

**First part:**

**The Birth Tale about Jesus "Christ"**

**Christians' Cribbing and Hoodwinking**



As [PDF](#), [Zip](#) or [Exe](#)

**1.) Christmas a grand Festival filched by Christian Desperadoes**

**2.) The features of Messiah (Greek: "Christos") Yehoshua-ben-Pandera does not match**

**I**

***In 7 BC, there was a triple conjunction of Jupiter and Saturn. All three meetings of the two planets occurred in the constellation Pisces, long associated with the Hebrew nation, a phenomenon that occurs only once within approximately 900 years. The first conjunction occurred in late May, the second in September, and the third in early December. Although the two planets never came closer together than about two diameters of the moon, and therefore, could hardly have been seen as a single star..."[1]***


Those Christian „scientists“ behave like a child at school: Mr. Teacher, I am knowing something...

However, the teacher has to correct that:

Firstly, it is not two about two stars,

Secondly, there in the story the Christians cribbed from their rival Mithras religion, there is mentioned one star and not two regardless if they were close or far from each other.

Thirdly, the census that made the family of Christian top desperado purportedly traveled to Bethlehem at earliest took place in the year six C.E., i.e., at least thirteen years later after this star constellation, if not later. As we are going to point out now, in the year, six C.E. Quirinius started his office as Roman governor in Syria.



Now as a paperback for 10.95 US\$ and E-BOOK for 9.95 US\$!

PublishAmerica just has edited as well an inexpensive paperback version of "Jesus' Bluff" (price: 10.95 US\$) as an E-Book that also is compatible with Apple's new IPAD (price: 9.95 US\$). For the E-Book version there are no additional costs but the fee of 9.95 US\$. In order to download the e-book version of Jesus' Bluff [click here](#). Shipping of other versions within the United States amounts to 3.99 US\$ and to each other country in the world 12 US\$. So, in the United States the book as a material copy now is available for about 15 US\$ (including shipping) and outside the U.S.A. for about 20€.

---

Now, we are going to expound this, more in detail.

Often, one should know more than Christian "gospels" and astrology in order to differ between lies, contrivances, bluff, pretension, on the one hand, and the truths, on the other... „The Gospel of Matthew“ claims that Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus „Christ“ was born in the time of King Herod:

**Mt 2:1 RSV**

**1 ¶ Now when Jesus was born in Bethlehem of Judea in the days of Herod the king, behold, wise men from the East came to Jerusalem, saying,**

King Herod lived from 73-4 B.C.E. At the first glance the claimed „double star“ that -- as already mentioned -- never appeared as one star and the birth of the Christian top desperado could coincide. Mt 2:22 turn out that the person in question indeed is King Herod.

**Mt 2:22 NRSV**

**22 But when he (Joseph) heard that Archelaus was ruling over Judea in place of his father Herod, he was**

***afraid to go there. And after being warned in a dream, he went away to the district of Galilee.***

Archelaus was one of the sons of king Herode, who cared for division of the country, after his death. If those assertions are right, then Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera was born at latest 4 B.C.E.

Hereby we get to the times of King Herode. However, we do not get to Bethlehem, yet, where everything should have taken place. Indirectly, "The Gospel of John" informs us Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera (Christians' cant: Jesus „Christ“) was not born in prestigious Bethlehem:

***Joh 7:40-43 NRSV***

***40 When they (Jewish people) heard these words, some in the crowd said, "This is really the prophet."***

***41 Others said, "This is the Messiah." But some asked, "Surely the Messiah does not come from Galilee, does he?"***

***42 Has not the scripture said that the Messiah is descended from David and comes from Bethlehem, the village where David lived?"***

***43 So there was a division in the crowd because of him.***

Pertaining "The Gospel of John", the authority of the real or presumed favorite disciple was a hindrance to distort and contort what he wrote, respectively, dictated to write as the Christians did so pertaining to the synoptic chronicles of the "New Testament".

Here, John reports to us that the Jews did not acknowledge him as Messiah (Greek: Christos) since he does not match the features of the Messiah (Greek: Christos), in particular, the ones that the Messiah, firstly, has to come from Bethlehem and secondly is a descendant of King David.

As far as we know today, „The Gospel of John“ was written as the latest one. If John knew those of Matthew and Luke, he hereby perhaps wanted to correct them, indirectly. John does not write that the objections the Jews have against the Christian top desperado (thieves' cant: Jesus "Christ") are wrong. He does not contest that the Christian top impostor or Satan's catamite is from Galilee, i.e., that he is not from the lineage of King David.

Here indirectly informs us that at the time when all those Earth shaking event should have occurred and where they purportedly should have taken place (marvelous star constellation, infanticide of Herode, etc.); nobody knows something about those events, respectively, miracles.

Indirectly, John tells us that the other „gospel“ writers cribbed, respectively, fabricated all those schmaltzy, respectively, abominable stories in order to palm the Christians' top impostor off as Messiah (Greek: Christos) to the prey and victims of their deception, since otherwise they do so in vain because he fails to match those features (of the Messiah).

Christian „gospels“ are directed to desperadoes: Lie and deceit at every turn!

