

## The Star of Bethlehem

5th Part, § 1

### What the Christians cribbed from Mithras Religion



De 21:23

This file is also available as [PDF](#) or [ZIP](#)

One gets considerably closer to the minutiae about the birth of Jesus if one considers that the "gospels" originally do not write that those three people allegedly following a star from the East to a stable in Bethlehem had been kings (of which country they ought to have been?). So many kingdoms did not exist in the Middle East but there were many magicians in those times.<sup>[1]</sup>

Pertaining to the Christian Protestant sects German Martin Luther misleadingly translates the concept of "magoi" (Greek), "magi" Latin, "Magier" (German) or "magician" (English) by "Weise aus dem Morgenland" and therefore most Protestant gospels write: "Wise men from the East", for instance the New Revised Standard from 1947:

**Mt 2:1-2 NRSV**

**1 ¶ In the time of King Herod, after Jesus was born in Bethlehem of Judea, wise men from the East came to Jerusalem, 2 asking, "Where is the child who has been born king of the Jews? For we observed his star at its rising, and have come to pay him homage."**

**Mt 2:9 NRSV**

**9 ¶ When they had heard the king, they set out; and there, ahead of them, went the star that they had seen at its rising, until it stopped over the place where the child was.**

Almost each English gospel translates wrongly the concept of the Greek "magoi" (magician) by "wise men from the east" following the false tradition of German sectarian Martin Luther. Only very few English versions translate correctly like Young's Literal Translation (YLT) of 1898:

Or the Darby Translation of 1889:

**¶ Mt 2:1 DBY**

**1 Now Jesus having been born in Bethlehem of Judaea, in the days of Herod the king, behold magi from the east arrived at Jerusalem, saying,**

The early Latin Vulgate (4th century) -- the only significant Bible in the sect of Roman Catholics until the present day -- translates correctly according to the early Greek originals:

***Mt 2 : 1 ¶ cum ergo natus esset Iesus in Bethleem Iudaeae in diebus Herodis regis ecce magi ab oriente venerunt Hierosolymam***

***Mt 2 : 2 dicentes ubi est qui natus est rex Iudaeorum vidimus enim stellam eius in oriente et venimus adorare eum***

***Mt 2 : 9 ¶ qui cum audissent regem abierunt et ecce stella quam viderant in oriente antecedebat eos usque dum veniens staret supra ubi erat puer***

It relates that purportedly magi, i.e. priests from Mithras religion came to the stable where Jesus was born. However, isn't it favorable for the prestige of a dubious "god" to have three kings prostrating in front of the manger in place of three priests from a religion the Christians later extirpated as anti-Christians? Finally, Jesus and the Christians want to set their signs high. He even wants to pose as "god" in the sect he instigated. Therefore, is it not advantageous for the Christians to lie for that aim...? The Protestants talk big in order to pretend that everyone could and should read the (Christian) Bible. They can do so since in each national language they are used to translating the crucial saying wrongly and misleading. Catholic Latin Vulgate correctly translates the Greek notion of "magoi" by the Latin concept of "magi". However, who can understand Latin?

Now, the concept of "magi", or "magician" is considerably helpful to carry on our investigation. If the fairy tale would be true, those three priests from the ancient Persian sect instantly would have had left their Mithraic religion in order to become the first three disciples of that new Christian (barbarians) "god".

The embarrassment that Mary had to give a shameful birth and to conceal an illegitimate child of adultery among shit of cows, pigs and hens is canted as romantic attraction in the cesspool by the Christian artists of lying. Nevertheless, the instigator of the Christian barbarity was proper symbolically born: Among shit, being shit and everlasting shit and fobbing shit off as "honey", "sugar" or even "gold" on his prey.

One never heard that three oriental priests became disciples of him. The Christian writers forgot to lie that.

Only on the condition of full devotion of their further lives to the savior, such a march from Persia to Palestine that probably took months -- not days -- would have made any sense. A king just visits an event but a Mithraic priest, who called themselves "magicians" is looking for his savior and finding him he is used and obliged to devote his full life to him. Because the Christians could not answer the query, where the first three "disciples" had stayed, the magicians became partly "wise men" or partly even "kings" according to the degree of needs of Christian desperadoes. This is also very typical of a roguery where deception and self-deception is not only the second but already the first nature.

