

Third Part The (cribbed) Star of Bethlehem

**The Handicaps of Jesus "Christ" and their Consequences  
for Christian Dogmatism**



De 21:23

In the following chapters, we are going to produce evidence that the claims of „immaculate conception“ or „virgin birth“ about Jesus plagiarisms the Christians from their early rival, namely, Mithras-religion, which has nothing to do with Judaism but is alien to it. In this chapter, we are going to point out why those Mithraic doctrines fell on fertile ground among Jesus and his Christians. Christians' fairy tale about the miscarriage of nature they worship of as "god" in place of god matches the patterns of desperadoes' lying: the more disgraceful the matter is, the more it is lied as "moral", and "superior"...

Not only the “evil” Pharisees but even his henchmen (Christians’ cant: “disciples”), for instance, Mark and John do not know anything about “virginal birth”, “immaculate conception” in Bethlehem.


***Joh 1:44-46 NRSV***

***44 Now Philip was from Bethsaida, the city of Andrew and Peter.***

***45 Philip found Nathanael and said to him, "We have found him about whom Moses in the law and also the prophets wrote, Jesus son of Joseph from Nazareth."***

***46 Nathanael said to him, "Can anything good come out of Nazareth?" Philip said to him, "Come and see."***

According to “The Gospel of John”, even his closest henchmen (Philip and John) do not know anything about "miracles" other perfidious Christian deceivers later purport allegedly having occurred during Ben-Pandera’s birth. Evidence of lies and crimes of deceit is conclusive if even the closest henchmen (Jesus' cant: disciples) do not know that purported earth shaking "occurrences"... They would be the first ones knowing that.



Now as a paperback for 10.95 US\$ and E-BOOK for 9.95 US\$!

PublishAmerica just has edited as well an inexpensive paperback version of "Jesus' Bluff" (price: 10.95 US\$) as an E-Book that also is compatible with Apple's new IPAD (price: 9.95 US\$). For the E-Book version there are no additional costs but the fee of 9.95 US\$. In order to download the e-book version of Jesus' Bluff [click here](#). Shipping of other versions within the United States amounts to 3.99 US\$ and to each other country in the world 12 US\$. So, in the United States the book as a material copy now is available for about 15 US\$ (including shipping) and outside the U.S.A. for about 20€.

---

Even the very henchmen (Christians' cant: "disciple") only knows that nothing unnatural happened and that Christians' top desperado is son of Josef from Nazareth. Philip even does not know anything about birth in prestigious Bethlehem. "Can anything good come from Nazareth?" This does not only mean that henchman Philip did not know anything about the "miracles" of "virgin birth", "immaculate conception" but also nothing about Bethlehem as prestigious place of birth but also John.

This means that John does not only correct the lies of Matthew and Luke by concealing them as Mark does, but he contradicts them by another version (son of Josef, coming from Nazareth).

Since, "The Gospel of John" obviously is written as the last one; John here belies the cribbing and contrivances of his fellow "gospel" writers. It is completely to exclude that John would not report such "important" things if he deems them to be true.

This further means that Christians claiming "virginal birth", "immaculate conception" and Bethlehem as the top desperado's place of birth have to do that what the Christian desperadoes are used to libeling their opponents and enemies to perpetrate, i.e. divorcing Bible passages from its context. The Christians have to divorce their lies and deceits about their top desperado's birth at least from Joh 1:44 -46 but also from other Bible verses as we are going to demonstrate. Out of innumerable contradictions, Christians are used to selecting that from their fraudulent scripture ("gospels") what they deem to be expedient for their lies and deceits and leave that what debunks their lies and deception.

When trying to lift Jesus up to the "god", the Christians never could claim any natural begetting and birth about the (Christian) sect's instigator (Jesus Christ). A god never can be born like a human being. The Christians cribbed the idea of redeemer, who was "god", even that one of a "god's son". Mithraicism believes the savior (Mithras) to be a "god", yes, even "god' son". For the Jews, the Messiah (Greek: Christos) or redeemer -- like any other prophet -- is a human being. Therefore, when the Christians cribbed the idea of a divine savior from Mithraic religion, they necessarily had to crib also the ideas of the begetting and birth of such a "god".

If it is about their enemies, Christians are used to psychologizing a lot. This, the Objektivationen (objectifications) of perfidy, i.e. Christians, did regarding German philosopher Ludwig Feuerbach (1804 - 1872) or Friedrich Nietzsche (1844-1900). They know that perfidy of Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera sufficiently can be explained by his biography. Hence, they measure another person's foot by their own last, i.e. perpetrate a psychological projection. This means that they impute that what they are used to perpetrating on others. Hereby, one cannot say that the circumstances of his life constituted his mind of perfidy or if his depravity. On the contrary, it is to assume that mostly the latter (his depravity, hatred and vindictiveness, etc.) constituted the facts of his life. Often the one is impossible without the other.