Therefore, it is not an accident that „The Gospel of John“ gives a very different story about the unscrupulous Christian top impostor. It is also no accident that German desperado Martin Luther wanted to remove „The Gospel of John“ as „The Epistle of James“ from the canon of Christian „New Testament“. Luther is not less perfidious than his Catholic counterparts are. One cannot say that one only needs the Bible („sola scriptura“) -- beside faith and grace – while secretly thinking that the scriptures are not correct and that there is a lot to remove... Preaching “sola scriptura” (only the scripture) on the one hand, and desiring to remove parts of the scripture, on the other means cracking jokes! We do not want to mention the cribbing, forgeries, fighting and wars how the Christian scripture “New Testament” came into being... Wanting to remove contents of “New Testament” is the evidence that Luther did not think what he talked. However, that is Christian lifestyle of perfidy...!

John unambiguously tells us that everything „The Gospel of Matthew“ and „The Gospel of Luke“ purport (“Star of Bethlehem”, “virgin birth”, “immaculate conception”, “infanticide of Herode”, “flight into Egypt” and other fabricated interferences of god into world history nobody knows at that time when those things should have happened and at the place where they should have occurred. Those things are only believed the more one is distant regarding time and place when, respectively, where those things allegedly have happened.

***Joh 1,45-49 NRSV***

***45 Philip found Nathanael and said to him, "We have found him about whom Moses in the law and also the prophets wrote, Jesus son of Joseph from Nazareth."***

***46 Nathanael said to him, "Can anything good come out of Nazareth?" Philip said to him, "Come and see."***

***47 When Jesus saw Nathanael coming toward him, he said of him, "Here is truly an Israelite in whom there is no deceit!"***

***48 Nathanael asked him, "Where did you get to know me?" Jesus answered, "I saw you under the fig tree before Philip called you."***

***49 Nathanael replied, "Rabbi, you are the Son of God! You are the King of Israel***

In contrast with the other canonized „gospels“, the one that is attributed to “John” apprises:

- Firstly, that Jesus was son of Joseph and
- secondly, he was from Nazareth. Although he purportedly is regarded as son of Joseph the Jews.
- thirdly do not repute him as a descendant of David (see: Joh 7:42).

This means that either the ancestry „The Gospel of Matthew“ contrives in its first chapter also is sheer Christian deceit or if the lineage would be correct, this would mean that he was known as an

illegitimate child.

In addition, „The Gospel of John“ corroborates to us that their “King of Israel” (verse 49 is an indispensable feature of the Messiah, Greek: Christos).

He was no “King of Israel”, he failed to become “King of Israel” (Joh 12:13) on Palm Sunday. Once more, Christian desperadoes are convicted of abominable lies, deceits and self-deception.

Therefore, if the Christians quote the fabrication about the „Star of Bethlehem” of Luke or on “virgin birth” and “immaculate conception” of Matthew 2:1-23 and Luke 2:1-39, they have to divorce those “New Testament’s” passages from their context of Joh 1,45-49 and Joh 7:40-43.

Even Luke inadvertently reports that nobody at that time and at that place where all the Earth shaking “miracles” should have occurred, nobody knew about them. At best, he is deemed to be “son of Joseph” (Lu 3:23, Lu 4:22, as John (1:45 and 6:24) passed him off on the prey of Christian desperadoes’ deceits. Those contradictions would not exist if those tremendously Earth shaking “interferences” attributed to “god”, really would have taken place. Then, it would be a sacrilege to deny them. Purporting that, omitting those allegations or even directly or indirectly contradict them is only possible if the fabrications in question are acquiesced as canting of lies and deceits...

Why there are those contradictions in a scripture, those desperadoes fake to be inerrant and absolutely true?

What does contradiction mean?

If not due to incapability, contradictions are the logic of lies and deceit (the one part is what is feigned and the other part is what is behind feigning: the contrary. Both constitute contradictions).

Very, very typical of desperadoes!

Indirectly, hereby it is evident that Christians contrived, respectively, cribbed those Earth shaking „wonders“ that purportedly have occurred about the birth of Christians’ top desperadoes.

By Joh 1: 45-46, Joh 7:40-43 or Lu 3: 23 and Lu 4:22 once more the reports of ancient philosophers like, for instance, those ones Celsus (about the year 178) or those ones of the Jewish tradition („Toledoth Yeshu“) turn out as correct. The latter say the same that the purported „miracles“ about the birth of Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera are unknown to the people, firstly, when and secondly where they allegedly should have taken place.

This is not different from his faked “miracle” of his “resurrection from the dead”.

Lies and deceit, at every turn!

Only people benefiting from those deceits "know" them and purport them!

Indirectly, „The Gospel of John“ proves ancient philosopher Celsus' information that Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera (thieves' cant: Jesus „Christ“) was an illegitimate child, since Joh 7:40-43 turn out Matthew's (1:1-17) and Luke's (1:27) genealogy to King David as a sheer deception for the profit and lust for powers of Christian desperadoes.