One never heard any more of the priests except in the Christians' Christmas fairy tale because there were no magicians and no kings but lies, cribbing and deceits of outright (Christian) impostors strutting in pilfered plumes... Those "wise men from the East" in truth rejected Christian deceit and their top desperado as "King of the Jews" (Mt 2:2), "Messiah" (Greek: Christos) and "son of god". Otherwise, they demonstrably would have become Christians and this organized crime faking a religion, i.e. Christianity, would not have extirpated the religion of the magi (Mithraicism) as the "anti-Christ".

Astrology and magic (wizardry) played a considerable part in Mithras religion. The modern term of magic or the magician directly stems from those priests of Mithraic religion. The contemporary magician is merely a wizard and not (additional) a priest -- as the Mithraic magicians and sorcerer Jesus Christ! Jesus also practices sorcery as the "gospels" relate. However, the Christians do not admit it as sorcery but claim as "miracles" since Jesus misuses sorceries to lie himself as "god".

According to the religion of ancient Persian magicians, a savior (Mithras) will come to earth. Mithras -- originally god of contract -- increasingly was understood as redeemer. As god of contract, Mithras gradually became a mediator between the average human being and god. Being such a mediator, he -- by and by -- became savior or redeemer of human beings. He was said to be born in a cave on a high Persian mountain. The scriptures of the Magi told them that a star brighter than the sun, of course, should announce the birth of Mithras. Year by year, the magician priests ascended a so called "victory mountain" and peeked in the sky, after hay harvest looking for that star. In that mountain, there was located a cave in which the childbirth of their savior was expected to take place. The star also even have a little boy's portrait drawn in it. The god, of course, begets Mithras with a virgin:

*„In the above mentioned prophecy of Zarathustra the big donor announces to his disciples, that the ‘Great King’ will be born by a virgin in the end of the days:*

*‘Listen, I will reveal the amazing mystery of the Great King to you who shall come into the world. On completion of the time, in the moment of the dissolution that it finishes, a child will be received and formed in all its limbs in the womb of a virgin without any man had been approached to her.’<sup>[2]</sup>*

Further, it is said:

*„And someone your wise man has said that God Mihr that is born by a mother among human beings is as well king as Son of God or a brave satellite of the Gods of the seventh.’<sup>[3]</sup>*

*„Here is said that Mithras was born by a human mother... and furthermore, he was king and Son of God simultaneously...’<sup>[4]</sup>*

Obviously this is also Jesus' understanding of the concept of "Son of the God" what is not that unequivocal as he and Christians think. In Neoplatonism nature and reality – or in terms of the Christians: "god's creatures" -- are the "son of god" ...

As Widengren proves the Christians knew this story from Mithraism because first one must know the booty before one can steal something:

*„A Christian work <opus imperfectum in Matthaem, Hom. II 2, - PG LVI 637> reports of a circulating scripture that was called Seth <scriptura inscripta nomine Seth>, according to that every year after the hay harvest <mail messem trituratorium> the Persian magicians climbed up a mountain, that was called ‘Victory Mountain’ <Mons Victorialis> in their language. On the top of the mountain, there was a cave and in the vicinity, there were fountains and exquisite beautiful trees... " This cave was known from the mysteries of Mithraism and (Greek philosopher) Porphyry (232-304) tells about it that it was a natural cave in the Persian mountains. The action of the magicians was repeated each year and by each generation. Namely they always were waiting for the (predicted) rising of the star of that beauty <stella illa beautitudinis> appearing to them and settling down on the ‘Victory Mountain’. Here, the star also should have sketched in itself the picture of a small boy. Due to a revised Christian edition of the existing prophecy of Zarathustra about the birth of the savior we know now that the star is the emblem of the ‘Great King’ that was promised in the ‘Oracles of Hystaspes’... Bahman Yast who*

**is often quoted by us confirms that during the night, in which the ruler that here was named according to the old name of the sovereign, kai, was born, a star gives a sign <compare III,15>: 'In that night in which the kai is born a sign is given to the world: A star falls from the sky when this kai is born and the star gives a sign (for that).'"** [5]

Well, the early Christians knew that story from Mithraism and cribbed it for the deceitful purposes of their foul play. In order to put their instigator (Jesus) in a favorable light because there was nothing favorable to report about him, any possible lie was quite handy in order to cant that faking "god". All elements of the birth story of the Christians' feigning god (Jesus) are cribbed from here:

- a) *Virgin birth,*
- b) *king (the Christians even try lying that Jesus allegedly descends from king David!),*
- c) *son of God,*
- d) *announcement by a star, yes even*
- e) *gathering magicians...*

Everything has been cribbed by the Christian rogues hiding behind the fake of a religion.