Since lies and deceits of Christian desperadoes at most are hidden (by each reverse) corresponding to the nature of perfidy, we first want to produce evidence for Ben-Pandera's misogyny. For instance, national translations of the Bible are used to hiding his misogyny. In particular, Lutheran translation hides that he hardly addresses men and women but only males, since females obviously do not count as human beings to him. By those kinds of translations one can get the (false) impression that Ben-Pandera (Jesus "Christ") was a modern "civil rights campaigner" committing for equal rights for males and females. Indeed, for him women do not count. Here, I only confine myself to "The Gospel of Matthew".

If he, for example, only applies to males, e.g. speaks about sons (Latin: filii), Lutherans feel very embarrassed and falsely translate the term by "children", instead of sons (see: Mt 5:9, Mt 5:45, Mt 8:12, Mt 12:27, Mt 13:38, Mt 17:26 and Mt 23:31). In view of those deliberately false translations of the "gospels", there hardly is suspicion that the Christian top desperado is a misogynist. Even if it is about fobbing his sorcery of purportedly feeding 5000 people off on the goofs n' fools, only the males are counted, not the woman or children (see: Mt 14:21).

If German desperado Martin L. and many other national translators of the "gospels" face the term of "eunuch" (see: Mt 19:12), which as well exists in Greek, Latin, English and German, etc., they are used to blurring this foolishness by word like "cut ones" so that nobody suspects the Christian top desperado to be mad, insane and crazy. Finally, he wants and shall be addressed and worshiped as "god"...

Anyway, it is to record that the "corrections" national translators make concerning the "glad tidings" of "inerrant" and "absolute truths" for desperadoes demonstrate that they (the translators) deem their "god" (Jesus) to be very mistaken. Otherwise, they would not falsely translate his "glad tidings".

In the first chapter, we demonstrated that Christians' mad and lunatic hatred on sexuality is due to Yehoshua-ben-Pandera's illegitimate birth for which the world has to suffer if he has to suffer. This hatred on sexuality stems from shamefully concealed, respectively, (by lies) hidden adultery of this mother. That is why anti-sexualism is an intrinsic component of (Christian) lunacy, thieves' cant: "faith"). We are going to provide additional evidence that Christian sect self-made „god“ is an illegitimate child. What the Christians do concerning this topic is nothing but very typical of desperado. Everything what is very shameful, disgraceful and ignominious -- at least according to the standards of the time -- they are used to fighting by a flight into attack. In this case, it means that the desperadoes (Christians) pretend the matter as (fantastic) excellence.

Desperadoes never lower their signs and they never eat humble pie, at all costs. Therefore, they are unable to accept any deficiency, shortage and in particular, they refuse and repress the depravity of their own. Generally, they prefer to die or to kill other than to admit the latter truths to themselves and to others. Therefore, the faking Messiah (Greek: Christos) was unable to admit to himself being an illegitimate child since this means being inferior to a common Jew.

**Joh 10:8 (NRSV)**

**8 All (prophets, kings etc.) who came before me (Jesus) are thieves and bandits...**

Good gracious!

This saying debunks him as sheer liar and deceiver (being unable to admit to himself lying and deceiving). As evidence being the fancied Messiah (Greek: Christos) he refers to those "thieves and bandits".

As we already demonstrated by „The Gospel of the Ebionites“, it was Ben-Pandera's denial of his family like it is reported in Mr 3:32-35 that made the Christian lie about his biological and genetic roots.

Illegitimacy of Yehoshua-ben-Pandera's begetting -- he indirectly and inadvertently admits in Mt 22:41-45. Christian deceivers want to impede the victims of their deceptions from getting on the track of the deceivers with lust for power, at any price.

**De 23:2 NRSV (in some translations also enumerated as De 23:3)**

**2 Those born of an illicit union shall not be admitted to the assembly of the Lord. Even to the tenth generation, none of their descendants shall be admitted to the assembly of the Lord.**

I.e., on account of his lied and hidden illegitimate birth, the Christians' fake of "god" (thieves' cant: Jesus "Christ") in fact is banned from the Assembly of god. The NRSV is one of the few translating correctly, here. Some translate „de scorto natus“ (Latin Vulgate) what means „out of fornication“ with words like half-caste or half-breed. The newer editions of Christians' "New Fake", pardon, "New Testament" mostly blur more than the older one. For instance, the German edition of Luther's Bible of 1912 translates "Hurenkind" (child of a whore), the German Luther edition of 1984 translates with "Mischling" (half-caste). My word...!