Luke tries displacing the location of the impostor's birth in the following way:

*Lu 2:1-7 NRSV*

**1 ¶ In those days a decree went out from Emperor Augustus that all the world should be registered.**

**2 This was the first registration and was taken while Quirinius was governor of Syria.**

**3 All went to their own towns to be registered.**

**4 Joseph also went from the town of Nazareth in Galilee to Judea, to the city of David called Bethlehem, because he was descended from the house and family of David.**

**5 He went to be registered with Mary, to whom he was engaged and who was expecting a child.**

**6 While they were there, the time came for her to deliver her child.**

**7 And she gave birth to her firstborn son and wrapped him in bands of cloth, and laid him in a manger, because there was no place for them in the inn.**

I see, this is the trick by which the sect of perfidy wants to displace its instigator's birthplace to prestigious Bethlehem...! However, the purported star, which is no star but a constellation of two near one another, which allegedly lured the priests of a rivaling sect to the birthplace of their rival, already occurred thirteen years before Quirinius started the office of a governor in Syria. . Probably, both planets made up their mind to return to that way where they had been thirteen years before. "Certainly" they wanted to pay the „honor“ to planet Earth's, perhaps the galaxy's topmost foul mouth and criminal just have been born in befitting stable among excrements of animals as it is due to the Mafioso of all Mafiosi (cant of perfidy: "king of kings")...

However, one day a Christian desperado disguised in a cloak of „scientist“ certainly will „prove“ that the planets irregularly „returned“ to the situation where they were 13 years before... Finally, nothing is impossible to the perfidy of desperadoes (see: Mt 17:20, Mt 21:21), no impudent lie, no cheeky deception and contrive fantastic "miracle"...

Obviously, the topmost impostor understated when puking that perfidy shifts mountains. "Scientifically proven" Christian perfidy does not only shift mountains but even Jupiter and Saturn, both more times bigger than the Earth... Is not the impostor "humble" and "modest" when only claiming to shift mountains, isn't he? However, there are still stubborn anti-Christians calling this individual of "understatement" an impostor insane and suffering with a delusion of grandeur...

Oh yeah, this "unjust" and "stubborn" world lacking "modesty" and "humbleness"...! Did not he tell that his yoke was "easy" (see: Mt 11:30)...? Oh yeah, he had to suffer a lot. Especially, depravity and unscrupulousness of his individuality (identity) were the most joke, he could not bear himself, and each perfidious one (Christian) has to suffer...!

Already by the demonstrated difference of time, inadvertently and indirectly it is admitted that promptly a journey of the mother and stepfather is fabricated to pretend to keep a very feature of Messiah; he does not do have. At the same time, again inadvertently and indirectly it is admitted that Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera uses the figure of Messiah (Greek: Christos) for his deception since the concoction of the journey to Bethlehem provides evidence Christian desperadoes seem the feature (of Bethlehem as birthplace) to be indispensable.

We already said that due to the claim that he was born within Herode's times of reign, the birth at latest must have occurred in the year 4 B.C.E. The census that purportedly caused Joseph and Mary to travel to Bethlehem should have been taken place when Quirinius was the governor in Syria (see: above verse 2). Quirinius however started his office in Syria in the year 6 C.E.

That means when Quirinius started his office in Syria when Yehoshua-ben-Pandera at least was already ten years of age. If he was born according to the described star constellation of Jupiter and Saturn, he was already thirteen years of age when Quirinius started his mentioned office. However, one year before he should already have debated with the Jewish clergies on the Bible in the Jerusalem Temple.

Hence, according to the „inerrant“ and absolutely „true“ faked Christians' „god's word“ Mary received „immaculate conception“ in her womb when the growing up monstrosity already was ten years of age, if not older. I see: there are still individuals doubting that the last ones that --corresponding to Satan's and Jesus "Christ's" program want to pose as the „first ones“-- are unable to work „wonders“ ... Oh that stubborn world...!

Hereby, it is evident that as well "virgin birth" in "Bethlehem" and the infanticide of King Herode are impertinent contrivances of Christian desperadoes fabricated according to the slogan of desperadoes: Perfidy shifts mountains and the truths, anyway! The latter (infanticide) more over is a psychological projection of Christian "compassionate" underhanded brutes wanting to slaughter everybody being unwilling to bow to their will to power like animals are slaughtered (see: 2Pe 2:12):..

Here, one has to put the question: What are the premises of the possibility of those sayings?

**Firstly:** It is about star constellations and human occurrences. Whence, the early Christians know that important human occurrences in human life are announced or showed by stars? The Christians do not know that from their sect and from the Bible of the Jews („Old Testament“). They know that from Mithras religion as we are going to produce evidence in this treatise. They cribbed those doctrines from the Mithraic rival after having massacred him as purported or real anti-Christ. Therefore, this is the wisdom of the anti-Christ as what those compassionate“ dastards denounced the Mithraics... Therefore, this pilfered plume, in which the Christian foul players are used to strutting completely, is isolated in Christian sect’s doctrines.