How much the Christian conjurers of lying knew the scripture „Seth “ still follows from another source that Widengren also quotes:

**„In the Syrian Christian `Chronicle of Zuqnin` to which attention recently was drawn a genuine Iranian tradition is easily to trace. Indeed, two different sources have been combined rather mechanically. One has connected an Iranian tradition of the birth of the Iranian world-king of the world-savior (on the one side) and the Christian narration of the Bethlehemian birth of the Jewish-Christian Messiah (on the other side)."** [6]

In Ancient Rome, the festivity of the „Sol Invictus “ -- the redeemer of Mithraism -- was celebrated on December 25th because the mountaineering of the "Victory Mountain" and the astrological looking out whether the heralded birth of the redeemer took place on the 25th of a month. [7] One could not celebrated "Christmas" each month, so it happened once a year, on the 25th of December.

Not in the least, these is the unique evidence that the Christian thieves refer to Mithraism and plagiarized the story of Mithras' birth in order to fake it as the one of Jesus. The Christian desperadoes at first plagiarized Mithraism before massacred the competitor as alleged "anti-Christ". So, do not forget that on Christmas, on the 25th of December, not Christ but the anti-Christ was born or that Jesus "Christ" is the anti-Christ of his own. The original meaning of Christmas later deliberately became suppressed. Who wants to make known that that of which he is boasting is nothing but theft?

**"And so we read in the Arabian version of the Gospel: 'See, the Magi come from the East to Jerusalem as prophesied by Zarathustra.'" [8]**

Therefore, reference to Mithraism as well as plagiarism from the Mithraic competitor only can be denied according to the slogan: The Christians' belief shifts mountains and the truths, anyway (see: Mt 17:20, Mt 21:21, Lu 17:6)! This is the Christians' concept of truth: "... to avoid knowing what is true"<sup>[9]</sup> or "lying any price"<sup>[10]</sup>. That is the "mystery" of the Christians' concept of truth and the "mystery" of that barbaric sect that defies description. The Christians did not only forge documents in order to get hold of money and properties, like they did when, e.g., forging the "Constantinian Donation" (about 800 C.E.). The Christian barbarians even perpetrated plagiarism in order to fake a "God's word." As already said, later Christian dastards n' bastards massacred the plagiarized Mithraic rival because of allegedly being the anti-Christ. They Christians are used to forging all for the benefit of their own (thieves' cant: "sacrifice themselves for others"). Christians' fear of the anti-Christ is their fear of the truths! As they do with the Star of Bethlehem they are used to doing with "god", "truths", "love", "charity" and all the other well reputed concepts. Lying at any price, living a lie – that is what makes Christians Christians!

At the time of Paul and Jesus, Roman Mithraism (practiced especially among Roman soldiers abroad) showed Mithras slaying a bull with a knife or dagger in order to sacrifice him. In that picture -- shown in many sculptures -- Mithras represented the sun or the light and the bull the darkness that hereby is defeated by Mithras. Indirectly Christian fraudster (thieves' cant: "apostle") Paul comments on this Mithraism "picture" in his epistle to the Hebrews when writing:

**Heb 9:13-14 RSV**

**13 For if the sprinkling of defiled persons with the blood of goats and bulls and with the ashes of a heifer sanctifies for the purification of the flesh,**

**14 how much more shall the blood of Christ, who through the eternal Spirit offered himself without blemish to God, purify your conscience from dead works to serve the living God.**

So, when Paul says that the blood of Jesus is much better propitiation than that one of bulls he refers to the Mithraic cult. Anyway, thus independently from the book of "Seth" it is produced evidence that Mithraism was well very known among the early Christians in those days of Jesus Christ. One must know something in order to crib it.

Paul's explanation to the Hebrews is no misappropriation of Jesus' thinking. On the contrary, it is an accomplishment of Christian perfidy and a vitiation of the Bible (thieves' cant: "Old Testament"):

**De 21:22-23 NKJV**

**22 "If a man has committed a sin deserving of death, and he is put to death, and you hang him on a tree,**

**23 "his body shall not remain overnight on the tree, but you shall surely bury him that day, so that you do not defile the land which the LORD your God is giving you as an inheritance; for he who is hanged is accursed of God.**

If god curses a hanged one (on the gibbet, gallows or cross) like he curses Satan, one cannot truthfully infer that blood shedding of a human or humans on the cross is much more effective for atonement with good than the sacrifice of animals. This proves how insidious, craft and mendacious the Christians are ...