This is the manner, in which Christian desperadoes continue to mask Ben-Pandera! That means that the one who claims keeping topmost clerical and political position in Judaism, i.e. the Messiah (Greek: Christos), due to his illegitimacy in addition to everything of everything even was not allowed to attend the synagogues, not to mention to lecture, rebuke and threaten his Jewish compatriots as faultfinder and wiseacre. I see, the megalomaniac fancying to get the topmost position in Judaism and Israel, in the end had not the right to take part in assemblies in the Temple or other synagogues, even passively... Who wonders that he finally raided the Temple (see: Joh 2:13ff) and demonstrate how a Christian faultfinder and wiseacre understand his perfidy of "non-violence", „meekness“, "peacefulness" and "humbleness"? Do not desperadoes believe in their lies? Already due to this illegitimacy, becoming Rabbi stayed a sour grape to him. He even could not manage to get this job by his slogan: Faith shifts mountains and the truths, anyway (see: Mt 17:20, Mt 21:21).

Therefore, one can see that he does not want to know his father and mother and even denies the later lifted „mother of god“ as reported in Mr 3: 32 - 35. That is why he finally even presumes to instigate to hatred on one's father and mother (see: Lu 14:26) while the sect of perfidy praises itself to be a sect of "love"... A sect of „love“ instigating even to hatred on one's father and mother... What honest one ever could contest that it is about the "love" for perfidy, at any price and by stopping at nothing? That is the „love“ of perfidy! Who wonders? I am almost tempted to join

this "loving" Mafia... This is why he, in addition, became obsessed with hatred on father, mother and sexuality because all the difficulties, hindrances, closed doors he had to face were on account of his mother, who obviously lost self-control, at least just for a moment.

One has to assume that a kind of behavior generally is not due to only one reason, at least not all the time. It is hard for such an ugly and misshapen figure of hatred[1] to get a sexual partner. In addition, as said, a desperado never lowers his signs. So, what is closer to a desperado than the fable of the fox and the grapes, viz, to condemn that what he desires but is unable to achieve? Therefore, what is closer to desperadoes than to condemn the matter they are unable to get and to cant their deficiencies, defeats and failures as "moral excellence"...? Those are the traits of desperadoes, in general and of Christian ones, in particular.

That is why Christians always strive for blurring and concealing his biography or cant embarrassing, shameful and disgraceful facts about him to the very contrary! Generally, a Christian does not know his real name. The Christians only know his nickname. Knowing his biography means to know why this desperado even tried wrecking havoc on the Jerusalem Temple or why he instigated even to hatred on one's father and mother.

Let us summarize: Ben-Pandera (thieves' cant: Jesus "Christ") is:

- An illegitimate child, excluding a career for himself,
- a would-be Messiah, of
- gross and disgusting ugliness.
- He wants to be addressed and worshiped as „superstar“ and „god“.[2]
- He is would-be king failing wretchedly when trying to become „King of Israel“ (Joh 12:13).
- He suffers destitution and is
- an obsessed one suffering from lust for power but
- in truth, he is somebody who had to slog away in Egypt to prevent himself from starving.

Oh my god, what lots of things are to psychologize with him! In addition, always keep in mind that he does not call those rejecting him but those following him as "the sick needing a physician" (Lu 5:31). Instead of attending such a felon (death penalty convict) as a physician, his henchmen rather should go to physicians of psychiatrics. However, it is to assume that even those physicians cannot save desperadoes since depravity is an indispensable part of their identity (see: Mt 7: 16-18, Lu 6:45).

Against that, medicine presumably is as helpless as it is so against stupidity and imbecility. Only death can redeem those slobs n' flops of nature from their depravities and lunacies. No wonder that they are used to lying death as the "real" start of „real life“ and their personal „salvation“...! By death and only by death, those (Christian) desperadoes get rid of experiencing themselves. That is so disgraceful that they only can bear the life of their own by living a lie. Death redeems them of experiencing themselves. The(Christian) dastards (or dastardly bastards) do not live the a sham of life. What they call "belief" is just the hypocrisies and perfidies of dastards.

Those among the early Christians who were not willing to follow each deception regarding the birth of dastard Jesus (Christ) was Marcion, who lived in the second century. He starts "The Gospel of Luke" by what we know as chapter 3, verse 14 - the other three "gospels" he does not acknowledge due to further forgeries. This means he rejected all the cribbing from Mithraicism about the birth of Jesus "Christ" the Christians are used to bragging. Hence, his early fellow Christian dastards, for example, by "church father" Tertullian (who died in the year of 222)[3] blamed Marcion in the way as Christians are used to "loving" their enemies.