**Secondly:** Whence those three magicians the Christians even fake as „kings“ know that a certain constellation of stars synchronically is accompanied by the “god's” son. They could not know it from the Bible (“Old Testament”) because such belief or superstition (of stars showing or announcing human occurrences is alien to Judaism and even to Christianity, except the described “borrowed plume” ... Whence the magician knew to look at the stars to find out the birth of the redeemer? Did they know it from the Christians? No, because then there were no Christians, yet, do they know it from Judaism? No, because those thoughts are alien to Judaism! The magicians are the priests of Mithraic religion, and they knew it from the doctrines of their sect – a rival to Christian sect. Those matters Christians cribbed from the Mithraics, after having massacred them. For the Christian it was, and it is not only to bump off a rival jeopardizing the success of their deceit but also to crib the pretty plumes he has. Moreover, one can confirm on oath that the Christian desperadoes and foul players do not only tell the marines that the magicians, viz, the priests of a Jewish and Christian rival of all people allegedly visited the “redeemer” of a rivaling sect, which even is ready to destroy and murder them and finally massacred them ...! Did not Jesus Yehoshua-ben-Pandera (Christian's perfidy: Jesus “Christ”) praise those that are “poor in spirit”, in his sermon on the mountain? Alleging that this or that constellation of stars is very seldom, does not provide any evidence that this is important for human life and for Christian doctrines, not at all. In former centuries, one believed that the appearance of comets means war to come ... In the late autumn of 2004. The author of this treatise saw a similar constellation of planets (I guess of Jupiter and Venus northeast from the Canary Island of Tenerife). Since the author of the treatise started to debunk Christian deceits, there a comet passed the earth nearby. Moreover, a total eclipse of the sun happened. Why could not that mean that Satan has installed his (Christian) sect on Earth?

**Thirdly:** That such a constellation of stars shows the birth of a redeemer and not that one, for instance, of Satan’s Christ (English: Anointed One) the Christians only know from Mithraicism. In addition, this religion was worth massacring due to Christians’ abomination ...

**Fourthly:** Who says that a story being cribbed from a rival really tells the time and biography of the monstrosity that instigated Christianity, our planet's most organized crime? For Christians, it is not about accounting what happened but in principle, thoroughly and steadily to cant disgrace, ignominy, flaws, depravity and abomination. Those facts are canted and tampered with lies and deceits how the Christians deemed them expedient for their

deception. However, there is nothing reported what and how something really occurred. This is our objection to the silly attempts at fixing the monstrosity's date of birth corresponding to a pilfered plume. Whoever believes in thieves? With regard to the date of the monstrosity's birthday one knows nothing.

Since the facts about Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera (Jesus "Christ") are the wretched, miserable and disgraceful the henchmen of Jesus (Feces') Christ preferred to darken and blur everything so that one does not know.

- a) when humankind's top monster was born,
- b) where it was born,
- c) even what is his name,
- d) how it looked like and e) even where he is buried.

Everything those accursed ones of god (see: De 21:22), i.e., Christians allege to the above mentioned topics are blatant lies of the "martyrs of the truths" that are unable to bear the truths (see: Joh 16:22). Nothing of those facts is advantageous for the Christian foul players, and therefore, they preferred to darken, blur or even brazenly contrive lies about those topics, quite according to the slogan: Knowing nothing is better for deception than knowing something bad. That is why Christians are afraid of the anti-Christ from the very beginning. Since Christian foul players are only interested in their selfish lies, only the anti-Christ will reveal the truth about Christian abomination. Even the place of birth is fabricated since the "Old Testament" (see: Mi 5:1-3) says that the Jewish Messiah will be born in Bethlehem. The Christians put the facts, as they need them for their deceit. They want to displace the location of birth to Bethlehem by alleging that there was a census and everybody had to go to the place where he was born. The place of birth of his faked father Joseph is purported to be Bethlehem. At that time, Rome was a huge empire that had a very sophisticated administration and never could have done without such. Asking everybody to go to place of his birth to be censured is just an act of monumental dim-wittedness that does not match Roman administrators. This is not the only case. Christians inadvertently and indirectly debunk their lies by alleging something that only somebody can concoct that is Greek to the concerned matter. If the Roman would make the fun of a "census" by asking everybody to return to his place of birth such a "census" never could work. There are many people

- being ill,
- disabled,
- pregnant or
- weakened due to age so that they are not able to move or have a good "excuse" for refusing to travel.
- More over, some places do not exist any longer.
- Where shall folks dwell during the time the "census" takes place if the property is sold and other folks live in the houses of birth?

- How about the destitute ones having no money to travel, at all? In addition,
- how to take care of the many animals people kept in those times? (In those times, animals were the livelihood of people).
- What, if members of one family were born at different places?

Half the way, those, for instance, migrating from Bethlehem to Nazareth and those from Nazareth to Bethlehem meet, agree and deal to return where they are coming from because evidently as well in Bethlehem as in Nazareth, they can be counted... · Not to mention the good opportunities and chances for thieves and burglars ...! Each robber knew who is at home and who not and where to raid the migrants ...! A census could be possible at that time. However, a census that everybody has to return to his birthplace is a fabrication of rogues that are ignorant about the first things of politics... Such an act of monumental dim-wittedness only Christian goofs n' fools, mugs n' schmucks can contrive ... This is just a joke of Christians not knowing the first things of administration. However, the Roman authorities did. Similarly, the Christian bastards n' dastard could allege that the Romans ordered everybody to go to his place of birth to pay his taxes. Presumably, such a "clever" order would decrease the tax income of the Roman state of about more than half the amount ...

In addition, if everybody would be at the place of his birth, the Roman administrators had to do the same as if nobody had moved from the place he is living: to count the people. If a census had taken place, the Romans would have been performed it as the collected taxes. However, there is no shining plume Christians foul players would not pilfer.

The Christians' procedure about Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera (Christian perfidy: Jesus "Christ") always has been that foul play! Nothing is true about the facts the Christians purport about their topmost felon and desperado, they lifted to their "god". Since everything is that miserable, that wretched and that disgraceful, the Christian creeps n' crooks or rogues n' frogs in frocks prefer to blur, darken and conceal the facts about him if not brazenly lie the reverse of the truths than being debunked as disgraceful deceivers and abominable foul players.

