, viz, "the sick needing a physician" (see: Mt 9:12. Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31) incurably suffer from obsession of megalomania and lust for power (Christians' cant: potestas ligandi and et solvendi) they firstly are unable to control their obsession and secondly are immune against the truth. Becoming immune to realities and to the truths is the first step and the second one is becoming a Christian, i.e. a feigning "martyr of the truths" of Christian perfidy!

That means, very typical of desperadoes and terrorists, Christians fulfill their will to power at any price by passing the "world-deceiver" off as "son of god" (see: Did 16:8) and perpetrate those "iniquitous things which have never yet come to pass since the beginning (of the world)" (Did 16:10) like, for instance:

- "going on the water" (Mt 14:25),
- "vicarious atonement",
- "vicarious suffering for the sins of others",
- "the innocent lamb of god",
- the "purifier", i.e., "remission of sins" (without the victims' consent)
- "son of god" (that means associate to god if not guardian of god),
- Faith shifts mountains (and the truths anyway!), see: Mt 17:20, Mt 21:21,

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

- potestas ligandi et solvendi (the authority to bind and to loose on Earth what simultaneously is bound and loosed in heaven), see: Mt 16:19, Mt 18:18),
- Constantine Subreption, pardon, "Donation" etc. ...

There is no crime like Christian blasphemy! There is no criminality like Christianity!

Considering that the Didache reject Jesus

- as "Messiah" (Greek: Christos)
- as "god's on" or "god" or
- as "savior" redeeming by "vicarious atonement" and "vicarious suffering" and
- as "purifier" that arbitrarily can forgive sins of those who believe in him etc.

generally were sufficient reasons to call a scripture to be "heretic", according to later and contemporary Christian standards. One can also put it that way: According to the standards of original Christianity, Christian sect is abominable blasphemy already for about 19 centuries. Who wonders when taking into account that the "son of god" is the world-deceiver?

One cannot recall it enough; here neither it is about an exposition of a "theologian" nor about a "gospel" but about an early Church Order, i.e. credo, of Christian sect, apparently even the very first one. Christian sect ("church") feigns to be saint and infallible as institution. Putting it mildly: declaring a one existing Church's Order to be apocryphal would debunk those claims of Christian sect (to be saint and inerrant as institution) as wrong, if not as mendacity, insidiousness and perfidy. That is why Christian sect refrained from dealing with this very early Church's Order (Didache) as it did with others writings unveiling its lies, deceits and perfidy.

***Let us summarize this paragraph:***

"The Didache or Teaching of the Apostles" is no interesting scripture of a Christian "theologian", no Christian "authentic" or "apocryphal (non-authentic) gospel" but a very early Christian Church's Order, presumably the first ever one, however, the first one we still know today. That is why later Christians could not condemn it be "non-authentic" like other early Christian scriptures leaking too many truths about Christian crimes of deceit, especially, about the truth Christian sect's instigator Jesus (the death penalty convict). This early Church Order, for example, debunks the "son of god" to be the world deceiver contriving and fabricating "iniquitous things", which the world never heard before since the beginning of it. For instance, Christian later chronicles' drivel about "going on the sea", "vicarious atonement", "vicarious suffering", "potestas ligandi et solvendi" ("the authority to bind and to loose in heaven what simultaneously is bound and loosed in heaven"), playing the "purifier" (remission of sins without the victims' consent) etc. This Christian Church Order firstly tallies with reason and the Koran (Islam). Christian "theology" is interested in putting upside "down" and downside "up", i.e., passing lies and deceit off as "truths" and truths as "lies" and "deceits". Hereby, mostly the miscarriages of nature (Christians' cant: last ones) want to pose as the "first ones" (see: Mt 20:16).

The Didache turns out that later and today Christianity serves lust for power (Christians' cant: potestas ligandi and et solvendi) of those keeping the powers in these sects, i.e., Christian Mafiosi suffering with delusion of grandeur want to play "god" on Earth and stop at nothing for their profit. Nobody lies and deceives just for fun but for the advantage and profit of his. Christian bigwigs camouflage their selfishness and lust for power as the reverse: "humbleness" and "modesty", i.e. always by perfidy, in order to make

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

their victims (Christians' cant: "sheep") inattentive and themselves unsuspecting regarding that what they intend. Christians want to bag that by lies, deceits and, in particular, by abominable crimes of blasphemy, i.e. by foul play, that what they do not get by fair play. No ambushing is possible if the victims (Christians' cant: "sheep") know what is going on... By fabricating the "son of god" (as peer to "god") or allowing somebody to pose as such, the Christians want to get rid off the ignominy, disgrace and shame of their foul play by fobbing them off as "god's will". Viz, they fake their topmost desperado and terrorist as "god's son" or allow the death penalty convict to live his delusion of grandeur to the full. That is why Jesus (the death penalty convict) is faked peer to "god" ("son of god") in Christian sect so that the foul play -- being necessarily in the wake of abominable lies and deceits -- shall become unassailable. Hereby, the Christians perpetrate the (almost) perfect crime.

However, the Didache provides evidence that the most criminals among Christians, the most unscrupulous foul mouths and felons prevailed in this sect. In organized crimes, mostly the most criminals prevail. Lies, deceits and crimes are most successful as total lies, total deceits and total crimes, i.e. as totalitarianism, always camouflaged by each reverse, i.e. by perfidy. Veiling the matters by each reverse, i.e. perfidy, is what makes deception deceit. Therefore, planet Earth's most organized crime and most organized crime of murder is that "lovable" that it even hardly can "hurt a fly" because of (its perfidious fake of) "love", "peacefulness", "meekness", "martyrdom for the truths", commitment to "human rights", in particular, "right to live" etc. However, do not blame the Christians always for lying and deceiving...! Does not Ben-Pandera warn of "wolves in a sheep's clothing", i.e. of the perfidious Christians...? And hand on heart, does not he call his henchmen and henchwomen "the sick needing a physician" (Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31)...? Hand on heart, does not the Christians' "son of god" warn you of the Christians...? What else do you want from Christians' "son of god"...? So, do not blame the Christians and their "son of god" for always lying and deceiving...!