His fellow Christian desperadoes denounced Marcion as a heretic and condemned corresponding to Christian "love of the enemy"" because he knew that the fairy tale of the birth and alleged resurrection of Christian sect's instigator Jesus was nothing else but a thieves' cant and thieves' fiction.

The Christian Christmas fairy tale conceals that – pertaining to its date as well to its content - it is referring to narrations that were spread out all over the Middle East and the Mediterranean areas, at that time. That is what we are going to demonstrate in the next chapters. However, the Christian Christmas story does not tell anything about the shameful birth of the world's top liar and deceiver, Ben-Pandera (thieves' cant: Jesus "Christ"), Satan's catamite!

Everything the Christians are used to claiming is and is not due to the facts but to the requirements of their deceit for their megalomania and lust for powers.

- Firstly, to present their Jesus in a favorable light and
  - secondly to comply with the doctrines of their ideology, especially with those ones of fanatic as well as hostile anti-sexuality expounded by Jesus himself in the following way:

The Christians did not write what really had happened about Jesus but

- firstly, looked into the holy scriptures of others (of the Jews or Mithraic religion) what was written there and then
  - secondly, picked out everything they deemed as expedient for their obsession of lust for powers while divorcing the passages (from their rivals' writing) from their contexts and
  - thirdly, fobbed those cribbing off as "divine revelations" of themselves purportedly received from god to their prey in order to impress it and make it subservient for their lust for powers and delusion of grandeur.

That is not the end of Jesus' insanity and madness against sexuality. In the "Book of Thomas the Contender" Jesus continues to unleash his anti-sexual folly Christians' bedlam:

"Woe to you who love intimacy with womankind and polluted intercourse with them!"<sup>[4]</sup>

Here, Ben-Pandera generally and insanely calls intercourse between men and women so to speak as an abomination. Now the Christians' bedlam and lunatic asylum are complete! That is nothing but thieves' cant or rogues' morals...! Therefore, these sick Christian brains concoct the following "fabrications" in their bedlam or crib them (from Mithraicism):

- "Immaculate conception"
- "Virgin birth"
- Prohibition of divorce
- "god's son"
- Denouncing illegitimate children and
- their mothers etc.

Ben-Pandera's and Christians' lunacy to purport sexual activity as depravity is the honor among Christian thieves or rogues (with frocks and without frocks). In its wake are the most abominable atrocities that made Christian sect planet Earth's most sexual crime. We do not want to argue that the "sick ones needing a physician" (Lu 5:31), i.e. dastards & desperadoes, hardly are successful in complying with their madness chastity and similar insanities...

The concoctions of "virgin birth" and "immaculate conception" could not help Ben-Pandera from being excluded from the Assembly of God according to De 23:2, viz, according to the law the desperado pretends to fulfill. They could not help because even his closet twelve henchmen did not know about those "miracles"...

***This is the mire,  
The Christians admire!***

[PREVIOUS](#) / [HOME](#) / [TABLE OF CONTENTS](#) / [NEWS](#) / [JESUS' PERFIDY DEBUNKED: \\_ / HOW JESUS FAKED RESURRECTION?](#) / [CHRIST AND ANTI-CHRIST](#) / [WHAT DID JESUS LOOK LIKE?](#) / [MYSTUFF](#) / [NEXT](#) /

© 1998 - 2008 [HANS HENNING ATROTT](#) - All rights reserved.- Reproduction without permission is strictly prohibited.

**Annotations:**

---

[1] Concerning the cripple Jesus „Christ“, see: Hans Henning Atrott, What does Jesus look like, 2000-2002, on: <http://www.bare-jesus.net/e401.htm>, last call 11/02/2008

[2] Concerning the cripple Jesus „Christ“, see: Hans Henning Atrott, What does Jesus look like, 2000-2002, on: <http://www.bare-jesus.net/e401.htm>

[3] See: Heinrich Kellner, Vierte Gruppe der Schriften in: Gerhard Esser, Editor.,

**Tertullians apologetische ...Schriften, (Tertullian's apologetic scripts)  
Kempton/München 1915, Vo. II, p. 290f**

**[4] The Book of Thomas the Contender, (NHC II, 7), Translated by John D. Turner,  
144, 5ff., on: <http://www.gnosis.org/naghamm/bookt.html>, last call: 11/02/2008**