**Apart from sheer superstition, the evidence for the allegation of any context of the described planets' constellation on the one hand and Jesus' birth on the other fails already on account of the following facts:**

**Executions of the death penalty convict Jesus or his stuntman took place in the year 36 CE at the latest because Pilate as a governor and Caiaphas as head of the council of the High Priests lost their offices in that year. On the other hand, crucifixion of the death penalty convict, i. e., Jesus or his stuntman, cannot have occurred before the year 35 because the marriage of Herod and Herodias took place in the year 35. Execution of John the Baptist -- being prior that Jesus' rightly conviction to death -- largely is understood due to John's criticism of that marriage. [2] I.e., Jesus would have attained more than 40 year of age at the time of his death penalty or that one of his stuntman. However, we know that he started his career as the itinerant preacher in his early 30ties and finished it after three years.**

***Lu 3:23 RSV***

***23 Jesus, when he began his ministry, was about thirty years of age...***

This piece of information of Luke is to deem as credible because it is attested by the gospel of Ebionites and that means independently from Luke. Partially directly, partially indirectly the Ebionites' gospel contradicts that fabulous manufacturing about Jesus' birth -- that Luke takes from the Protevangelium with all its concoctions -- or those adjudicated alleged divinity Jesus. Pertaining to the age of Jesus the "gospel" of the Ebionites tells us:

***“There was a certain man named Jesus, and he was about thirty years old, who chose us (disciples).” [3]***

Obviously not written and believed by hardliners of lies and deception, the „gospel“ of the Ebionites starts Jesus' „begetting“ by " when being baptized by John the Baptist but not by some spoof of copulation of Mary by " like Luke and Matthew brazenly fabricate.

***After the people were baptized, Jesus also came and was baptized by John; and as he came up from the water, the heavens were opened, and he saw the Holy Ghost in the likeness of a dove that descended and entered into him: and a voice from heaven saying: Thou art my beloved Son, in thee I am well pleased: and again: This day have I begotten thee. ".[4]***

Already from here, it is to realize that the Christians handled the truths and lies in that manner that – completely regardless of the facts -- they declared everything to be true that was in favor of their „golden calf “ corresponding everything for untrue that was unfavorable to him. The orientation or alignment of „truths “and „morals “ according to the advantage of one's own corresponds to absolute depravity or to the radical evil that exactly the Christians personifying with the devil, however.

Moreover, this Hebrew „gospel“ reveals that the cause for the assumption that the Christians' golden calf (Jesus) was a "stems from his refusal of acknowledging his family-members -- that apparently regarded Jesus to be crazy [5]-- as such:

***Moreover, they deny that he was a man, evidently on the ground of the word, which the Savior spoke when it was reported to him: "Behold, your mother and your brethren stand without." namely: "Who is my mother and who are my brethren?" And he stretched his hand towards his disciples and said: "These are my brethren and mother and sisters, who do the will of my Father." [6]***

***And so are many things,  
A Christian always thinks.  
That surely fits god's son,  
Mixing up truths, bogs and fun!***

As mentioned, Jesus agitated publicly likely for about one year, at most three years. Therefore, the constellation of Jupiter and Saturn occurred four or six years before Jesus' birth. However, one month (before or after his birth) is already enough for providing evidence that two items here are put together as different as chalk and cheese.

Moreover, even a simultaneous coincidence of that birth and that constellation of both planets would prove nothing but a sheer coincidence and would disprove in no way lies, falsehood and deceit of the Christian barbarism - anyway, not in the views of honest, trustworthy and/or sensible people, certainly not in the views of Christian conspirators as we certainly admit. That is why the Christians' conspirators' faith shifts mountains - and consequently, truths, anyway!

In general: It is not the question if non-Christians can celebrate Christmases, but rather it is to ask if Christians can celebrate Christmases without hypocrisy and twinges of remorse. Christmases are no Christian celebrations. Christmases are pagan festivities. Because Christmases became a menace for the Christian sect, Christians shammed this festival as a Christian party and the Christian do so until today. There was no star at Bethlehem at that time.

Moreover, Jesus was not born on the 25th or 24th of December neither in Bethlehem nor begotten by god or Joseph -- Mary's husband -- but by quite another Joseph. We will prove that all in this treatise. Christmas exemplarily stands for the hypocrisy of the Christians. Christmas is a festivity the Christians stole from pagan Mithraic (Persian) sect.

All what is taught to children and adults and all what they do believe in Christian countries is just the consequence of training and conditioning. However, nothing about facts and truths. That is to say, there is only one "proof" for all the Christians' claiming on Christmas. That "proof" is brainwashing starting when their booties are still infants.

In the following chapters, we are going to debunk what ignominy, disgrace and shame are hidden behind the fuss

of "virgin birth", "flight into Egypt" and "god's son" etc.

## **2. The features of Messiah (Greek: "Christos") Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera does not match**

Already the name "Jesus Christ" is an oxymoron, i.e. Jesus contradicts the feature of Christ. It is a conjecture in advance, i.e. presupposes something that first is to prove. Jesus is an abbreviation of the Jewish name of Yehoshua. Christ derives from the Greek Christos and means in English Anointed One or Messiah in Hebrew.