[PREVIOUS](#) | [HOME](#) | [TABLE OF CONTENTS](#) | [NEWS](#) | [THE CROSS DECEPTION](#) |  
[CHRIST AND ANTI-CHRIST](#) | [WHAT DID JESUS LOOK LIKE?](#) | [THE \(CRIBBED\) STAR OF BETHLEHEM APHORISM](#) | [MY STUFF](#) | [NEXT](#) |

© 2007 [HANS HENNING ATROTT](#) - All rights reserved. - Reproduction without permission is strictly prohibited. This site is still under construction

#### Annotations:

[1] For the evidence that the story of the birth of Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") is lied and cribbed from Mithras religion it is clued to the author of this scripture's edition: "The (cribbed) Star of Bethlehem", on: <http://www.geocities.com/birthofjesus/enindex.htm>, last call on: 04-01-2006

[2] The Reluctant Messenger, The Didache: Teachings of the 12 Apostles on: <http://reluctant-messenger.com/didache.htm>, last call on: 04-01-2006

[3] Jonathan Draper, Gospel Perspectives, v. 5, p. 269, quoted according to: Peter Kirby, Information on the Didache, on: <http://www.earlychristianwritings.com/didache.html>, last call on: 04-01-2006

[4] Udo Schnelle, The History and Theology of the New Testament Writings, p. 355, quoted according to: Peter Kirby, Information on the Didache, on: <http://www.earlychristianwritings.com/didache.html>, last call on: 04-01-2006

[5] This we are going to point out in the paragraph: H. Atrott, The so-called apocryphal "Gospels" (Chronicles), 2007, on: <http://www.bare-jesus.net/e904.htm>

[6] See: Mt 11:5, Mt 24:14, Mt 26:13, Mr 1:14-15, Mr 14:9, Lu 4:18, Lu 4:43, Lu 7:22, Lu 8:1, Lu 16:16.,

"The Didache" or "Teaching of the Apostles" turns Jesus out as Muslim and no Christian

[7] See: The Bible and the Christians' illicit "authentic" and "apocryphal" Chronicles ("Gospels")

, 2007, on: <http://www.bare-jesus.net/901.htm>

[8] See: H. Atrott, The Bible and the Christians' illicit "authentic" and "apocryphal" Chronicles ("Gospels")

, 2007, on: <http://www.bare-jesus.net/e901.htm>

[9] See also: Mt 9:35, Mt 11:5, Mt 24:14, Mt 26:13, Mr 1:14, Mr 13:10, Mr 14:9, Mr 16:15, Lu 4:18, Lu 4:33, Lu 7:22, Lu 8:1, Lu 9:6, Lu 16:16, Lu 20:1. As here expounded, the mentioned theory of some Christian "theologians" would mean that the "canonized gospels" were written before Ben-Pandera started preaching.

[10] Quoted according to: Kirby, Peter. "Didache." Early Christian Writings, on:

<http://www.earlychristianwritings.com/didache.html>, last call on: 04/13/2007

[11] So done by: Mt 4:3, Mt 4:6, Mt 8:29, Mt 14:33, in particular: Mt 16:16, Mt 26:63-64,

[12] The Didache - The Lord's Teaching Through the Twelve Apostles to the Nations, on:

<http://www.earlychristianwritings.com/text/didache-roberts.html>, last call on: 04-01-2006

[13] See: Mt 12:9, Mt 13:54, Mr 1:21, Mr 3:1, Mr 6:2, Lu 4:16-28, Lu 13:10, Joh 6:59, Joh 18:20

[14] Bruno Mack, Who Wrote the New Testament?, p. 241, quoted according to: Peter Kirby, Information on the Didache, on: <http://www.earlychristianwritings.com/didache.html>, last call on: 04-01-2006

[15] Friedrich Nietzsche, Antichrist, § 52, on: <http://www.geocities.com/hatrott/verbatim.htm>

[16] Pertaining to Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus "Christ") as a miscarriage of nature, see: H. Atrott, What does Jesus look like, 2001, on: <http://www.bare-jesus.net/d401.htm>

[17] Hans Henning Atrott, The (cribbed) Star of Bethlehem, on: <http://www.geocities.com/birhofjesus/enindex.htm>, last call on: 04-01-2006

[18] Brent Herbert, A short history of forgery and heresy in the Bible" in the Internet, Wednesday April 05, 2006 at 05:28 AM, on: <http://southafrica.indymedia.org/news/2006/04/10187.php>

[19] Ibidem

[20] See. H. Atrott, Features and Relationship of "authentic" and "apocryphal gospels", 2007, <http://www.bare-jesus.net/e902.htm>

[21] J. B. Lightfoot editor and translator, The Didache or Teaching of the Apostles, Apostolic Fathers, on: <http://www.earlychristianwritings.com/text/didache-lightfoot.html>, last call: 04-02-2006

[22] Ibidem

[23] Quoted according to the translation of Roberts-Donaldson, see: loc. cit.

[24] Friedrich Nietzsche, loc. cit., § 47

[25] Friedrich Nietzsche, loc. cit., § 52

[26] Nietzsche ibidem