Paul often has to serve as scapegoat to Christian "theologians" and other Christians when depravity and moronism of their idol Jesus become patently. Those Christians wanting to use Shaul or Paul as scapegoat for Christians' lies, deceits, hatred and vengeance first should demonstrate how else to cant shame and ignominy of convicted felon from the death row...

That abstruse way of criminals' and cannibals' thinking is also demonstrated in the "Gospel of Philip":

***"God is a man-eater. For this reason, men are sacrificed to him. Before men were sacrificed, animals were being sacrificed, since those to whom they were sacrificed were not gods."*<sup>[11]</sup>**

Who wonders that a sect of the negative selection of the sick needing a physician (see: Mt 9:12, Mr 2:17, Lu 5:31-32, Lu 19:10) and no physician can help (see: Mt 7:17-18, Lu 6:43, Joh 8:34) fancies "god" like a criminal they are? Who wonders that a felon from the death row and his accomplices, i.e. Christians, suppose god as man-eater? According to the gospel of Philip sacrifices of animals crazily were replaced by man (Jesus) because it was the only one to the god. In the same way Paul is arguing. That's a typical of thieves' morals... Ugh! Those are the Christians! That is how barbarians, terrorists and felons lie remission of their sins...

Not only his fellow terrorists but also he (Jesus) knew precisely the God of Mithraic sect (Mithras) he (Jesus) tried to fake him that much...

One always has asked what Jesus actually wanted to be: Prophet, human being, man's son, god, god's son etc.? Well, that question is put if one is in the dark. He wanted to fake God Mithras, if necessary by all means stopping at nothing and at any price! Thus, he also adopted even the Mithraic sect and distorted it to cannibalism. Ugh! However cannibalism is a proper way of behavior and a genuine concept of "morals" for barbarians and terrorists that even do not recoil from using the god for their own ends. Ugh!

Well, that is the way the head of the Christians' barbarians (Jesus) got his date of birth.

If the well-conditioned Christian still should believe that their trickster Jesus besides his trickeries would have had even a slightest glimmer of authenticity, then they just believe it according to the motto:

***Mt 5:3 AV***

***3 Blessed are the poor in spirit: for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.***

Blessed are the poor in spirit because they are unable to debunk the world top criminals of deceit and murderer, i.e. Jesus and his sect... Even the eschatology, that means the tenet of three realms, for instance, the past (paradise), the present time (evil world) and the future (doomsday with heaven and hell) is an invention of Persian Zarathustra about six centuries before Jesus Christ, human's deceiver. The latter had no idea about philosophy and of any conceptual thinking. He tried to substitute this lack by sorcery! Therefore, Jesus only blathers in parables and performs one conjuring trick after the other. Jesus tried to slaughter the truths by sorcery and that is what his accomplices are used to doing until today. Of course, he praised the fatuous ones, the duffers, the morons, the goofs, the boobs, the jerks and the barbarians self-evidently as blessed or fortunate ones – blessed by the devil or his son that became worship as the "god" in Christian barbarianism and terrorism! Be grateful to him, please...

Summary:

***There is no lie, deceit, theft and crime from which the Christians ever would recoil in order to trick, shift n' grift that by foul play what they cannot attain by fair play.***

[PREVIOUS](#) | [HOME](#) | [TABLE OF CONTENTS](#) | [NEWS](#) | [THE GOD DECEPTION](#) | [CHRIST AND ANTI-CHRIST](#)  
| [WHAT DID JESUS LOOK LIKE?](#) | [MY STUFF](#) | [NEXT](#) |

© 1998 - 2008 [HANS HENNING ATROTT](#) - All rights reserved.- Reproduction without permission is strictly prohibited.

**Annotations:**

---

[1] See: Mt 2:1

[2] Theodor bar Konai, Liber Scholiorum, II, S.74 quoted according to: Widengren, Geo; die Religionen Irans, (The religions of Iran) Stuttgart 1965, p. 14ff 209

[3] Quoted according to Widengren, ibidem

[4] Widengren, ibidem

[5] Widengren, loc. cit., 207f

[6] Widengren, loc. cit., 210

[7] Widengren, ibidem

[8] Quoted according to: M.J. Vermaseren , Mithras die Geschichte eines Kultes (Mithras – the History of a Worship), Stuttgart 1965, p. 19,

[9] Friedrich Nietzsche, Anti-Christ, § 52

[10] Friedrich Nietzsche, Anti-Christ, § 47

[11] The Gospel of Philipp, translated by Wesley W. Isenberg, on:

<http://web.archive.org/web/20080208060635/http://www.gnosis.org/naghamm/gop.html>, last call: 11/23/2008