By calling him „Christ“, the Christians want to impede discussions that he was that what they want him to be. Why do the Christians want the instigator of their sect to be born in Bethlehem? The battle about Bethlehem is that hard since one of four intrinsic feature of the predicted Messiah (Greek: "Christos") is that his place of birth is Bethlehem. All questions refer to the problem if Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera was Christ (Hebrew: Messiah) or not. Therefore, by calling him „Jesus Christ“ Christians want to repress the question if he is the predicted Messiah.

As said, one of the features is that the Messiah's (Greek: "Christos'") place of birth is Bethlehem:

***Mi 5:2 (NRSV, in some editions of the Bible Mi 5:2)***

***2 But you, O Bethlehem of Ephrathah, who are one of the little clans of Judah, from you shall come forth for me one who is to rule in Israel, whose origin is from of old, from ancient days.***

That is why the "magi" as they are correctly called in the Latin Vulgate Bible (Luther blurs „wise men from the East“) purportedly following the „Star of Bethlehem“ do not look for the Messiah but for the „King of the Jews“.

Therefore, the second feature of the Messiah (Greek: "Christos") is that he is „King of Israel“(Joh 12:13). already the just mentioned quotation revealed that the Messiah (Greek: "Christos") is to rule Israel, i.e. he is king of his country.

**Mt 2:2 NRSV**

**2 (the magi were) asking, "Where is the child who has been born king of the Jews? For we observed his star at its rising, and have come to pay him homage.**

The third intrinsic feature is that the Messiah (Greek: "Christos") is within the (genetic) lineage of King David:

**1.Chr 17:11-14 NRSV**

**11 When your days are fulfilled to go to be with your ancestors, I (god) will raise up your (David's) offspring after you, one of your (David's) own sons, and I will establish his kingdom.**

**12 He shall build a house for me, and I will establish his throne forever.**

**13 I will be a father to him, and he shall be a son to me. I will not take my steadfast love from him, as I took it from him who was before you,**

**14 but I will confirm him in my house and in my kingdom forever, and his throne shall be established forever.**

Here, we experience five important things: First, the Messiah (Greek: "Christos") is an offspring of King David. Secondly, he is king. Thirdly, he is "son of god". Fourthly, in contrast with Christian "theology" god does not object to that what the Christian desperadoes indirectly call "maculate begetting". God does not object "normal birth", since if he would do so, he (god) could not claim a (genetic) offspring of King David to be that son of his. Fifthly, this means that the meaning of "son of god" does not mean being equal of a peer to god, "for a father does not need a son, but it is the son who needs the father." [7]

This means that Bethlehem as place of birth but not "virgin birth" and "immaculate conception" is, respectively, are features of Christ according to the Bible (Christians' cant: „Old Testament“). Obviously, "god" did not study "wise" Christian "theology" ...! "Virgin birth" and "immaculate conception" are contrived features by Christians to veil disgrace and ignominy of Christian top desperado Ben-Pandera is an illegitimate child. In addition, Christian fake divinity of the Jesus cripple in order to attempt the perfect crime, viz, to make their lies, deceits and they hereby resulting foul play, outrages, crimes, terror, barbarities and atrocities unassailable.

The following passage also proves that the Messiah (Greek: "Christos") is a descendant of David and a king:

**Ps 89:3-4 NRSV**

**3 You said, "I (god) have made a covenant with my chosen one, I have sworn to my servant David:**

**4 'I (god) will establish your (David's) descendants forever, and build your throne for all generations.'"**

**Selah**

According to the Bible (Christians' cant: „Old Testament“) as well Yehoshua as the Christians refer to pretend (sham-) evidence, god even confirmed by an oath to David that the Messiah (Greek: “Christos”) is

- a) descendant of his ("ex semine David" (out of the seed of David), (see also: Ro 1:3, 2Tim 2:8, Ac 2:30), will
- b) be born in Bethlehem and
- c) rule as “King of Israel” (Joh 12:13).

By trying to derive the lineage of Yehoshua to King David via Joseph the husband of Mary on the one hand but contesting that Joseph begot Yehoshua-ben-Pandera, the Christians indirectly, blame god for perjury. If Joseph the husband of Mary has not begot Yehoshua-ben-Pandera, for whatever reasons, there is no lineage to Joseph and consequently to Joseph's ancestry. One arbitrarily can put Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera to any other lineage as to that one of Joseph, if the latter is not the father. Then, each other lineage is that what the one of Joseph (the husband of Mary) is: only lie, deceit and fake.

However, that is not the end of Christian perfidy's lies and deceit. In accordance with the just cited quotations of 1Chr 17:11-14, Ps and 89, 3-4 and others Christians try to fake their topmost desperado to be a descendant of David.

If Joseph is not the father, each other man – except the adulterous person – is „father“, respectively, no father to him as Joseph. One can fabricate each lineage in that way. If Joseph – the husband of Mary – has not been begotten him, it nothing but cracking jokes to put him in a lineage to David.

If Joseph had not been begotten him, the Christian top desperado cannot be „ex semine David", viz, from the seed of David... Since this is an indispensable feature and desperadoes – as we are going to prove below – due to their obsession never can endure being mistaken and therefore, cannot lower their signs, they, nevertheless, do so as if Joseph – the husband of Mary – was the begetter.

Therefore, it is not to assume what some Christians -- who studied criticism of philosophers on their sect. However, first was the adultery of Mary, secondly, Yehoshua's and his henchmen attempts at veiling ignominy corresponding to the then standards, thirdly, afterwards people were judging Christians' prevarication and fourthly, then came Christian prohibition to sneer at Christians' silliness and ridiculousness of their concoctions by the death sentence.

The evidence that the adultery was first is already given by the fact that proving to be real offspring of King

David would have help the top impostor much more than trite prevarications like „virgin birth“... The first (being a descendant of David) is a feature of the Messiah (Greek: “Christos”) and the latter (“virgin birth”) is none, and here it is about the question if the impostor was Messiah (Greek: “Christos”) or not.

The following grave contradiction in the „glad tidings“ for foul mouths and foul players (perfidy's can't: „sick ones needing a physician“, Lu 5:31) unveils this knowledge:

Unlike the „gospel“ writers and early Christians dogmatists cracking the joke of fabricating a lineage to King David -- that already does not exist since even to the allegations of theirs, Joseph the husband of Mary is not the father --, Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera perfidiously contests that this (being an offspring of David) is a feature of the Messiah (Greek: “Christos”). Since, he knows being unable to match this feature, he is very upset that Jewish people claim this as a feature. A contradiction consists of two parts, the one and the other that contradicts the one. First, we want to start demonstrating how the “gospel” writers try faking a lineage to David:

***Mt 1: 16-17 NRSV***

***16 and Jacob the father of Joseph the husband of Mary, of whom Jesus was born, who is called the Messiah.***

***17 So all the generations from Abraham to David are fourteen generations; and from David to the deportation to Babylon, fourteen generations; and from the deportation to Babylon to the Messiah, fourteen generations.***

In a similar way Luke cracks jokes connecting the Christians' topmost desperado with King David (see: Lu 1:27).

Let us have another look at early Christians' attempt at connecting their death penalty convict and topmost desperado with King David:

***Ac 2:30 NRSV***

***30 Since he was a prophet, he (David) knew that God had sworn with an oath to him that he would put one of his descendants on his throne.***

Here, expressively Ps 89:3-5 once more is confirmed, viz, what features the Messiah (Greek: "Christos") has to match.

**2Ti 2:8 NRSV**

**8 ¶ Remember Jesus Christ, raised from the dead, a descendant of David—that is my gospel,**

That means being a spring-off of David is what renders authority as Messiah (Greek: Christos) but no trite prevarications of „virgin birth by god“ or others very maculate fabrications of desperadoes, everybody can concoct corresponding to his fantasy and unscrupulousness. That is why his henchmen, nevertheless, and time and again want him put closely to David, although everybody being able to count up to three realizes the joke of a „genetic“ lineage that according to the sayings of their own, never took place...

Remarkably, Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera (perfidy's cant: Jesus „Christ“), knows that he is unable to match this feature (of being in a lineage to David). Corresponding the fable of the fox and the grapes, firstly, he mendaciously contests that the Messiah (Greek: "Christos") has to be in a lineage to King David, and hereby he secondly convicts the god he wants to outdo as perjurer and his „gospel“ writers as blatant liars. This is the one part of the contradiction.

The other one is the shrewd impostor's perfidy knowing all the dodges to deny the lineage to King David as a feature of the Messiah (Greek: "Christos"). That means the fulfilment of a prediction. he does not match. The others contest that to be a feature what he is unable to fulfil.

**Mt 22:41-45 NRSV**

**41 ¶ Now while the Pharisees were gathered together, Jesus asked them this question:**

**42 "What do you think of the Messiah? Whose son is he?" They said to him, "The son of David."**

**43 He said to them, "How is it then that David by the Spirit calls him Lord, saying,**

**44 'The Lord said to my Lord, "Sit at my right hand, until I put your enemies under your feet"'?**

**45 If David thus calls him Lord, how can he be his son?"**

„Son of David“ means being a descendant of David. In contrast with his henchmen, he does not want to feign matching a feature, he does not match. He does that what is very typical of desperadoes in such situations: to contest, respectively, to deny the truths and replace them by lies, which are faked as “truths” according to the top credo of desperadoes: faith shift mountains (see: Mt 17:20, Mt 21: 21, Lu 17:6) and the truths, anyway. His henchmen obviously did not follow him (to deny the ancestry to David as a feature of the Messiah), since it was too much known among the Jews.

One of his most important topics was inveighing against the feature of the Messiah being a descendant of David (see: Lu 20, 40-44). Even in the Temple, he rants against this feature corresponding to the fable of the fox and the grapes (see: Mr 12:35-37). By the way, Christian “correctors” of the „glad tidings“ for desperadoes („sick ones needing a physician, Lu 5:31) missed seeing that Joh 7:42 also indirectly confirms that he does not match the features of being in a lineage to King David and being born in Bethlehem. In this passage, the Jews reject him to be the Messiah precisely by those arguments (that he does not match the just mentioned features).

By the last cited quotation and corresponding passages in other „gospels“ he tries justifying his illegitimacy, since in contrast with later Christian fuss at that time “virgin birth” and “immaculate conception” of the Christian maculate top desperado was unknown (see: Lu 3:23, Joh 1:45, Joh 6:42). Those fantasies of “sick ones needing a physician” (Lu 5:31) first came into being after the early Christians were acquainted with their rival Mithraic religion and cribbed it. If he does not justify his illegitimacy, then those passages would mean that even the fabricated ancestry of Joseph – the husband of Mary – in the Christian „gospels“ are nothing but blatant lies to connect a death penalty convict with contrived royalty...

Since, on the one hand genealogy to King David only can be performed via Joseph the husband of Mary and Joseph did not begot Yehoshua-ben-Pandera, on the other, those desperadoes claiming „virgin birth“ and „immaculate conception“ of Yehoshua indirectly blame god to be a perjurer (see: Ps 89:4-5 and Ac 2:30). If god or Joseph Pandera or Joseph Pandera sired Jesus—the instigator of planet earth top organized crime (thieves' cant: Jesus “Christ”) cannot be "ex semine David" (out of the seed of David), (see: Ro 1:3, Tim 2:8, Ac 2:30). In this case, not the Christian desperadoes but god would be the perjurer. However, he can provide evidence that Christian desperate “martyrs of the truths” feigning even to die for the truths are perjurers.

The fourth intrinsic feature of the Messiah (Greek: Christos) is that he is called Immanuel. Since here

even the Latin Vulgate wrongly translates, we refer to the Bible of the Jewish Publication Society Old Testament (JPS):

***Isa 7:14 JPS***

***14 Therefore the Lord Himself shall give you a sign: behold, the young woman shall conceive, and bear a son, and shall call his name Immanuel***

Now, we have four intrinsic features given to us by the Bible (Christians' cant: „Old Testament“) to realize the Messiah (Greek: “Christos”)...

Why there are given such precise features of the Messiah (Greek: “Christos”), for instance, Bethlehem as place of birth, the name Immanuel, ancestry to King David or even the office of king of Israel? Let me guess: Therefore, that deceivers of the ilk of Yehoshua-Ben-Pandera (name of perfidy: Jesus "Christ") and other impostors cannot pass themselves off as Messiah (Greek: “Christos”) on the prey of deceits. The Messiah (Greek: “Christos”) has to match each feature without exception not only half or three-quarter of them.

I.e., if the Christian fake a feature that is not told as one in the Bible (Christians' cant: „Old Testament“), it means that they hide more grave things by that. It means that in this case they conceal matters that are graver than the failure of matching case, of Messiah. Christian desperadoes contrive an „immaculate conception“ that does not exist in the Bible (Christians' cant: „Old Testament“) in order to veil still a more grave problem than missing to match a feature of Messiah (Greek: “Christos”), i.e. ignominy of an illegitimacy. Therefore, they contrive features of the Messiah (Greek: “Christos”) that do not exist in the Bible (cant of perfidy: „Old Testament“).

Christians divert attention from the predicted features of the Messiah (Greek: “Christos”), for instance, being „King of Israel“, ancestry of David, name Emmanuel, etc. since Yehoshua does not match them. Those (Christian) desperadoes, on the other hand, contrive features (divinity, virgin birth, immaculate conception, vicarious atonement on the gallows or cross, etc.) that are not predicted as such in order to conceal that Yehoshua is no Messiah (Greek: “Christos”) or they try utmost ignominy of his.

[HOME](#) | [TABLE OF CONTENTS](#) | [NEWS](#) | [THE GOD DECEPTION](#) | [THE CROSS DECEPTION](#) | [CHRIST AND ANTI-CHRIST](#) | [WHAT DID JESUS LOOK LIKE?](#) | [MY STUFF](#) | [NEXT](#) |

© 1998 - 2008 [HANS HENNING ATROTT](#) - All rights reserved. - Reproduction without permission is strictly prohibited.

Annotations:

---

[1] Susan S. Carroll, the star of Bethlehem: An astrological and historical perspective, on: <http://web.archive.org/web/2001-2005re/http://sciastro.net/portia/articles/thestar.htm>, last call 10/30/2008

[2] See: Mr 6:18 and: Henry Lincoln, Michael Baigent, Richard Leigh, the messianic legacy, Jonathan Cape Ltd., London, 1986 – see annotations 6,3 – I am quoting here from the German edition, das Vermächtnis des Messias, Bergisch-Gladbach, 1987, S. 404

[3] Excerpts from the Gospel of the Ebionites, Epiphanius, Panarion 30.13.2-3 on: <http://web.archive.org/web/20080125125205/http://www.earlychristianwritings.com/text/gospelebionites.htm>, last call: 10/30/2008

[4] Ibidem, Epiphanius, Panarion 30.13.7-8

[5] See Mark 3:21; Luke 8:19-20; Matthew 12:46-50; John 7:1-9, especially verse 5

[6] The Gospel of the Ebionites, ibidem, Epiphanius, Panarion 30.14.5, quoted according to: Beni-Aumen & Ebionites, Excerpts from The Gospel of the Ebionites, on: [http://members.fortunecity.com/sons\\_aumen/vi.htm](http://members.fortunecity.com/sons_aumen/vi.htm), last call: 10/30/2008

[7] The Apocryphon of James, Translated by Ron Cameron <http://web.archive.org/web/20080207145130/http://www.gnosis.org/naghamm/jam2.html>, last call: 10/30/2008